

Anticipating Africa's Policy Challenges in the Decade Ahead: Africa's Changing Farm Structure and Employment Challenge

Prepared by the Regional Network of Agricultural Policy Institute (ReNAPRI)¹

Introduction

Even under optimistic assumptions about the rate of urbanization and growth of non-farm employment, agriculture will still be the main source of livelihood for the majority of Africans for at least the next several decades. Non-farm wage jobs in sub-Saharan Africa will be able to absorb between 40 to 65 percent of the additional 122 million workers estimated to enter the labor force before 2020 (Fine et al 2012; see also Losch 2012). This means that farming will be called upon to provide gainful employment for at least a third of Africa's young labor force. However, for agriculture to successfully provide employment, young people will require access to land.

Expansion of area under cultivation has been the major source of growth in agricultural production for many decades. While productivity growth on existing farmland will be the most desirable way of raising food production, it is almost certainly the case that agricultural growth will require bringing new land under cultivation.

How much arable land is left? How fast is it being claimed, and by whom? This note summarizes findings from a recent study by Jayne et al (2014). Since 2010, numerous studies have estimated the amount of potentially available cropland (PAC) in Africa (e.g., Deininger and Byerlee, 2011; Lambin et al, 2013; Chamberlin et al 2014). PAC is defined as the reserve of moderately to highly productive land that could be utilized for rainfed farming and is not currently under intensive use or legally protected. Independently, studies have carefully documented the amount of land recently acquired by foreign investors (e.g., Schoneveld, 2014). Flying under the radar are land acquisitions of largely unknown proportions by a somewhat nebulous class of "emergent" or medium-scale local farmers, whose characteristics have only recently been examined (Jayne et al, 2014).

There is dearth of information about the amount of economically viable arable land still remaining in the region to support agricultural cropland expansion for smallholder farmers. The possibility that indigenous rural communities may face land access problems associated with constraints on cropland expansion raise important employment questions that are seldom considered in national development strategies.

Key Messages

- Medium-scale farms are growing rapidly in much of Africa and now control more land than largescale foreign investors in each of the three countries examined (Ghana, Kenya, and Zambia).
- Medium-scale farms control more land than smallscale (0 to 5 hectare) holdings in at least Ghana and Zambia. There is a strong inverse correlation between landholding size and the proportion of land under cultivation.
- The rise of medium-scale farms reflects a rising demand for prime land by upper-class urban and rural people.
- Eighty-percent of sub-Saharan Africa's arable land reserves are in a handful of countries, many of which are fragile states. By contrast, many smallscale farming areas have become enclaves unable to expand because they are surrounded by lands of a different tenure system.
- Population growth in densely populated smallholder farming areas is contributing to growing land pressures and unsustainable forms of agricultural intensification.

¹ Also published as ReNAPRI Brief number 2



Main Findings

- 1. Large-scale acquisitions account for a significant portion of Africa's remaining arable land:** The recent comprehensive study by Schoneveld (2014) estimates that 22.7 million hectares of arable land in sub-Saharan Africa has been acquired by large-scale entities, with roughly 90% of this involving a foreign primary shareholder. According to our analysis, this is equivalent to roughly 15 to 35 percent of remaining potentially available cropland (PAC) in sub-Saharan Africa, if forestland is excluded, and somewhat less if forestland is included in PAC. However, Africa's PAC is highly concentrated in just a few countries, many of which are fragile states (Chamberlin et al 2014). Roughly a third of the region's surplus land is currently under forest cover; conversion of forests to cropland would entail major environmental costs.
- 2. The rise of medium-scale farms:** The most revolutionary change in farm structure has been among medium-scale holdings. In spite of the international media's focus on "land grabs" by foreign investors, in all three countries the land controlled by medium-scale farms now exceeds that of foreign and domestic large-scale holdings combined. Moreover, holdings between 5 and 100 hectares now account for more land than small-scale farms (0-5 hectares) in two of the three countries examined (Ghana and Zambia). However, there is a strong inverse correlation between landholding size and the proportion of landholding under cultivation (e.g., see Table 1 for Zambia). The fact that almost 90 percent of the land owned by Zambian farms in the 20 to 100 hectare landholding category remains uncultivated may explain the appearance of land abundance in a country where most small-scale farmers complain of an inability to acquire more land for themselves (e.g., Young 1999; Jayne et al., 2014).
- 3. Who are the medium-scale farmers?** "Life history" surveys of medium-scale farmers reveal that they are predominantly men, their primary jobs were in the non-farm sector, the majority of these being in government. Many of these farmers live in urban areas. They are relatively well-educated. The majority in Zambia acquired their farms after the age of forty. Using their savings from their non-farm jobs, they were able to acquire farms and enter farming during their mid-life stages. This profile fits roughly 60 percent of the sampled medium-scale farmers in Kenya and 58 percent in Zambia.

