









Social and Economic Issues in Farmer Decision Making:

Improving Soil Fertility
Management and Bean
Production in Uganda

Rob Mazur¹, Richard Miiro², Eric Abbott¹, Moses Tenywa², Ebby Luvaga¹, Onesmus Semalulu³ ¹ Iowa State University, ² Makerere University, ³ National Agricultural Research Laboratories, Uganda



Feed the Future Innovation Lab for Collaborative Research on Grain Legumes



Multidisciplinary Research Team

- Robert Mazur (Lead PI), Iowa State Univ., rmazur@iastate.edu
- <u>Iowa State U.</u> (A. Lenssen, E. Luvaga, E. Abbott, L. Burras, B. Miller)
 - Sociology, Cropping Systems, Soils, GIS, Economics, Communications
- University of Hawai`i (R. Yost)
 - Tropical Plant & Soil Sciences
- Michigan State University (J. Bello Brava, B. Pittendrigh)
 - Communication, Scientific Animations Without Borders (SAWBO)
- Makerere University, Uganda (M. Tenywa, R. Miiro)
 - Agricultural Production, Soils, Extension & Innovation Systems
- National Agric. Research Laboratories Uganda (O. Semalulu)
 - Soils, Environment & Agro-Meteorology
- Mozambique Agric. Research Institute (R. Maria, S. Mocumbe)
 - Soils, Bean Breeding, Socioeconomics, Training and Technology Transfer, Outreach/Extension/Communication



Project Rationale

- **Common beans** serve **important roles** in *cropping systems,* food security, nutrition, incomes, and livelihood resilience but low yields, pervasive poverty and food insecurity.
- 'Sustainable intensification' of agriculture production requires improved soil fertility management in which legumes are an integral part of cropping systems.
- Poor and declining soil fertility is a key constraint to increased common bean productivity; there is limited adoption/use of improved production practices.
- Addressing soil-related constraints requires understanding farmers' current practices and enhancing their capabilities in diagnosing and finding solutions to yield constraints.



Soils and Crop Research

- Analyses of Chemical and Physical Properties
 - texture, pH, organic matter, available nutrients, aluminum
- Nutrient Omission Study (for bean growth)
 - N, P, K, Ca, Mg, Zn, Fe, B
- Lime Requirement Study (for bean growth)
 - Low pH, Ca and Mg availability, and Al toxicity



- On-Farm Studies to Identify Constraints & Solutions
 - Soil type and site-specific management options/strategies
- Community-Based Field Trials and Demonstrations → Current Use of Improved Mgmt. Practices/Tech.: (survey 101F, 55M)
 - Early field preparation (92%); improved varieties (98%); plant spacing (60%); banded application of chicken manure (67%), DAP (34%) & Urea (47%) (amounts specific to soil type); timely weeding; economic analysis of benefits and costs



Social and Economic Constraints in Decision Making

- Livelihood Resources for Production and Marketing
 - Natural (land, water)
 - Physical (tools/equipment, infrastructure)
 - Financial (income, savings, credit)
 - Human (labor, education, skills)
 - Social (groups, networks)



- Information Sources and Extension Services
- Awareness, Availability, Accessibility, and Affordability
- Market Development and Access



Livelihood Resources & Input Use

- Households with more adult family laborers more commonly purchase land and apply manure.
- Those with more *land* more commonly use manure, fertilizer and pesticides.
- Households hiring labor more commonly use manure, fertilizer, pesticides and herbicides to intensify efforts and achieve higher yields.
- Those who use inorganic fertilizers have nonagricultural income, savings, and access credit.
- Households with savings more commonly apply manure and pesticides. Those who access credit apply manure, pesticides and herbicides.



