

Ehsan Ghane,
Michigan State University



Shallow drains refer to subsurface (tile) drain pipes installed at a depth of 2.5- to 3-ft. Shallow drains have a higher initial cost because they require a narrower drain spacing than deep drains to achieve the same water removal rate, but they are worth considering because of their benefits. These benefits include lowering of the water table more quickly, removing less total water from the soil profile, reducing nitrate loss, retaining more moisture in the root zone, and increasing crop yield under certain conditions (Figure 1). Another benefit is that shallow drains are not limited to relatively flat slopes like controlled drainage. They can be used on rolling or sloping land without any need of management.

In sections 2 and 3 of this bulletin, soil profile suitability and spacing needs of shallow drains are presented. In sections 4 to 9, results from a DRAINMOD modeling study from seven locations are summarized, which show the impacts of shallow drains on water-table depth, drainage discharge, crop yield, water quality, and profitability (Ghane and Askar 2021). In that computer modeling, 2.5-ft shallow drains installed at narrower optimum spacings were compared with 4.1-ft deep drains installed at wider optimum spacings, where in both cases the optimum drain spacing maximized economic return on investment. In Section 10, general considerations of shallow drains are presented.




Figure 1- Shallow drains require a narrower drain spacing to achieve the same water removal rate as in a system with wider-spaced, deeper drains.



A triangular warning sign with a black border. Inside the triangle, there are two vertical black lines that curve outwards at the bottom, representing a road that splits into two directions.

3. Shallow drains need narrower drain spacings

A diagram showing a plant with a central stem and several branches. The plant is positioned above a horizontal line. Below the horizontal line, there are two circular nodes connected by a curved line, representing a bridge or a support structure.

4. Shallow drains retain more moisture in the root zone

Figure 1 consists of two vertically stacked graphs sharing a common x-axis representing the months of the year (Jan to Dec).

The top graph plots "Average precipitation or evapotranspiration (in)" on the y-axis (0 to 6). It shows two data series: "Evapotranspiration" (blue line) and "Precipitation" (green line). Evapotranspiration starts at approximately 0.5 in Jan, rises to a peak of about 5.3 in July, and then declines to about 0.6 in Dec. Precipitation starts at about 1.5 in Jan, dips slightly in Feb, rises to a peak of about 3.5 in June, and then declines to about 2.0 in Dec. Weather icons are placed above the graph: a cloud with snowflakes in Jan, a cloud with raindrops in Apr, and a sun in Aug.

The bottom graph plots "Average water-table depth (ft)" on the y-axis (1 to 5, with 1 at the top). It shows two data series: "Shallow drains' water table" (blue area) and "Deep drains' water table" (orange area). The shallow drains' water table depth ranges from approximately 1.8 ft in Jan to 2.0 ft in Dec. The deep drains' water table depth ranges from approximately 2.5 ft in Jan to 2.8 ft in Dec. A horizontal dotted line at 2.5 ft is labeled "2.5-ft shallow drains". A horizontal dashed line at 4.1 ft is labeled "4.1-ft deep drains". An arrow points to the top of the shallow drains' water table area, labeled "Water-table depth".

2 //////////////////////////////////// SHALLOW DRAINS




5. Shallow drains reduce the annual drainage discharge and have fewer days of flow during the year

As the crop grows during the growing season, the crop water demand or evapotranspiration increases until it plateaus during the summer (Figure 2). With the increase in the crop water demand and decrease in precipitation during the summer, the average water table drops for both drain depths. The computer modeling showed that the average water table dropped below the level of 2.5-ft shallow drains in July, August, and September at the Lansing location (Figure 2). On a given day, when the water table drops below the level of the drains, they stop flowing. This means that shallow drains reduce annual drainage discharge and have fewer days of flow during the year than deep drains (Figure 3).




