

# Analysis of the Value Chain for Root and Tuber Crops in Malawi: The Case of Cassava

#### Joseph S. Kanyamuka, Joseph K. Dzanja and Flora J. Nankhuni

Presented at World Bank 22<sup>nd</sup> ICABR Conference

Washington DC, USA.

June 13, 2018.





UNIVERSITEIT VAN PRETORIA UNIVERSITY OF PRETORIA YUNIBESITHI YA PRETORIA





#### INTRODUCTION

Second most important staple food crop after maize in Malawi

Accounts for over 30% of population (Alene et., 2013) & 41% of area under roots and tubers & over 43% of total production of roots & tubers (Ministry of Agriculture Production Estimates Survey, 2017)

Drought tolerant, high-yielding and low production costs (Sandifolo, 2016)

Effective at promoting dietary diversity, creating jobs, reducing rural poverty & promoting AFS GDP growth (Benfica & Thurlow, 2017)

#### **Top-Ranked Value-Chains in Malawi**



**Source:** Benfica & Thurlow, 2017: Identifying Priority Value Chains in Malawi

# Rationale of the Study

- The Government of Malawi approved the National Agriculture Policy (NAP) in 2016
- To operationalise the NAP, the Government embarked on the developing National Agriculture Investment Plan (NAIP)
- To generate some of the evidence needed to design and implement the NAIP, the Ministry of Agriculture commissioned several value chain studies, including one on roots and tubers
- The results of the study were to inform the identification of priority investment areas for development of commodity value chains under Malawi's NAIP.
- The key objective of the study was to carry out comprehensive mapping and value chain analysis of the cassava subsector in Malawi

#### **METHODOLOGY**

Literature Review

Field Work

Quantitative and qualitative data collection

- FGDs and KIIs
- Mapping of value chain actors
- Study areas: three regions covering 9 districts
- 250 farmers (21 farmer groups), 19 traders, 21 processors and 16 other key informants

## METHODOLOGY Cont'd

#### Analytical Approach

Value chain approach

Profitability Analysis

Cassava Early Generation Seed (EGS) Demand Analysis

SWOT Analysis

# **STUDY FINDINGS**



# **Production and Productivity**

- Cassava production in Malawi is dominated by smallholder farmers and is rain-fed dependent
- It has traditionally been viewed as a food crop that is increasing its importance due to Maize's vulnerability to climate change impacts
- There is high potential for cassava to become commercialized due to high (but unrealised) demand from the confectionary, packaging (starch) and livestock feed industries
- The main cassava growing areas in Malawi are the Northern belt along the lakeshore with bitter varieties and the southern cassava and Central belt where sweet varieties are predominant

Figure 1: Estimated cassava production in Malawi by district, 2016/17 cropping season, metric tons

> Malawi **Cassava production** 2016/17, by district metric tons less than 50,000 mt 50,000 to 100,000 100,000 to 250,000 250,000 to 500,000 more than 500,000 mt

**Total estimated national** production: 5,370,000 mt Source: Maps produced by Todd Benson (IFPRI) using APES and DLRC data

#### Figure 2: Suitability map for cassava grown under traditional management in Malawi Cassava (Manihot esculenta) Rainfed cultivation under traditional management A spatial assessment of land, soil, and climate suitability for crop production in Malawi Crop requirements Maximum vield, mt/ha Mean daily temperature - range. 17-3 22-28 Mean daily temperature - optimal. °C 180-3654 Length of growing period - range, days 240-365 Length of growing period - optimal, days Drought resistance Soil texture - range coarse to mediu Soil texture - optima mediur Soil depth - range, cm >50 Soil depth - optimal, cm >100 Soil drainage - range imperfect to excessive Soil drainage - optima moderately well to somewhat excessiv Waterlogging - range none to exceptiona Waterlogging - optimal Soil fertility requirement low to mo Soil reaction - range, pH 4.0-8.0 Soil reaction - optimal pl 5 5-7 0 Salinity tolerance - range, mmhos/cn Salinity tolerance - optimal, mmhos/cm Mean minimum temperature in the coldest month - range, °C Mean minimum temperature in the coldest >8 month - optimal, °C Highly suitable Moderately suitable Marginally suitable Not suitable Suitability not estimated

Map generated using the results of the Land Resources Evaluation Project, 1988-1992. A joint project of The Ministry of Agriculture of the government of Malawi The United Nations Development Programme; and The Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nat

April 2016.

Map prepared for the Ministry of Agriculture rrigation, and Water Development of the government of Malawi by the New Alliance Policy Acceleration Support project. implemented by Michigan State University and the International Food Policy Research Institute, with funding from the Malawi mission of the United States Agency for International Development

120 Kilometers 

District boundaries shown.