Liv. Res. & Input Use (at baseline 2014)

- Purchased Inputs (+) with Economic Ability:
 - Manure (11%) + Acres, Hire Labor, Savings, Credit
 - Fertilizer (35%) + Acres, Group, Hired Labor, Livestock, Sell Beans, Non-Ag. Income, Savings, Credit, Borrow
 - Pesticide (33%) + Acres, Hire Labor, Non-Agric. Income,
 Savings, Credit Access
 - Herbicide (20%) + Group, Sell Bean, Hire Labor, Credit
- Titling of More Secure and Better Quality Soils
- Manure & Fertilizer used on More Secure Land;
 Pesticides/Herbicides used on Less Secure Land



Broadening Awareness and Adoption

- Combining local farmer knowledge systems, experimentation and innovation with laboratory analyses of soil-related constraints
- Creating a 'community of practice' (a continuous learning environment) - researchers and farmers asking questions, seeking answers, making sense of each other's experiences and knowledge)
- Groups and social networks playing key roles in experimentation and adoption of new management practices and technologies, involving changes in beliefs, knowledge, and behavior
- Identifying most effective and efficient approaches to promote multidirectional information flows among all key stakeholders
- Providing complementary training and follow-up support



Multi-stakeholder Innovation Platforms

- Farmers (900+), seed producer, agro-input dealers, microfinance organization, traders, extension agents in two districts
- District Agriculture Officers
- District Production Officers
- Resident District Commissioners
- Local Government Authorities
- IPs significantly enhance awareness, availability, and access to needed inputs, services, markets.
- Currently developing a 3-year strategic plan





Field Trials, Demonstrations and Community Field Days

- Field Trials and Demonstration plots
 - Participatory trainings to diagnose soil nutrient deficiencies and other production constraints



- Field trials to determine local solutions specific to soil type
- Two rainy seasons/year (started 2015)
- Community Field Days (each season)
 - Observations and comparisons promote social learning
 - Stimulates widespread adoption of improved management practices and technologies



Farmer Learning and Values

- All recommended soil and bean crop management practices and technologies
- On-site soil testing with quick results and fertilizer guidelines
- "Fertility of all soil types can and should be improved"
- Increased access to knowledge, services and inputs derived from interacting with the array of value chain stakeholders:
 - Experiencing a 'mindset shift'
 - Planning for the full crop cycle (incl. record keeping, profit assessment)
 - Developing a business orientation ("my garden is my office")
 - Searching for new information ("all ways of learning")
 - Educating/training others ("I have seen the light")
 - Conveying pride in their transformative achievements ("I have advanced from being a grain and seed buyer to now being a grain and seed seller")



Farmer Learning, Values and Outcomes

- "Active participation and interaction in the IP facilitates experiential collective learning and core knowledge development of all members."
- "Members develop horizontal and vertical social linkages and networks which help them to identify 'true' partners needed to increase production, 'know the market' and coordinate joint decision making and actions."
- Farmers have improved household food security, mobilized collectively for loans to increase productivity, increased savings, open bank accounts, purchased durable goods, and used profits from coffee to invest in more intensive and expanded bean production.



Information Flows / Dissemination

- Farmer-to-farmer within own groups hosting field trials
- Farmer-to-farmer beyond own groups on field days
- Progressive farmers requested to train farmer groups in other communities
- Vertically within the Innovation Platform (bottom-up and top-down)
- Horizontally among members and groups in the IP
- Printed materials conveying recommended practices
- Animated videos in local languages on cell phones
- Live Radio Broadcast (in Luganda) from field day site



Soils Mapping

- Farmers create an indigenous soil map for their village (6 hours)
- Soil sampling on strategic grid (measuring color & pH) (12-24h)
- Create digital terrain-based soil map (3h)
- Co-create block diagram of soil-landscape relationships (2h)
- Create attribute table of local soils' properties by associating measured data with comparable landscape locations (6h)
- Create soil suitability tables: (a) for various cropping systems and
 (b) risks of erosion, soil organic matter loss, etc. (2h)
- Create tables of fertilizer and lime recommendations (2h)
- Synthesis report and printing (6h)
- Meet with farmers to discuss results and way forward (6h)



Local Soil Assessment Capacity

- Review village & GIS soil resource maps and block diagrams to aid decision making for farmers without soil testing
- Strengthen indigenous soil classification:
 - Review history of land use and management
 - Incorporate laboratory soil test results
 - Hierarchicalization (sub-categories and indicators)



- Training 20 farmers to use test kits for rapid field soil assessment, with 4 to operate as paid service providers
- Training 30 extension agents to appreciate farmers' soil knowledge, use village soil maps, block diagrams, and interpret soil test results
- District leaders integrating soil testing in local gov't plan



Comments? Questions? Thanks!