Key Messages (cont.)

- Land allocation priorities and public expenditure patterns will influence the rate of migration from farming to non-farm and from rural to urban areas, and will determine the extent to which Africa's rural youth seek employment as farmers.
 - It is in African leaders' vested interests to protect the land rights of rural communities. Africa's rural population is expected to rise by 48 percent between 2015 and 2050. Over 330 million young Africans will enter the job market between 2012 and 2025. Even under the most favorable scenarios for future urban job expansion, the non-farm economy will be able to absorb only two-thirds of this rapidly growing labor force. Hence, the availability of land for expansion of family farming -- combined with the pattern of public investments and enabling policies -- will determine whether a high proportion of young Africans are gainfully employed in agriculture or join the ranks of the urban unemployed, constituting political risks for African leaders
- 3.** A smaller but still important category of medium-scale farmer was relatively privileged rural-born men who were able to acquire large landholdings as they started out their careers. Only in Ghana was it found that a significant proportion of medium-scale farmers started out with less than five hectares of land. This provides at least some room for optimism that smallscale farmers can expand into commercialized medium-scale stature under favorable conditions including access to land.
 - 4. The distribution of landholdings is becoming more concentrated over time.** The Gini coefficients of land- holdings rose in all three countries substantially, e.g., in Ghana from 0.52 in 1992 to 0.65 in 2005. While landholdings in most of Africa are not as concentrated as in Latin America, where Gini coefficients can be as high as 0.90, the Ginis in our three African case studies are substantially higher than most Asian countries. In highly land-constrained Kenya, rural population growth and land subdivision has led to an alarming rise in the proportion of very small farms. Between 1994 and 2006, the proportion of Kenya's farms smaller than three hectares rose from 83 to 96 percent. However, average farm size among farms over 8 hectares grew by 230 percent over the same period, from 13.2 to 31.1 hectares.

4. While we cannot conclusively identify the reason for this increase, it is consistent with the evidence showing rapid new entries of relatively large landowners, even as the national median farm size declines. Clearly, the idea of a “unimodal” and egalitarian farm structure within Africa’s indigenous farming population has become outdated.

6. **Land markets are developing rapidly in more densely-populated areas.** The rise of land rental markets may provide some potential for the youth to access land, but because renting land generally involves providing the equivalent of one-third or more of the crop proceeds to the landlord, tenants must be extremely productive to make a reasonable livelihood by renting land.

Table 1. Changes in Farm Structure among Small- and Medium-Scale Farmers in Zambia

Landholding size Category	Number of farms			% change (2000 –2012)	% of total farmland		Share of land- holding cultivated 2012
	2001*	2009	2012		2009	2012	
0 – 2 ha	638,118	916,787	748,771	17.3%	24.1%	16.2%	91.2%
2 – 5 ha	159,039	366,628	418,544	163.2%	33.8%	31.7%	66.4%
5 – 10 ha	20,832	110,436	165,129	692.6%	20.3%	25.0%	49.5%
10 – 20 ha	2,352	35,898	53,454	2272.7%	12.3%	15.0%	36.7%
20 – 100 ha	--	9,030	13,839	53.3%**	9.5%	12.0%	10.9%
Total	820,341	1,438,779	1,399,737	70.6%	100.0%	100.0%	

Source: Ministry of Agriculture and Livestock and Central Statistical Office Crop Forecast Surveys.

*2001 figures are land under cultivation. **computed from 2009-2012 only.