30 60

#### **Productivity of Cassava in Malawi**



## Marketing of Cassava and cassava products

- > Smallholders sell 25-50 % of produced cassava (Scramp, 2013)
- Fresh market takes up about 80% of marketed cassava; remainder in processing, manufacturing & confectionary industries (Alene et al. 2013)
- Other products: unmilled dried cassava roots(makaka), fermented cassava flour (kondoole), cassava chips, High Quality Cassava Flour (HQCF), livestock feed (Ndatani Premier Feeds)
- Potential demand for HQCF is estimated at about 16,000 mt/year (Sandifolo, 2011) but very little of this is exploited. The unexploited potential market for HQCF is in confectionary, packaging, and brewery industries (FAO 2017).
- The market for HQCF is Universal Industries, small-scale bakeries, mandazi (deep fried dough) producers, and staple food for Indian, Nigerian, Burundian & DRC populations.



## Price Value Changes along Cassava Value Chain

	Farmer	Trader	Processor		
Cassava Prices (MK/kg)	115.8	192.54	275.9	303	600
			(Cassava flour_1)	(HQCF)	(Cassava flour_2)
Price value change	Base	<b>66</b> %	43 %	57 %	212 %
Farmer-to processor			138 %	161 %	418%

1 US\$=725 MK

# Gross Margin Analysis along the Cassava Value Chain



**Proc = Processor; GM = Gross margins** 



#### **Cassava EGS Demand Analysis**

#### □ Key model variables:

• Adoption rates of improved varieties, seed rate, replacement rates, seed yield.

#### □ Three cases developed:

- **Current EGS supply:** Current level of supply in market, based on current adoption rate of improved varieties of 60% and current market conditions.
- **Potential EGS demand base case:** Assumed that adoption rate of improved varieties is 80% and all EGS specific recommendations are implemented, with other market impediments assumed to remain in place.
- **Potential EGS demand best case:** Assumed 90% adoption rate for improved varieties, all EGS specific recommendations are implemented, and other value chain and policy constraints are addressed (e.g., downstream value chain improvements, and best agronomic practices followed).

#### **Cassava EGS Analysis Results**



- 60% of 228,000 ha of land allocated to cassava (2016) with improved varieties
- Current demand-commercial seed estimated at 400 million cuttings nationwide
- When assessed at 80% and 90%, potential demand increases by over 600% and 800%, respectively, representing 9 fold increase



#### Challenges & Constraints in the Cassava Value Chain

Production level (producers)	Traders (marketing)	Processors	Policy level
<ul> <li>Limited availability &amp; accessibility to (clean) planting materials</li> <li>Pests and diseases contributing to low production and productivity:         <ul> <li>E.g. Cassava Mosaic Diseases and Cassava Brown Streak (CBS) and termites</li> </ul> </li> <li>Lack of reliable and established markets         <ul> <li>Non-establishment of contract farming</li> </ul> </li> <li>Limited extension &amp; research         <ul> <li>Poor agronomic practices</li> <li>Post-harvest losses</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Limited capital for business expansion</li> <li>Perishability that leads to spoilage</li> <li>Limited access to financial services</li> <li>Lack of storage facilities such as cold storage facilities.</li> <li>Low and seasonal production which is affecting supply on the market</li> <li>Limited market structures</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Limited investment in value addition technologies</li> <li>Poor quality equipment/machine ry</li> <li>Unreliable and intermittent power &amp; water supply</li> <li>Low &amp; inconsistent supply of raw materials</li> <li>Lack of quality standards for roots and tubers products</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Weak regulation and enforcement product quality standards         <ul> <li>Limited capacity</li> </ul> </li> <li>Lack of emphasis in some policy documents e.g. in the NES</li> <li>Limited government support         <ul> <li>Adhoc programs and interventions</li> </ul> </li> <li>No commodity specific development strategies/policies</li> </ul>



Sun drying of cassava in production of HQCF in Nkhotakota (Central Malawi)

Non-functional Cassava-starch processing factory-Nkhatabay (Northern Malawi)

# **Strategic Recommendations**

- Significant investments in the seed system to increase availability and access to quality planting materials.
- Investments in research and extension on appropriate varieties and best agronomic practices to improve productivity.
- Investments in irrigation technologies to support and promote winter production.
  - To increase productivity and maintain consistent supply on the market
- Investments in value-addition and agro-processing technologies to stimulate demand for various products, particularly HQCF.
- Farmer organisation development (e.g. contract farming) and training for market access.
- Capacity building for various players along the value chain in quality management of planting material, primary product and processed products.





# **THANK YOU**