6. Shallow drains lower the water table more quickly

Shallow drains lower the water table from the soil surface to 1-ft depth more quickly than deep drains. For example, the 4.1-ft deep drains took 5.4 hours longer to lower the water table from the surface to 1-ft depth than 2.5-ft shallow drains in Lansing, Michigan. Other locations showed a similar trend. Lowering the water table more quickly results in removing water more quickly. A general rule is that a drainage system should lower the water table to approximately 1 ft below the soil surface within 24 hours following waterlogged conditions (Brown & Ward, 1997). Corn can tolerate waterlogged conditions up to about 24 hours without considerable yield loss, after which yield declines rapidly (Evans & Fausey, 1999). Climate scientists predict more frequent heavy rainfalls in the future (Sojka et al., 2020). Therefore, shallow drains reduce the risk of crop damage from waterlogged conditions following heavy rainfalls because they lower the water table more quickly than deep drains.



Shallow drains



Deep drains

Figure 3- Shallow drains have fewer days of flow over the year, and they remove less annual drainage discharge than deep drains.





Among the seven locations, Lansing had the highest long-term average corn yield increase of 1.8% with the lowest growing-season rainfall of 17.2 inches (Figure 4). Baton Rouge had the largest yield decline of -1.0% with the highest growing-season rainfall of 26.1 inches. Plymouth had the least uniform rainfall distribution over the growing season, which benefited corn yield under shallow drains. Corn yield generally benefited from shallow drains at locations with lower growing-season rainfall and less uniform rainfall distribution over the growing season.

The percent increase in long-term average corn yield from 4.1-ft deep to 2.5-ft shallow drains was highest in a coarse-textured sandy loam soil (Figure 5). The corn yield benefit from shallow drains in coarse-textured soil is greater than fine-textured soil because shallow drains supply more moisture to crop roots.

The percent increase in long-term average corn yield with 2.5-ft shallow drains ranged from 1.5% to 6.5% in dry years at Waseca, Minnesota, and 1.1% to 2.2% at Lansing, Michigan (Figure 6). The other five locations also showed corn yield benefit from shallow drains during dry years. Shallow drains remove less annual drainage discharge (Section 5) and have a shallower average water-table depth (Section 4). The corn yield benefit from shallow drains is greater in dry years than wet years. As a result, shallow drains can reduce the risk of crop drought stress in dry years.

Climate scientists predict that wet seasons will become wetter and dry seasons will become drier in the future (Konapala et al., 2020). Research shows that the longer dry periods in the future can lead to crop yield decline (Adhikari et al., 2020). Therefore, less water removal during the summer with shallow drains may be beneficial to reduce drought stress in future summer seasons. Also, the wetter spring season may require lowering the water table more quickly, which is possible with shallow drains (Section 6). Overall, balancing the drainage design to manage both wetter spring and drier summer is needed.







Figure 6- Percent change in 30-year average corn yield (2.5-ft shallow drains minus 4.1-ft deep drains). Shallow drains can increase corn yield in dry years and reduce yield in wet years. Shallow drains reduce year-to-year yield variability.

7.4. Corn yield under shallow drains has less year-to-year variability

Corn yield variation under shallow drains was 16.2%, while deep drains had yield variation of 17.4% over 30 years in Lansing. The other six locations also showed a similar trend. This is because water-table depth fluctuates less for shallow drains than deep drains, so shallow drains can provide more consistent moisture to the root zone from year to year. Overall, corn yield is more consistent over time with shallow drains than for deep drains.

7.5. Overall corn yield response to shallow drains

The corn yield response to shallow drains is site specific. Corn yield response primarily depends on location (Section 7.1), soil properties (Section 7.2), dry or wet year (Section 7.3), and drainage design (drain depth and spacing). Future research should focus on developing decision-support tools to predict crop yield for a given drain depth and spacing at a specific field.

When designing a drainage system, make an informed drain depth decision by estimating the nitrate load reduction of shallow drains compared to deep drains. First, use the Drain Spacing Tool to estimate the average annual drainage discharge of shallow and deep drains. Then, use the Shallow Drains Tool to estimate the average annual nitrate load reduction of shallow drains compared to deep drains.