5. **Despite the availability of land for acquisition by some groups, population growth in smallholder farming areas is contributing to land pressures and unsustainable forms of intensification.** Rural populations in sub-Saharan Africa are highly concentrated in fertile areas. Twenty percent of Africa’s 10km square gridcells contain 83 percent of its rural people. In a cross-county analysis over a 30-year period, Heady and Jayne (2014) found that rising population density is associated with smaller farms, more continuous use of land, reduced fallows, and only marginal increases in fertilizer use and irrigation. Migration from such areas may be advantageous for those with skills and education, but has major limitations. Urban migration is arguably already occurring at too rapid a pace to prevent rising unemployment and underemployment, as the rise in urban slums and shanty towns attest. Migration to more sparsely populated rural areas does and can continue to play an important role in relieving land pressures in densely populated rural areas - provided that land continues to be accessible in the receiving areas. Transferring large amounts of arable land to holders that employ little labor per unit of land may work at cross-purposes to promoting valuable forms of rural-rural migration.

Conclusions

Most governments’ existing strategies are officially oriented to promote agricultural growth and food security for the millions of their rural constituents who are small-scale farmers. However, most of these strategies assume unhindered access to land. In spite of rhetorical support for small-scale farmers, there are increasing concerns that de facto agricultural and land policies have encouraged, and are continuing to encourage, the transfer of land to medium - and large-scale interests without due recognition of how this is affecting land access by future generations of indigenous rural communities.

The rush for land among the wealthy occurs in the context of intensifying land constraints in the more densely-populated smallholder areas, which in some cases have become enclaves hemmed in from expansion because adjacent lands have been transferred to medium- and large-scale entities or they are under state tenure systems that cannot be allocated by traditional authorities to members of their rural communities. Median farm sizes are quite small and clearly declining in the densely-populated areas where most of the rural populations reside, while large tracts of land in nearby areas continue to be allocated by the state to medium- and largescale holdings.

“ Land available for profitable entry into family farming will also stem the tide of urban migration and hence reduce the number of unemployed job seekers in towns...”

Most governments' existing strategies are officially oriented to promote agricultural growth and food security for the millions of their rural constituents who are small-scale farmers. However, most of these strategies assume unhindered access to land. In spite of rhetorical support for small-scale farmers, there are increasing concerns that de facto agricultural and land policies have encouraged, and are continuing to encourage, the transfer of land to medium- and large-scale interests without due recognition of how this is affecting land access by future generations of indigenous rural communities.

While interest is increasingly focused on the relative efficiency of small-, medium- and large-scale agricultural production, we believe that there are two other important criteria to take into account to guide the allocation of Africa's remaining arable land.

First, which type of farm structure can provide the most “well above poverty-line” jobs per hectare allocated? Second, which type of farm structure will provide the greatest indirect employment effects through growth multipliers? Labor-intensive family farms capable of generating broadly-based income streams will support the growth of Africa's manufacturing and industrial base much more so than a concentrated farm sector where incomes from surplus production are generated by a small fraction of the rural population. Land available for profitable entry into family farming will also stem the tide of urban migration and hence reduce the number of unemployed job seekers in towns. While small-scale African agriculture has generally not thrived, it is important not to confuse missed opportunities with inherent lack of viability. Asia's “green revolutions” were powered by small-scale farms and provide hope for what Africa might achieve with similarly supportive policies and public expenditures. The advocacy of a large-scale commercial farm approach seems unable to address how the majority of Africa's rural population could effectively transition into productive non-farm jobs. Most types of large-scale agricultural production are capable of absorbing an exceedingly small fraction of the rural labor force (there are exceptions such as for sugarcane and horticultural crops), and unskilled farm labor in most cases pays very little above poverty-line wages. Moreover,

while increasing dynamism in non-farm employment is apparent in parts of Africa, it is estimated that the growth in wage-paying employment will only be able to absorb about two-thirds of the additional people entering the labor force between 2010 and 2020, even under the most favorable scenarios (Fine et al, 2012). Access to land to enable the expansion of small-scale agriculture will largely determine whether millions of rural Africans will make a decent livelihood and be able to feed themselves. Hence, even as Africa becomes progressively urbanized, smallholder agriculture will remain fundamental to absorb much of Africa's burgeoning young labor force into gainful employment (Losch, 2012). In fact, African leaders may soon perceive that political stability will depend on exploiting the potential for profitable family farming to shrink the numbers of disillusioned and unemployed youth that are already rising in much of the region as the labor force rapidly expands.

