

CSUS 824 Sustainable Development: Measuring Socioeconomic Well-Being

Spring 2017 Monday, 3:00 – 5:50pm 306 Natural Resources Building

DAYS AND TIMESLOCATION
Monday, 3:00 – 5:50pm
306 Natural Resources

INSTRUCTOR
E-MAIL ADDRESS
COURSE RESOURCES
TELEPHONE

Dr. Robert Richardson rbr@msu.edu http://d2l.msu.edu

Office: 355-9533 (or extension 5-9533)

Department: 353-5190

Fax: 353-8994

OFFICE HOURS

305 Natural Resources Wednesdays, 10:00am – 12:00pm (or by appointment)

COURSE DESCRIPTION

The study of sustainable development reveals a tension between a concern for human needs and for the scale of the impacts of human demands on nature. This graduate course explores the challenges of environmental sustainability and social justice in the context of global economic development. We will review the principles of sustainable development in both theory and practice, with a focus on the measurement of social and economic welfare at national and local scales. The course will examine the extensive literature and policy frameworks associated with conventional notions of sustainable development along with divergent concepts and models that challenge mainstream thinking. Using theories of development, sustainability science, and economic growth, we will examine traditional and alternative indicators of sustainable development, including the social, economic, and environmental dimensions of human well-being. Students will apply their understanding of sustainability indicators in a specific developmental and environmental context related to their interests. The course will include theoretical and empirical readings, reflective discussions and essays, and planning for data sourcing and analysis for the calculation of sustainability indicators.

COURSE OBJECTIVES

Students will understand the challenges of environmental sustainability in the context of social and economic development, and be able to describe the role of natural resources and the environment in human welfare. Specifically, students in this course will:

- Understand the origins of thinking about sustainability and sustainable development;
- Distinguish between ideas associated with mainstream sustainable development and alternative ideologies that challenge conventional thinking and commonly-held assumptions about progress;
- Examine the range of commonly-used social welfare indicators, and understand the shortcomings of consumption and economic growth as measures of socioeconomic well-being;
- Examine alternative indicators of well-being that consider the effects of social capital, natural capital, and the equitable distribution of income, and be able to describe their advantages and the challenges in their implementation; and
- Apply their knowledge about sustainable development in a sustainability assessment of some system that depicts the reality of social, environmental, and economic conditions in some geographic or institutional context of their interests (such as a rural or urban area, region, country, policy, or organization). Students interested in international development may choose to develop an analysis of socioeconomic welfare in a developing country context.

REQUIRED TEXTS Adams, W. M. 2009. Green Development: Environment and

Sustainability in a Developing World, 3rd edition. New York: Routledge.

OTHER READINGS Additional reading assignments will be placed on the course website on

Desire2Learn, which can be accessed at http://d2l.msu.edu.

RESOURCES

Global development indicators:

Millennium Development Goals Indicators Dashboard: http://esl.jrc.ec.europa.eu/ Millennium Ecosystem Assessment: http://www.millenniumassessment.org/

Human Development Report: http://hdr.undp.org

International Institute for Sustainable Development: https://www.iisd.org/

Standardized World Income Inequality Database: http://myweb.uiowa.edu/fsolt/swiid/swiid.html

Sustainable Society Foundation: http://www.ssfindex.com

UN Sustainable Development Knowledge Platform: http://sustainabledevelopment.un.org/

UN Sustainable Development Solutions Network: http://unsdsn.org/

World Development Indicators (World Bank): http://data.worldbank.org/indicator

Environmental sustainability:

Environmental Sustainability Index: http://sedac.ciesin.columbia.edu/data/collection/esi/

Happy Planet Index: http://www.happyplanetindex.org/

Living Planet Index: http://wwf.panda.org/about our earth/all publications/living planet report

The Economics of Ecosystems and Biodiversity (TEEB): http://www.teebweb.org/

Ecological Footprint resources:

Center for Sustainable Economy – Ecological Footprint: http://myfootprint.org/ Earth Day Network Footprint Calculator: http://www.earthday.org/footprint-calculator Global Footprint Network: http://www.footprintnetwork.org/

Government resources:

Australia - Sustainable Communities: http://www.environment.gov.au/sustainable-communities

