

Insufficiently regulated contamination from the Elk Valley mines in British Columbia, Canada, threatens downstream ecosystems in both Canada and the United States.

**Edited by Jennifer Sills** 

## Retraction

After the publication of our Report "Current-induced strong diamagnetism in the Mott insulator Ca<sub>2</sub>RuO<sub>4</sub>" (1), new measurements performed in Kyoto by Giordano Mattoni et al. (2) revealed a serious technical artifact that affected our published data. Specifically, it became clear that a large part of the reported diamagnetic signal arose from a mechanism that we did not anticipate. This signal is attributable to localized heating of the sample holder, caused by the unavoidable Joule heating in the sample.

The published data in Figs. 1A, 1C, and 2B are affected by this artifact. The theoretical model of Fig. 3 remains valid, as it deals with the generic case in which a Mott gap is suppressed. Because the artifact affects the main experimental data, the authors unanimously agreed to retract the Research Article. For the same reason, another work on Ca<sub>2</sub>(Ru, "Ti<sub>2</sub>)<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> by some of the present authors published in Physical Review Letters (3) is also being retracted (4).

Chanchal Sow<sup>1</sup>, Shingo Yonezawa<sup>1</sup>, Sota Kitamura<sup>2,3</sup>, Takashi Oka<sup>3,4</sup>, Kazuhiko Kuroki<sup>5</sup>, Fumihiko Nakamura<sup>6</sup>, Yoshiteru Maeno<sup>1\*</sup> <sup>1</sup>Department of Physics, Graduate School of Science, Kyoto University, Kyoto 606-8502, Japan. <sup>2</sup>Department of Physics, Graduate School of Science, University of Tokyo, Tokyo 113-0033, Japan. 3Max Planck Institute for the Physics of Complex Systems, D-01187 Dresden, Germany. <sup>4</sup>Max Planck Institute for Chemical Physics of Solids, D-01187 Dresden, Germany. 5Department of Physics, Graduate School of Science, Osaka University, Osaka 560-0043, Japan. Department of Education and Creation Engineering, Kurume Institute of Technology, Fukuoka 830-0052, Japan. \*Corresponding author.

Email: maeno@scphys.kyoto-u.ac.jp

#### **REFERENCES AND NOTES**

- 1. C. Sow et al, Science 358 1084 (2017).
- G. Mattoni, S. Yonezawa, Y. Maeno, Appl. Phys. Lett. 10.1063/5.0006098 (2020); published online: arXiv:2004.04570 (2020)
- C. Sow et al, Phys. Rev. Lett. 122, 196602 (2019).
- 4. C. Sow et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 124, 169902 (2020).

10.1126/science.abc0469

# Canada's mines pose transboundary risks

In 2019, Canada approved an extension of the deadline to start one of the world's largest copper and gold mines in the headwaters of the transboundary Unuk River (1). The plan for the Kerr-Sulphurets-Mitchell (KSM) mine is to dig one of the largest human-made holes on earth, erect one of the highest dams in North America, and operate water treatment for 200 years after the mine closes (2). Mines such as KSM pose long-term risks to downstream water quality, fish, and people (3). Given that mine contamination is not constrained by political boundaries, U.S., Canadian, and Indigenous governments must urgently engage in collaborative evaluation and regulation of mines in internationally shared rivers. Shortfalls in mine assessments and permitting policies should be addressed.

Mine assessments underestimate risk at high environmental cost. Contributing factors include the ecological complexity of rivers, policy shortcomings in weighing environmental risk (4), and profound engineering challenges posed by mountain mining. For example, insufficient evaluation of soil stability enabled the 2014 catastrophic failure of the Mount Polley tailings dam (5).

Furthermore, the issuance of mine permits relies on the promise of mitigations that lack field validation. Canadian industrial projects typically underdeliver on their mitigations, such as restoring fish habitat (6). Unverified technologies can fail, as evidenced by the 2014 fish kill downstream of Teck Resources' wastewater treatment plant (7).