Suggested Readings:

Deininger, Klaus. D. Byerlee, with J. Lindsay, A. Norton, H. Selod and M. Stickler, 2011. *Rising Global Interest in Farmland: Can It Yield Sustainable and Equitable Benefits?* Washington, DC: World Bank Publications.

Lambin, Eric, H. K. Gibbs, L. Ferreira, R. Grau, P. Mayaux, P. Mey-froidt, D.C. Morton, T.K. Rudel, I. Gasparri, J. Munger, “Estimating the World's Potentially Available Cropland Using a Bottom-up Approach,” *Global Environmental Change* 23, no. 5 (October 2013): 892–901.

Chamberlin, Jordan, T. Jayne, and D. Headey, “Scarcity Amid Abundance? Reassessing the Potential for Cropland Expansion in Africa,” *Food Policy* 47, in press.

Schoneveld, George. “The geographic and sectoral patterns of large-scale farmland investments in sub-Saharan Africa,” *Food Policy* 47, in press, <http://www.sciencedirect.com.proxy1.cl.msu.edu/science/journal/aip/03069192>.

Chamberlin, Jordan, T. Jayne, and D. Headey, 2014. “Scarcity Amid Abundance? Reassessing the Potential for Cropland Expansion in Africa.” *Food Policy* 47, in press.

Headey, D. and T. Jayne. 2014. *Is Africa Different? Adaptations to Land Constraints.* *Food Policy* 47, in press.

Jayne, T.S., Antony Chapoto, Nicholas Sitko, Chewe Nkonde, Milu Muyanga, and Jordan Chamberlin. 2014. *Is the Scramble for Land in Africa Foreclosing a Smallholder Agricultural Expansion Strategy?* *Journal of International Affairs*, Spring Issue, in press.

Ravallion, Martin and G. Datt, 2002. Why Has Economic Growth Been More Pro-poor in Some States of India Than Others? *Journal of Development Economics* 68 (2), 381–400.

Lopez, R. and A. Valdes. 2000. Fighting Rural Poverty in Latin America: New Evidence of the Effects of Education, Demographics, and Access to Land, *Economic Development and Cultural Change* 49 (1), 197–221.

Contact person at ReNAPRI: Mr. Chance Kabaghe, Secretariat at the Indaba Agricultural Policy Research Institute, Lusaka Zambia

Email: chance.kabaghe@iapri.org.zm

Authors' Acknowledgment: We recognize the contributions from researchers in ReNAPRI:

1. The Institute of Social and Economic Research (IRES), University of Kinshasa, Democratic Republic of Congo;
2. Tegemeo Institute of Agricultural Policy and Development, Egerton University, Kenya;
3. Centre for Agriculture Research and Development (CARD), Bunda College, Malawi;
4. The Research Center for Agricultural and Food Policies and Programmes (CEPPAG), Eduardo Mondlane University, Mozambique;
5. Bureau for Food and Agricultural Policy (BFAP), Universities of Pretoria and Stellenbosch, South Africa;
6. Department of Agricultural Economics and Agri-business, Sokoine University of Agriculture (SUA), Tanzania;
7. Indaba Agricultural Policy Research Institute (IAPRI), Zambia.

Funding for the underlying research provided by : Feed the Future, USAID, IWMI, and The Bill & Melinda Gates Foundation.

This research is made possible by the generous support of the American people through the United States Agency for International Development (USAID) under the Feed the Future initiative. The contents are the responsibility study authors and do not necessarily reflect the views of USAID or the United States Government

Copyright © 2014, Michigan State University and University of ReNAPRI. All rights reserved. This material may be reproduced for personal and not-for-profit use without permission from but with acknowledgement to MSU and ReNAPRI.

Published by the Department of Agricultural, Food, and Resource Economics, Michigan State University, Justin S. Morrill Hall of Agriculture, 446 West Circle Dr., Room 202, East Lansing, Michigan 48824