Author Submission: Form A

Purpose:

The purpose of the review process is to ensure that materials produced by MSU Extension specialists and educators and released with the MSU Extension brand are of the highest quality possible. The review process allows other experts to offer their views on the suitability and quality of materials such as news articles, fact sheets, bulletins, reports and other products. An internal review is required to ensure the appropriateness of approaches to public policy education, the suitability and validity of recommendations, and the clarity and accuracy of research reports and interpretations.

What requires internal review?

- News articles that address public policy issues, make recommendations for action, or report or interpret
 research must be reviewed by at least one reviewer before they are released or disseminated. See below for
 definitions and examples.
- Other products such as fact sheets, bulletins and reports must be reviewed by at least two reviewers prior to dissemination.

Name:		Net ID:		Institute or department:	
Document title:					
Target audience: _					
Product description	on (choose one):				
News article	Fact sheet	Bulletin	Report	Other	

This is an author self-check. It requires carefully answer questions about their work **before** submitting for internal review.

Self-check questions:

Self-check questions:		
 1. Does this address a public policy issue? Review of these outputs ensures MSU Extension principles and guidelines for public policy education are followed. Examples may include: Medical marijuana ballot initiative Change of Michigan's Right to Farm law New federal nutrition labeling requirements Modifications of pesticide label Adding/removing animals to/from threatened or endangered list Change of local zoning ordinance Youth selling animals at auction 	YES. See sub-questions. NO. See question 2.	Public policy sub-questions: Yes No Does this provide opportunities and support for citizens to understand various dimensions of the issue provided? Yes No Does this identify the array of perspectives on the issue? Yes No Does this provide fair and balanced attention to each perspective? Yes No Does this refrain from taking a position on the issue? Yes No Does this follow the recommendations presented in Public Policy Education Principles and Guidelines for MSU Extension? If you answered NO to any sub-questions above, your document is NOT ready for review. Please revise your document prior to sending it to your identified reviewer(s).
 2. Does this make recommendations for individual, organizational, business or community action? Review of these outputs ensures recommendations are appropriate for the intended audience, location or practice. Examples may include: Pest control Livestock management Wildlife protection Diet or exercise Financial management Food safety Parenting/caregiving practices Child or youth development Volunteer management practices 	YES. See sub-questions. NO. See question 3.	Programment Recommendations sub-questions: Yes No Does this provide the rationale for the recommendation? Yes No Is the recommendation appropriate for the target audience? Yes No Is the recommendation geographically appropriate? Yes No Does this explain evidence that the recommendation is appropriate? Yes No Are the recommendation and the following consequences made clear? If you answered NO to any sub-questions above, your document is NOT ready for review. Please revise your document prior to sending it to your identified reviewer(s).
 3. Does this report and/or interpret research results? Review of these outputs ensures that the research followed sound scientific practice and communication is appropriate for the intended audience. Examples may include: Reports of field trial results Summary of published research report or journal article Sharing of original research results 	YES. See sub-questions. NO. See next section.	Research results sub-questions: Yes No Was the research conducted using sound research practice? Yes No Is the interpretation of the research results accurate? Yes No Are the results clearly communicated? Yes No Are the implications of the research or the reason for its importance clearly communicated? If you answered NO to any sub-questions above, your document is NOT ready for review. Please revise your

If you answered NO to all questions 1, 2 and 3, please rethink the educational need for this document to be published. If your document is an event posting, be sure to add it in dotCMS.

document prior to sending it to your identified reviewer(s).