Finally, mine assessment and permitting do not require incorporation of transparent, independent, and peer-reviewed science (8). For example, Teck's Elk Valley permit allows contaminant discharges up to 65 times above scientifically established protective thresholds for fish (9). Political borders do not block the downstream flow of this contaminated water into Montana and Idaho (10).

Stakes are high. Upstream Canadian mines threaten downstream economies. waters, and ways of life, even as the United States is currently weakening its own federal environmental regulations (11). Rather than a race to the bottom, we urge our governments to honor their mutual obligations to protect our shared transboundary waters as codified in the Boundary Waters Treaty of 1909 (12) and immediately collaborate on binational environmental reviews that are founded upon independent, transparent, and peer-reviewed science.

Erin K. Sexton1\*, Christopher J. Sergeant1,2, Jonathan W. Moore<sup>3</sup>, Alana R. Westwood<sup>4</sup>, David M. Chambers<sup>5</sup>, Megan V. McPhee<sup>2</sup>, Sonia A. Nagorski<sup>6</sup>, Sarah L. O'Neal7, Jill Weitz8, Adrienne Berchtold9, Marissa Capito<sup>10</sup>, Christopher. A. Frissell<sup>1,11</sup>, Jennifer Hamblen<sup>12</sup>, F. Richard Hauer<sup>1</sup>, Leslie A. Jones<sup>13</sup>, Greg Knox9, Randal Macnair14, Rachel L. Malison1, Vicki

## Marlatt<sup>15</sup>, Jennifer McIntyre<sup>16</sup>,

Nikki Skuce17, Diane C. Whited1

<sup>1</sup>Flathead Lake Biological Station, University of Montana, Polson, MT 59860, USA. <sup>2</sup>College of Fisheries and Ocean Sciences, University of Alaska Fairbanks, Juneau, AK 99801, USA. 3Earth 20cean Research Group, Simon Fraser University, Burnaby, BC V5A 1S6, Canada. 4Mitacs Canadian Science Policy Fellow, Ottawa, ON K1P5A9, Canada. 5Center for Science in Public Participation, Bozeman, MT 59715, USA. <sup>6</sup>Environmental Science and Geography Programs, University of Alaska Southeast, Juneau, AK 99801, USA. 7School of Aquatic and Fishery Sciences, University of Washington, Seattle, WA 98105, USA. 8Salmon Beyond Borders, Juneau, AK 99801, USA. <sup>9</sup>SkeenaWild Conservation Trust, Terrace, BC V8G 1M9, Canada. <sup>10</sup>Juneau, AK 99801, USA. 11 Frissell and Raven Hydrobiological and Landscape Sciences LLC, Polson, MT 59860, USA <sup>12</sup>Takshanuk Watershed Council, Haines, AK 99827, USA. 13 Alaska Center for Conservation Science, University of Alaska, Anchorage, Anchorage, AK 99508, USA. 14Wildsight, Kimberley, BC V1A 1Z6, Canada. 15 Department of Biological Sciences, Simon Fraser University, BC V5A 1S6 Canada. 16School of the Environment, Puyallup Research and Extension Center, Washington State University, WA 98371, USA. 17 Northern Confluence Initiative, Smithers, BC VOJ 2NO, Canada. \*Corresponding author.