Bhutan – Gross National Happiness: http://www.grossnationalhappiness.com/

Canadian Environmental Sustainability Indicators: http://www.ec.gc.ca/indicateurs-indicators/

Michigan Dashboard: https://midashboard.michigan.gov/

U.K. Indicators: https://www.gov.uk/government/collections/sustainable-development-indicators
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency — Sustainability: http://www.epa.gov/sustainability/

Index of Sustainable Economic Welfare and Genuine Progress Indicator resources:

Genuine Progress Indicator: http://genuineprogress.net/

Maryland Genuine Progress Indicator: http://www.green.maryland.gov/mdgpi/

Vermont Genuine Progress Indicator: http://www.vtgpi.org/

Business, institutions, and sustainable development:

AASHE Sustainability Tracking, Assessment & Rating System https://stars.aashe.org/

Dow Jones Sustainability Indices: http://www.sustainability-indices.com/

The Natural Step: http://www.naturalstep.org

World Business Council for Sustainable Development (WBCSD): http://www.wbcsd.org/reportingmatters.aspx

ACADEMIC INTEGRITY

Academic integrity is a fundamental value of higher education at any institution of higher education; therefore, acts of cheating, plagiarism, falsification or attempts to cheat, plagiarize or falsify will not be tolerated. Please see <u>MSU Regulations</u>, <u>Ordinances and Policies Regarding Academic Honesty and Integrity</u>, which includes the University policy on <u>Plagiarism</u>. The Graduate School has assembled a guide to resources for responsible conduct of research, scholarship, and creative activities, which is available at <u>Research Integrity</u>. The University policy on academic dishonesty is provided in the <u>Student Handbook and Resource Guide</u>, which also provides a summary of <u>Graduate Student Rights and Responsibilities</u>.

Article 2.III.B.2 of Student Rights and Responsibilities states: "The student shares with the faculty the responsibility for maintaining the integrity of scholarship, grades, and professional standards." In addition, the Department of Community Sustainability adheres to the policies on academic honesty specified in General Student Regulation 1.0, Protection of Scholarship and Grades; the all-University Policy on Integrity of Scholarship and Grades; and Ordinance 17.00, Examinations. Students are expected to develop original work for this course; therefore, you may not submit course work you completed for another course to satisfy the requirements for this course. Students who violate MSU regulations on Protection of Scholarship and Grades will receive a failing grade in the course or on the assignment.

Michigan State University is committed to ensuring that the bereavement process of a student who loses a family member during a semester does not put the student at an academic disadvantage in their classes. If you require a grief absence, you should complete the <u>Grief Absence Request Form</u> no later than one week after knowledge of the circumstance. Appropriate accommodations will be taken in the case of a verified grief absence, so that students that you are not penalized due to a verified grief absence.

ASSESSMENT AND EVALUATION

Course Preparation and Participation

The framework of the course is based on the assumption that learning takes place best in an interactive and critical atmosphere. Accordingly, the course relies heavily on reflection, critical thinking, discussion, and active student participation. The format emphasizes structured opportunities for students to share and reflect upon their individual experiences. All students are expected to regularly attend class, arrive on time, complete the assigned readings prior to each class meeting, and actively participate in class discussions. Participation can also be demonstrated through responses to Weekly Reflections (see below). Assessment of student participation will be based on the quality of contributions to class discussions and the quality of interactions with each other—both in class and online.

Topical Presentation (1)

Each student will give a brief topical presentation on key issues related to a particular week's readings and course topic. The subject matter of the presentation can draw upon the student's own research interests, but should be related to the week's course topic. Presentations should be approximately five (5) minutes in length, but no more than seven (7) minutes, allowing 2-3 minutes for questions and discussion. Good presentations will introduce questions or arguments that stimulate discussion. Presentations should be well organized, and discussion points should be clearly presented.