8.2. Phosphorus load

Few studies have investigated phosphorus loss from shallow drains compared to deep drains. Those studies have reported phosphorus load reduction from shallow drains (Fausey et al., 1995; Gramlich et al., 2018; King et al., 2015; Schwab et al., 1980). The general assumption is that shallow drains reduce the total phosphorus loss by reducing drainage discharge (King et al., 2015). However, more research is needed to confirm the effect of shallow drains on phosphorus loss. Stacking practices such as shallow drains with soil-health improving practices (reduced tillage, cover crops, diverse rotations, and manure or composting) and edge-of-field practices (filter strip, riparian buffer, and controlled drainage) can reduce phosphorus loss. More research is needed to determine the extent of phosphorus load reduction when stacking shallow drains with other conservation practices.



8. Impact of shallow drains on water quality

8.1. Reducing nitrate load

Reducing drainage discharge is the primary method of reducing nitrate load from a subsurface-drained field (Ross et al., 2016), and shallow drains reduce drainage discharge (Craft et al., 2018). Therefore, shallow drains reduce nitrate load by reducing drainage discharge.



Results showed that 2.5-ft shallow drains had a lower benefit-cost ratio because of their higher investment cost in narrower spacings than for 4.1-ft deep drains (Figure 7). Shallow drains gave a benefit-cost ratio of 13:1 for the sandy loam soil in Lansing, indicating that for every dollar invested in the shallow drains, the producer would receive \$12. The payback period was 1.2 years for the shallow drains and 0.6 years for the deep drains for the sandy loam soil in Lansing.

Research showed that shallow drains become more profitable than deep drains when considering the benefit of reducing N loss from the farm. This benefit is equal to the cost of nitrate removal from a water treatment plant (Skaggs & Chescheir, 2003). This means a nutrient trading program can increase the adoption of this practice, where the water treatment plant pays the producer for reducing nitrate loss from their farm. The Shallow Drains Tool estimates the nitrate load reduction of shallow drains (see section 8.1).


A diagram of a plant root cross-section. At the top, two shoot apices are shown with leaves. Below them, the root is divided into three main regions: the apical meristem (top), the elongation zone (middle), and the root cap (bottom). The root cap is shown as a series of cells at the very tip, protecting the meristem.

Roots of annual crops do not pose a risk of drain clogging when the drainage system is installed properly at typical depths ranging from 2.5 ft to 5 ft. The smaller, younger roots can enter the drainpipe, but they die after harvest and slowly decay, so their remains wash away.

Poor installation can cause root clogging because it creates an off-grade dip or hump in the drain that allows water to stand in the drain, thereby promoting root growth. For more information about root clogging mitigation and preventive measures, see Ghane (2025).



In some locations, frost reaches the level of the shallow drains, but it does not damage the drains. When drains are installed properly, water keeps moving in the drains toward the outlet, so water will not stand in the drains to freeze. If water stands in parts of the drains due to an off-grade dip or hump, it will not damage the drains because water has room to expand sideways in the drainpipe.





During the growing season, set the weir in the control structure of the deep drains close to the soil surface to stop the flow. This is as if the deep drains do not exist, and water can leave the field only through shallow drains at the original wider spacing. At the same time, manage the weir in the

Overall, combining shallow with deep drains is a potential design solution when adding new shallow drains to an existing deep drainage system. The benefit of this system is quicker drying of the soil in spring, retaining more water for the crop during the growing season, and reducing more nutrients from leaving the field during the growing season compared to a single-depth design.





Figure 8- A bi-level drainage system where the original deep drains work in combination with the new shallow drains.

11. Summary



The author expresses gratitude to the reviewers: Dr. Christopher H. Hay (Senior Research Scientist, Iowa Soybean Association), and Dr. Jeppe Kjaersgaard (Research Scientist, Minnesota Department of Agriculture).

Adhikari, N., Davidson, P. C., Cooke, R. A., & Book, R. S. (2020). DRAINMOD-linked interface for evaluating drainage system response to climate scenarios. *Applied Engineering in Agriculture*, 36(3), 303–319.
<https://doi.org/10.13031/aea.13383>

Brown, L. C., & Ward, A. D. (1997). *Understanding agricultural drainage* (AEX-320-97). Ohio State University.