Email: erin.sexton@umontana.edu

#### REFERENCES AND NOTES

- 1. British Columbia, Epic, KSM, Environmental Assessment Decision (2019); https://projects. eao.gov.bc.ca/p/58851156aaecd9001b81e652/ project-details;currentPage=1;pageSize=10;sor tBy=-datePosted;ms=1585955323365
- 2. H. Ghaffari et al., "KSM (Kerr-Sulphurets-Mitchell) prefeasibility study update and preliminary economic assessment," Tetra Tech (2016); www.miningdataonline. com/reports/KSM\_PFS\_PEA\_112016.pdf.
- 3. M. A. Palmer et al., Science 327, 148 (2010)
- C. C. Murray et al., Environ. Manage. 61, 1062 (2018).
- 5. Independent Expert Engineering Investigation and Review Panel, "Report on Mount Polley Tailings Storage Facility Breach" (2015); www.mountpolleyreviewpanel.ca/.
- J. T. Quigley, D. J. Harper, *Environ. Manage.* **37**, 336 (2006). Government of Canada. "Teck Coal Limited: Conviction
- information for 2017-10-05" (2017); https:// environmental-protection.canada.ca/ offenders-registry/Home/Record?RefNumber=198.
- A. R. Westwood et al., UBC Law Rev. 52, 243 (2019).
- Teck, "Elk Valley Water Quality Plan," Teck Coal Limited Sparwood, BC, 2014); www.teck.com/media/ 2015-Water-elk\_valley\_water\_quality\_plan\_T3.2.3.2.pdf.
- 10. T. S. Presser et al., "USGS measurements of dissolved and suspended particulate material selenium in Lake Koocanusa in the vicinity of Libby Dam (MT), 2015-2017 (update)" (U.S. Geological Survey data release, 2018). A. Wittenberg, Science, 10.1126/science.aan7035 (2017).
- 12. The Boundary Waters Treaty of 1909 (International Joint Commission, 2016); www.ijc.org/sites/default/ files/2018-07/Boundary%20Water-ENGFR.pdf.

### **COMPETING INTERESTS**

C.A.F. is affiliated with the Department of Hydrology Salish Kootenai College, Pablo, MT 59855, USA. S.L.O. is affiliated with Agua Dulce Freshwater Consulting. A.R.W. is affiliated with Natural Resources Canada, but the views expressed herein do not in any way represent the Government of Canada or its departments or agencies.

10 1126/science abb8819

## **Investments' role in** ecosystem degradation

In their Review "Pervasive human-driven decline of life on Earth points to the need for transformative change" (13 December 2019, p. eaax3100), Díaz et al. discuss the

results of the first integrated global-scale assessment report on biodiversity and ecosystem services. The authors identify extraction of resources to provide food, feed, and industrial feedstocks as the main direct driver of the observed changes in the ecosystems on which humans depend. Socioeconomic and institutional factors represent the indirect drivers. Although Díaz et al. mention that tax havens channel funds to support illegal fishing (1), they do not sufficiently emphasize the systemic role of investments in capitalist society.

Almost all provisions of food, feed, and raw materials, as well as socioeconomic and institutional changes, happen within the structural constraints and incentives of capitalism (2), a system based on private property, the competitive search for profit, and the reinvestment of profits. This system is extremely productive, generating enormous amounts of wealth, estimated at US\$360 trillion in 2019 (3). However, the laws of competition demand that this wealth be reinvested somewhere to yield a return, a fact that can have striking environmental consequences. The investment decisions of a small number of financial intermediaries are responsible for substantial changes to the Amazon and boreal forests biomes (4). In addition, wealth is distributed very unequally (5). The investments of individuals with a high net worth have a disproportionately large impact on the expansion of cropland in the Global South (6). These considerations raise two fundamental questions: If we succeed in finding investment opportunities for the global wealth, what will the ecological consequences be? And if we fail, what will the economic consequences be? At this point, greater attention should be paid to the nexus between wealth generation, investment, and environmental degradation in terms of both research effort and policy initiatives.

### M. Graziano Ceddia

Centre for Development and Environment, University of Bern, Bern, 3012 CH, Switzerland. Email: graziano.ceddia@cde.unibe.ch

### REFERENCES AND NOTES

- V. Galaz et al., Nat. Ecol. Evol. 2, 1352 (2018).
- B. Milanovic, Capitalism, Alone: The Future of the System That Rules the World (Harvard University Press, 2019).
- A. Shorrocks, J. Davies, R. Lluberas, "Global wealth report 2019" (Credit Suisse, 2019); www.credit-suisse. com/about-us/en/reports-research/ global-wealth-report.html.
- V. Galaz, B. Crona, A. Dauriach, B. Scholtens, W. Steffen, Glob. Environ. Change 53, 296 (2018).
- C. Coffey, P. E. Revollo, R. Harvey, M. Lawson, "Time to Care: Unpaid and underpaid care work and the global inequality crisis" (Oxfam, 2020), p. 63.
- M. G. Ceddia, Nat. Sustain., 10.1038/s41893-020-0480-2 (2020).