Weekly Reflections (8)

In preparation for weekly in-class discussions and exercises, each student is expected to prepare a thoughtful and critically reflective statement related to the week's reading assignments (at least eight (8) weekly reflections over the course of the semester). Reflections should be approximately 600-1000 words in length, and should clearly demonstrate that you have read all the required readings. Statements will be posted on the course web page at Desire2Learn (https://d2l.msu.edu) by 6:00pm on the day before class. All students are expected to read the statements from classmates prior to class, and respond with your own observations or comments on what you find interesting, controversial or useful in the readings and in other reflections. These statements should be used to help stimulate questions and issues, and to set the agenda for in-class discussions.

Reaction Papers (2)

Students will write two essays with their own reflections about particular course topics, as directed in the (forthcoming) assignments. Papers should be analytical and reflective, drawing upon relevant theory and course concepts as appropriate, and addressing the topic from multiple perspectives. Remember that a reflective essay is a form of writing that examines and observes the progress of the writer's individual experience. Reflective essays are based upon your own experiences, so it is expected that you write about yourself, your ideas, reactions, and opinions. You might consider providing examples of quotations from the article (or other sources) that demonstrate a point, such a comparison with another written argument or commonly-held notion, or to highlight points with which you may agree or disagree. These papers must follow an accepted academic writing style, with all ideas from the literature cited properly. The papers should be free of spelling and grammatical mistakes.

Sustainability Assessment

Each student will prepare a sustainability assessment of some problem or issue in your domain that is of interest to you and which will likely confront you as a scholar-practitioner. This assignment is structured to help guide a process of inquiry and action for applying the concept of sustainability to complex resource problems in a region. The assessment will involve the definition and characterization of the system and its drivers, the identification of key stakeholders, and an understanding of the scale at which governance processes are manifested. A full description of the assignment is provided on D2L.

ASSESSMENT

Course preparation and participation	10%
Topical presentation (1)	10%
Weekly reflections (at least 8)	25%
Reaction papers (2 @ 15%)	30%
Sustainability assessment	25%

Final course grades will be assessed acc	cording to the following scale:	

Grade	4.0	3.5	3.0	2.5	2.0	1.5	1.0	0.0
Average	94-100%	88-93%	83-87%	78-82%	73-77%	68-72%	60-67%	< 60%

COURSE SCHEDULE AND TOPICS

Week 1 January 9, 2017 Course introduction

Required:

Schumacher, E. F. (1973). Small Is Beautiful: Economics as if People Mattered. London: Blond & Briggs Ltd. Chapters 3 ("The Role of Economics") and 4 ("Buddhist Economics").

Recommended:

Olopade, Dayo. (2014). The end of the 'Developing World'. *National Public Radio* (www.npr.org), February 28, 2014

Silver, Marc. (2015). If you shouldn't call it the Third World, what should you call it? *National Public Radio* (www.npr.org), January 04, 2015.

Week 2 January 16, 2017 University holiday

Holiday * no class session *

Week 3 January 23, 2017 Sustainability

Required:

Adams, W. M. (2009). Green Development, Ch. 1: The dilemma of sustainability

Adams, W. M. (2009). Green Development, Ch. 2: The origins of sustainable development

Costanza, Robert & Bernard C. Patten. (1995). Defining and predicting sustainability. *Ecological Economics* 15: 193-196.

Daly, H. E. (1990). Toward some operational principles of sustainable development. *Ecological Economics* 2: 1-6.

Recommended:

Orr, David W. (2002.) Four challenges of sustainability. *Conservation Biology* 16(6): 1457-1460.

Week 4 January 30, 2017 Sustainable development

Required:

Adams, W. M. (2009). Green Development, Ch. 3: The development of sustainable development

Adams, W. M. (2009). Green Development, Ch. 4: Sustainable development: Making the mainstream

Dietz, T., E. A. Rosa, and R. York. 2009. Efficient Well-Being: Rethinking Sustainability as the Relationship between Human Well-being and Environmental Impacts. *Human Ecology Review* 16(1): 114-123.

Heal, Geoffrey. (2012). Reflections—Defining and measuring sustainability. *Review of Environmental Economics and Policy* 6(1): 147-163.

Recommended:

Parris, Thomas W. and Robert W. Kates. (2003). Characterizing and measuring sustainable development. *Annual Review of Environment and Resources* 28: 559-586.

Pearce, D. W., Atkinson, G. D., & Dubourg, W. R. (1994). The economics of sustainable development. *Annual Review of Energy and the Environment* 19(1): 457-474.