Craft, K. J., Helmers, M. J., Malone, R. W., Pederson, C. H., & Schott, L. R. (2018). Effects of subsurface drainage systems on water and nitrogen footprints simulated with RZWQM2. *Transactions of the ASABE*, 61(1), 245–261.
<https://doi.org/10.13031/trans.12300>

Evans, R. O., & Fausey, N. R. (1999). Effects of inadequate drainage on crop growth and yield. *Agricultural Drainage. Agronomy Monograph*. 38. ASA, CSSA, SSSA.

Fausey, N. R., Brown, L. C., Belcher, H. W., & Kanwar, R. S. (1995). Drainage and water quality in Great Lakes and cornbelt states. *Journal of Irrigation and Drainage Engineering*, 121(4), 283–288.
[https://doi.org/10.1061/\(ASCE\)0733-9437\(1995\)121:4\(283\)](https://doi.org/10.1061/(ASCE)0733-9437(1995)121:4(283))

Ghane, E. (2025). *Why do subsurface drainage systems under-perform?* (E3451). Michigan State University Extension.
www.canr.msu.edu/drainage/

Ghane, E., & Askar, M. H. (2021). Predicting the effect of drain depth on profitability and hydrology of subsurface drainage systems across the eastern USA. *Agricultural Water Management*, 107072.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.agwat.2021.107072>

Gramlich, A., Stoll, S., Stamm, C., Walter, T., & Prasuhn, V. (2018). Effects of artificial land drainage on hydrology, nutrient and pesticide fluxes from agricultural fields: A review. *Agriculture, Ecosystems & Environment*, 266, 84–99.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.agee.2018.04.005>

Hornbuckle, J. W., Christen, E. W., & Faulkner, R. D. (2007). Evaluating a multi-level subsurface drainage system for improved drainage water quality. *Agricultural Water Management*, 89(3), 208–216.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.agwat.2007.01.004>

King, K. W., Williams, M. R., Macrae, M. L., Fausey, N. R., Frankenberger, J., Smith, D. R., Kleinman, P. J. A., & Brown, L. C. (2015). Phosphorus transport in agricultural subsurface drainage: A review. *Journal of Environmental Quality*, 44(2), 467-485. <https://doi.org/10.2134/jeq2014.04.0163>

Konapala, G., Mishra, A. K., Wada, Y., & Mann, M. E. (2020). Climate change will affect global water availability through compounding changes in seasonal precipitation and evaporation. *Nature Communications*, 11(1), 1-10. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-020-16757-w>

Ross, J. A., Herbert, M. E., Sowa, S. P., Frankenberger, J. R., King, K. W., Christopher, S. F., Tank, J. L., Arnold, J. G., White, M. J., & Yen, H. (2016). A synthesis and comparative evaluation of factors influencing the effectiveness of drainage water management. *Agricultural Water Management*, 178, 366-376. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.agwat.2016.10.011>

Schwab, G. O., Fausey, N. R., & Kopcak, D. E. (1980). Sediment and chemical content of drainage water. *Transactions of the ASAE*, 23(6), 1446-1449. <https://doi.org/10.13031/2013.34796>

Skaggs, R. W., & Chescheir, G. M. I. (2003). Effects of subsurface drain depth on nitrogen losses from drained lands. *Transactions of the ASAE*, 46(2), 237-244. <https://doi.org/10.13031/2013.12974>

Sojka, M., Kozłowski, M., Kesicka, B., Wrozyński, R., Stasik, R., Napierala, M., Jaskula, J., & Liberacki, D. (2020). The effect of climate change on controlled drainage effectiveness in the context of groundwater dynamics, surface, and drainage outflows. Central-western Poland case study. *Agronomy*, 10(5), 625. <https://doi.org/10.3390/agronomy10050625>





Michigan State University Extension programs and materials are open to all without regard to race, color, national origin, gender, gender identity, religion, age, height, weight, disability, political beliefs, sexual orientation, marital status, family status or veteran status. Issued in furtherance of MSU Extension work, acts of May 8 and June 30, 1914, in cooperation with the U.S. Department of Agriculture. Quentin Tyler, Director, MSU Extension, East Lansing, MI 48824. This information is for educational purposes only. Reference to commercial products or trade names does not imply endorsement by MSU Extension or bias against those not mentioned. 1P-07:2025-WEB-EG/LG WCAG 2.0

Copyright 2025 Michigan State University Board of Trustees