10.1126/science.abb5756

### Response

We agree with Ceddia that investment can play a key role in achieving the transformative change that is necessary to reverse nature's ongoing decline. In our Review, we pointed to the large impact of extractive industries, the unequal distribution of wealth associated with trade flows, and the handful of transnational corporations that control the majority of supply chains in agriculture, fishing, logging, and mining. Changing investment in these sectors can profoundly affect the future of nature.

More broadly, the impact of both public and private investment deserves more visibility (1, 2). It is also important to focus on the role that public policy and public opinion (including a more holistic view of economics and quality of life) can have in shaping investment (3, 4). A new economic system should build on and enhance the fabric of life rather than erode it. By rewarding actions that promote sustainability and penalizing actions that result in environmental deterioration, policies and attitudes can create powerful incentives for change in global financial and economic systems toward this vision.

Sandra Díaz\*, Josef Settele, Eduardo Brondizio, Hien T. Ngo, Alexander Pfaff, Stephen Polasky, John Agard, Almut Arneth, Patricia Balvanera, Kate A. Brauman, Stuart H. M. Butchart, Kai M. A. Chan, Lucas A. Garibaldi, Kazuhito Ichii, Jianguo Liu, Suneetha M. Subramanian, Guy F. Midgley, Patricia Miloslavich, Zsolt Molnár, David Obura, Andy Purvis, Jona Razzaque, Belinda Reyers, Rinku Roy Chowdhury, Yunne-Jai Shin, Ingrid Visseren-Hamakers, Katherine J. Willis, Cynthia N. Zayas \*Corresponding author.

Email: sandra.diaz@unc.edu.ar The list of author affiliations is available at https:// science.sciencemag.org/content/366/6471/ eaax3100

### REFERENCES AND NOTES

- 1. P. Balvanera et al., in Global Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Science-Policy Platform on Biodiversity and Ecosystem Services, E. S. Brondizio et al., Eds. (Secretariat of the Intergovernmental Science-Policy Platform for Biodiversity and Ecosystem Services, Bonn, Germany, 2019).
- 2. K.A. Brauman et al., in Global Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Science-Policy Platform on Biodiversity and Ecosystem Services, E. S. Brondízio et al., Eds. (Secretariat of the Intergovernmental Science-Policy Platform for Biodiversity and Ecosystem Services, Bonn, Germany, 2019).
- 3. K. M. A. Chan et al., in Global Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Science-Policy Platform on Biodiversity and Ecosystem Services, E. S. Brondízio et al., Eds. (Secretariat of the Intergovernmental Science-Policy Platform for Biodiversity and Ecosystem Services, Bonn, Germany, 2019)
- 4. J. Razzague et al., in Global Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Science-Policy Platform on Biodiversity and Ecosystem Services, E. S. Brondízio et al., Eds. (Secretariat of the Intergovernmental Science-Policy Platform for Biodiversity and Ecosystem Services, Bonn, Germany, 2019).

10.1126/science.abb6019



### Investments' role in ecosystem degradation—Response

Sandra Díaz, Josef Settele, Eduardo Brondizio, Hien T. Ngo, Alexander Pfaff, Stephen Polasky, John Agard, Almut Arneth, Patricia Balvanera, Kate A. Brauman, Stuart H. M. Butchart, Kai M. A. Chan, Lucas A. Garibaldi, Kazuhito Ichii, Jianguo Liu, Suneetha M. Subramanian, Guy F. Midgley, Patricia Miloslavich, Zsolt Molnár, David Obura, Andy Purvis, Jona Razzaque, Belinda Reyers, Rinku Roy Chowdhury, Yunne-Jai Shin, Ingrid Visseren-Hamakers, Katherine J. Willis and Cynthia N. Zayas

Science **368** (6489), 377. DOI: 10.1126/science.abb6019

ARTICLE TOOLS http://science.sciencemag.org/content/368/6489/377.2

RELATED http://science.sciencemag.org/content/sci/366/6471/eaax3100.full

PERMISSIONS http://www.sciencemag.org/help/reprints-and-permissions

Use of this article is subject to the Terms of Service