Week 5 February 6, 2017 Mainstream sustainable development

Required:

Adams, W. M. (2009). Green Development, Ch. 5: Mainstream sustainable development

Arrow et al., (1995). Economic growth, carrying capacity, and the environment. *Science* 268(5210): 520-521.

Daly, Herman E. (1974). The economics of the steady state. *The American Economic Review* 64(2): 15-21

Rockström, Johan et al. (2009). A safe operating space for humanity. Nature 461: 472-475.

Stern, David I. (2004). The rise and fall of the Environmental Kuznets Curve. *World Development* 32(8): 1419–1439.

Recommended:

Munasinghe, Mohan. (1999). Is environmental degradation an inevitable consequence of economic growth: Tunneling through the Environmental Kuznets Curve. *Ecological Economics* 29: 89–109.

Pearce, David, Kirk Hamilton, and Giles Atkinson. (1996). Measuring sustainable development: Progress on indicators. *Environment and Development Economics* 1: 85-101.

Week 6 February 13, 2017 Sustainability and natural capital

Note: Reaction Paper 1 due today

Required:

Adams, W. M. (2009). Green Development, Ch. 6: Delivering mainstream sustainable development

Ayres, Robert, Jeroen van den Berrgh, & John Gowdy. (2001). Strong versus weak sustainability: Economics, natural sciences, and consilience. *Environmental Ethics* 23(2): 155-168.

Costanza, R., d'Arge, R., De Groot, R., Faber, S., Grasso, M., Hannon, B., Limburg, K., Naeem, S., O'Neill, R.V., Paruelo, J., Raskin, R.G., Sutton, P., and van den Belt, M. (1997). The value of the world's ecosystem services and natural capital. *Nature* 387: 253-260.

- Fisher, Brendan, R. Kerry Turner, & Paul Morling. (2009). Defining and classifying ecosystem services for decision making. *Ecological Economics* 68(3): 643–653.
- Lawn, Philip A. (2003). A theoretical foundation to support the Index of Sustainable Economic Welfare (ISEW), Genuine Progress Indicator (GPI), and other related indexes. *Ecological Economics* 44: 105-118.

Millennium Ecosystem Assessment. (2005). *Ecosystems and Human Well-being: Synthesis*. Island Press, Washington, DC. Preface and Summary for Decision Makers (pp. v – 24).

Week 7 February 20, 2017

Sustainable development and its discontents

Required:

- Adams, W. M. (2009). Green Development, Ch. 7: Countercurrents in sustainable development
- Dietz, Thomas, Eugene A. Rosa, & Richard York. (2007). Driving the human ecological footprint. *Frontiers in Ecology and the Environment* 5: 13–18.
- Fiala, Nathan. (2008). Measuring sustainability: Why the ecological footprint is bad economics and bad environmental science. *Ecological Economics* 67(4): 519-525.
- Guha, Ramachandra. (1989). Radical American environmentalism and wilderness preservation: A third world critique. *Environmental Ethics* 11(1): 71-83.

Recommended:

- Beckerman, W. (1994). Sustainable development: Is it a useful concept? *Environmental Values* 3: 191-209.
- Hiss, Tony. (2014). Can the world really set aside half of the planet for wildlife? *Smithsonian Magazine*, September 2014. http://www.smithsonianmag.com/science-nature/can-world-really-set-aside-half-planet-wildlife-180952379F
- Robinson, John. (2004). Squaring the circle? Some thoughts on the idea of sustainable development. *Ecological Economics* 48: 369-384.

Week 8 February 27, 2017

Happiness and subjective well-being

Required:

- Di Tella, Rafael & Robert MacCulloch. (2008). Gross national happiness as an answer to the Easterlin Paradox? *Journal of Development Economics* 86: 22-42.
- Easterlin, Richard A., Laura Angelescu McVey, Malgorzata Switek, Onnicha Sawangfa, and Jacqueline Smith Zweig. (2010). The happiness–income paradox revisited. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* 107(52): 22463–22468.
- Max-Neef, Manfred. (1995). Economic growth and quality of life: a threshold hypothesis. *Ecological Economics* 15: 115-118.

Frey, Bruno S. & Alois Stutzer. (2002). What can economists learn from happiness research? *Journal of Economic Literature* 40(2): 402-435.

Kelly, Annie. "Gross national happiness in Bhutan: the big idea from a tiny state that could change the world." *The Guardian*, December 1, 2012.

Kristof, Nicholas D. "The happiest people." The New York Times, January 7, 2010.

Revkin, Andrew C. "A new measure of well-being from a happy little kingdom." *The New York Times*, October 4, 2005.

Week 9	March 6, 2017	Spring break
Holiday	* no class session *	
Week 10	March 13, 2017	Theoretical perspectives on well-being
		Guest: Dr. Matthew Ferkany, Departments of Teacher Education and Philosophy

Required:

Brown, Kirk Warren & Tim Kasser. (2005). Are psychological and ecological well-being compatible? The role of values, mindfulness, and lifestyle. *Social Indicators Research* 74: 349-368.

Parfit, Derek. (1984). What makes someone's life go best. *Reasons and Persons*, pp.493–502. Oxford: Clarendon.

Scanlon, Thomas M. (1996). The status of well-being. The Tanner Lectures on Human Values, delivered at University of Michigan, October 25, 1996. *Michigan Quarterly Review* XXXVI(2), Spring 1997.

Recommended:

Costanza, Robert et al. (2007). Quality of life: An approach integrating opportunities, human needs, and subjective well-being. *Ecological Economics* 61: 267-276.

Diener, Ed. (2006). Guidelines for national indicators of subjective well-being and ill-being. *Applied Research in Quality of Life* 1:151–157.

Week 11 March 20, 2017 Sustainability, distribution, and inequality

Required:

Steffen, Will & Mark Stafford Smith. (2013). Planetary boundaries, equity and global sustainability: why wealthy countries could benefit from more equity. *Current Opinion in Environmental Sustainability* 5(3-4): 403–408.

- UNDP. (2011). Why sustainability and equity? Chapter 1 from *Sustainability and Equity: A Better Future for All*, Human Development Report 2011, pp. 13–21. New York: United Nations Development Programme.
- Wilkinson, Richard G. & Kate E. Pickett. (2009). Income inequality and social dysfunction. *Annual Review of Sociology* 35:493–511.

- Bourguignon, François & Christian Morrisson. (2002). Inequality among world citizens: 1820-1992. *The American Economic Review* 92(4): 727-744.
- Pasquali, Valentina. (2012). Wealth distribution and income inequality by country. *Global Finance Magazine*: http://www.gfmag.com/tools/global-database/economic-data/11944-wealth-distribution-income-inequality.html

Week 12 March 27, 2017

Sustainability and intergenerational equity

Required:

- Anand, Sudhir & Amartya Sen. (2000). Human development and economic sustainability. *World Development* 28(12): 2029–2049.
- Arrow, K., M. Cropper, C. Gollier, B. Groom, G. Heal, R. Newell, W. Nordhaus, R. Pindyck, W. Pizer, P. Portney, T. Sterner, R. S. J. Tol, and M. Weitzman. (2013.) Determining benefits and costs for future generations. *Science* 26 (July 2013): 349-350.

Broome, John. (2008). The ethics of climate change. Scientific American 298(6): 96-102.

Recommended:

Barry, Brian. (1997). Sustainability and intergenerational justice. Theoria (1997): 43-64.

Week 13 April 3, 2017

Tools and approaches for sustainable development

Note: Reaction Paper 2 due today

Required:

- Nelson, E., Mendoza, G., Regetz, J., Polasky, S., Tallis, H., Cameron, D., ... & Lonsdorf, E. (2009). Modeling multiple ecosystem services, biodiversity conservation, commodity production, and tradeoffs at landscape scales. *Frontiers in Ecology and the Environment*, 7(1), 4-11.
- Ness, Barry, Evelin Urbel-Piirsalua, Stefan Anderberg, & Lennart Olsson. (2007). Categorising tools for sustainability assessment. *Ecological Economics* 60(3): 498–508.
- Reed, Mark S., Evan D.G. Fraser, & Andrew J. Dougill. (2006). An adaptive learning process for developing and applying sustainability indicators with local communities. *Ecological Economics* 59: 406-418.

- Adger, W. Neil. (2000). Social and ecological resilience: are they related? *Progress in Human Geography* 24(3): 347–364.
- Folke, Carl. (2006). Resilience: The emergence of a perspective for social–ecological systems analyses. *Global Environmental Change* 16 (2006) 253–267.
- Van de Kerk, Geurt & Arthur R. Manuel. (2008). A comprehensive index for a sustainable society: The SSI the Sustainable Society Index. *Ecological Economics* 66: 228–242.

Week 14 April 10, 2017

Watersheds and sustainability

Required:

- Adams, W. M. (2009). Green Development, Ch. 11: Sustainability and river control
- Chaves, H. M., & Alipaz, S. (2007). An integrated indicator based on basin hydrology, environment, life, and policy: the watershed sustainability index. *Water Resources Management*, *21*(5): 883-895.
- Foley, J.A., DeFries, R., Asner, G.P., Barford, C., Bonan, G., Carpenter, S.R., Chapin, F.S., Coe, M.T., Daily, G.C., Gibbs, H.K. Helkowski, J.H., Holloway, T., Howard, E.A., Kucharik, C.J., Monfreda, C., Patz, J.A., Prentice, I. C., Ramankutty, N., and Snyder, P.K. (2005). Global consequences of land use. *Science* 309(5734): 570-574.
- Ryan, R. L., Erickson, D. L., & De Young, R. (2003). Farmers' motivations for adopting conservation practices along riparian zones in a mid-western agricultural watershed. *Journal of Environmental Planning and Management*, 46(1), 19-37.

Recommended:

- Pattanayak, S. K. (2004). Valuing watershed services: concepts and empirics from southeast Asia. *Agriculture, Ecosystems & Environment* 104(1): 171-184.
- Richardson, R. B. (2010). Ecosystem services and food security: Economic perspectives on environmental sustainability. *Sustainability* 2(11): 3520-3548.

Week 15 April 17, 2017

Urban political ecology and sustainability

Required:

- Adams, W. M. (2009). Green Development, Ch. 12: Industrial and urban hazard
- Pothukuchi, Kameshwari. (2004). Community food assessment: A first step in planning for community food security. *Journal of Planning Education and Research* 2004 23: 356.
- Wu, Jianguo. (2014). Urban ecology and sustainability: The state-of-the-science and future directions. *Landscape and Urban Planning* 125: 209-221.

Zulu, L. & R. B. Richardson. (2013). Charcoal, livelihoods, and poverty reduction: Evidence from sub-Saharan Africa. *Energy for Sustainable Development* 17(2): 127-137.

Week 16 April 24, 2017

Consumption and sustainability

Required:

- Adams, W. M. (2009). Green Development, Ch. 13: Green development: reformism or radicalism?
- Arrow, Kenneth, Partha Dasgupta, Lawrence Boulder, Gretchen Daily, Paul Ehrlich, Geoffrey Heal, Simon Levin, Karl-Göran Mäler, Stephen Schneider, David Starrett, & Brian Walker. (2004). Are we consuming too much? *Journal of Economic Perspectives* 18(3): 147-172.
- Gowdy, John. (2007). Avoiding self-organized extinction: Toward a co-evolutionary economics of sustainability. *International Journal of Sustainable Development and World Ecology* 14(1): 27-36.
- Martínez-Alier, Joan, Unai Pascual, Franck-Dominique Vivien, & Edwin Zaccai. (2010). Sustainable degrowth: Mapping the context, criticisms and future prospects of an emergent paradigm. *Ecological Economics* 69(9): 1741–1747.
- van den Bergh, Jeroen C.J.M. (2011). Environment versus growth—A criticism of "degrowth" and a plea for "a-growth". *Ecological Economics* 70: 881-890.

Recommended:

Solnick, Sara J. & David Hemenway. (1998). Is more always better?: A survey on positional concerns. *Journal of Economic Behavior & Organization* 37: 373–383.

Week 17 Wednesday, May 3, 2017

Final exam period, 3:00 – 5:00pm Discussion of sustainability assessments

NOTES: