



Getting more out of Irrigation

Lyndon Kelley

MSU Extension / Purdue University Irrigation Management Agent St. Joseph Co. MSU Extension, 612 E. Main St., Centreville, MI 49032

Kelleyl@anr.msu.edu, 269-467-5511

www.msue.msu.edu/stjoseph

- then click the Irrigation button

MICHIGAN STATE Extension

St. Joseph County

10

A STATE

How to Use This Site | Agriculture and Agribusiness | Children and Youth | Greening Michigan | Health and Nutrition | Reference

OUR MISSION	St. Joseph County Staff
Helping people improve their	Dear Friends of Extension:
irves through an educational process that applies knowledge to critical needs, issues, and opportunities.	The St. Joseph County Michigan State University Extension is pleased to make information available to you. Our purpose is to pr programs and assistance in Agriculture and Natural Resources, Children, Youth and Family, and Community and Economic Develop further assistance, please contact us by e-mail, mail, phone, fax or a visit. To view our 2011 Annual Report click here.
LOCAL INFORMATION	Sincerely,
Home	Michigan
4-H & Youth	Extension Educator
Agriculture	Diana Fair
Comm. & Economic Development	Extension Educator, Improving Health & Nutrition Institute
Family Consumer Sciences	Extension Educator, Irrigation
Home Horticulture	Eva Beeker Program Coordinator, 4-H Youth Development
Irrigation	

뗹 Symantec Endpoint P.... P getting more from Irr ...

ASUE St. Joseph Cou...



Getting more out of Irrigation

- Uniform application of water
- Preventing Irrigation Runoff
- •Water Supply- Quantity
- •Water Supply Quality Factors
- Scheduling water the crop when it needs it
 Fertigation-Chemigation

Irrigation System Uniformity

An 1" application should be 1" everywhere in the irrigated field

- 10% or less deviation from the average is ideal.
 Over applied area will likely be over applied each application
- •Under applied areas will likely be under applied each application

A 30% deviation on a field in an 8" irrigation application year will have areas receiving as little as 5.6" and as great as 10.4"

Repair all visible system leaks and problems first.

Irrigation System Uniformity Evaluation

- Identify performance below 85% uniform
- Create a list of needed repairs / improvements
- Determine actual application

Irrigator trainings

- Crop consultants
- CD
- Farmers



Catch Can Volume (ml)



http://web1.msue.msu.edu/stjoseph/anr/anr.htm

Greatest improvement needed

- End gun stop adjustment
- Water supply over or under design
- End gun orifice, too little or too much
- Wrong sprinkler or tip
- Leaks, plugs and <u>no turn sprinklers</u>

Water supply over or under design

supply over design yield tail up, supply under design yield tail down

Example of Water supply under volume for sprinkler design



Over and under application issue affect the majority of the application

area



Most system apply within 85% of the expected application

1	MSU Exte	ension Irrig	gation Sys	tem Evalu	ation Tool	l, 1-23-07							
2	Form Nome		arm										
4			ann				Overtern	Uniformi	the Cooff	liciont =	70		
3							System	Uniform	ty Coen	icient =	<u>79</u>		
4	System Iden	itification	Cornering Ar	m System or	1	e Farm-Behind House		Good Syste	em uniformit	y coefficient a	are 85 or greater		
5			Cornering Ar	m Extended			D	eviation from	n desired a	oplication =	-0.04	1.	
6	System Sett	tings	0.5				507 1					10pl	
-	Applica	ation rate (in)	0.5				VVind	speed (mph)		4 mpn	41		
8	Percent time	r Setting (%)	19			Wind Cor	ndition (variab	ile or steady)		steady			
9	Operating F	Pressue (psi)											η_{i}
10		Rate of app	incation cale	ulator	highoot roto	contion of overtern (mit	<u></u>	22		Inchos/Hour	1.75	TOR	$\sqrt{\sqrt{2}} \mathbf{A}$
12		Pate of appli	ant to end or a	application at highest rate	enction of ev	section of system (nin stem (minute /one incl	າ.) ນ	48.00		Inches/Hour	1.20		C/
13		reate of appli		Ingriest fate	Section of Sy	stern (minute zone mer	y Average Apr	lication (cm)		1 164			
14	le	noth of evalua	ation area (ft)	1340			Average At	nulication (in)		0.46			
15	Catch	Can Spacing	Distance (ft)	10									•
16			(-)			Average	catch, collec	ted only (ml)		88.95			
17	number of	fcan sdata c	ollected from	129		70'	% average ca	atch can (ml)		59.94			
18		numbe	r of cans set	134		Evaluat	ion area, full	circle (acres)		122.82			
19						catch c	an openning	area (sq cm)		76.977			
20	D	iameter of ca	tch can (cm)	9.9		catch	can opennin	g area (sq in)		11.767			
21									1				
22			Distance	catch	Data					Deviation	Area covered	Area covered	
23	catch can		from center	volume in	adjustment		Water	Water	% applied	from	per catch can	per catch can	Weighted
24	number		point	ml		Comments	volume (cm)	volume (in)	of average	average (%)	(acres)	(% of total)	Deviation
25	1		10		88.95		1.156	0.455	99.26%	-0.74%	0.01623	0.01%	0.0001
26	2		20		88.95		1.156	0.455	99.26%	-0.74%	0.02885	0.02%	0.0002
27	3		30		88.95		1.156	0.455	99.26%	-0.74%	0.04327	0.04%	0.0003
28	4		40		88.95		1.156	0.455	99.26%	-0.74%	0.05770	0.05%	0.0005
29	5		50		88.95		1.156	0.455	99.26%	-0.74%	0.07212	0.05%	0.0006
30	b 7		50	105	88.95		1.156	0.455	99.26%	-0.74%	0.08655	0.07%	0.0007
31	/		70	125	0.00		1.624	0.639	139.48%	<u>39.48%</u>	0.10097	0.08%	0.0011
32	Ŭ		80	75	0.00		0.974	0.384	400.00%	- <u>16.31%</u>	0.11539	0.09%	0.0008
33	9 10		90	115	0.00		1.494	0.588	147.400	<u>20.32%</u> 17.400/	0.12982	0.11%	0.0014
-⊰ <u>π</u> I€ -€	▶ N Data	- Entry / Uni	formity Graph	7			1 564	1153/	117 16%	17 Ib%	11 14474	1112%	1111114

Measure flow at desired pressure prior to ordering sprinkler package





Poor performance:

Ask dealer to measure flow at peak water use season and compare to design parameters.





Preventing Irrigation Runoff

(comparing irrigation application rate to soil infiltration rate)

Sprinkler package or nozzle selection along with pressure dictates water application rate.

Factors that *increase* runoff

- •Small Wetted area or throw of sprinkler
- Low Pressure
- Larger applications volumes
- Soil compaction
- •Heavy soils
- •Slope
- •Row hilling



Quality Factors

- Foreign material clogs pumps, screen and nozzlessand, algae, aquatic plants and fish/frogs
- Salt salinity (western problem)
- Calcium and other elements that deposit in pipes
- Disease agents manure effluent, waste treatment facilities,
- warm surface water- major vegetable production limitations
- Aquatic weed treatment-lake algae milfoil treatment



From Minnesota Extension bulletin "Irrigation Scheduling", assuming temperature 80-89

Three factor reducing effective water application

2. Lack of system uniformity5-35% loss in effectiveness



Catch Can Volume (ml)

1. Irrigation Runoff

(comparing irrigation application rate to soil infiltration rate) 0 -30 % loss



3. Evaporative loss to the air
Minimal loss in our humid area
0 - 6%
Estimated 4-6% loss in Nebraska

Quantity Needed

- Maximum water use for most crops is .27 .32 in./day
- 3 gal/minute/acre pump capacity = 1"/week
- 5 gal/minute/acre pump capacity = .25 in./day
- 7 gal/minute/acre pump capacity =.33 in./day, 1"every 3 days
- 500 gal/minute pump can provide 1" every 4 days on 100 acres

Can you Irrigate every hour you want ?





Irrigation Scheduling

- Method to determine the appropriate amount of water to be applied to a crop at the correct time to achieve healthy plants and conserve water
- Can measure soil moisture

Or

 estimate evapotranspiration (ET) using weather data

Potential ET measured by weighing lysimeter

Determining irrigation requirements

- The plant water requirement includes the water lost by evaporation into the atmosphere from the soil and soil surface
- and by transpiration, which is the amount of water used by the plant.
- The combination of these is evapotranspiration (ET).



Table 2. Average water use for CORN in inches/day

							Week	after e	merge	nce								
Temperature F	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18
1 2 0 4 0 0 50-59 .01 .02 .03 .04 .05 .0 60-69 .02 .03 .04 .06 .08 .0 70-79 .03 .04 .05 .07 .10 .1 80-89 .03 .05 .07 .09 .13 .1 90-99 .04 .06 .08 .11 .15 .1					.06 .09 .12 .15 .18	.08 .11 .15 .18 .21	.09 .12 .16 .20 .24	.09 .13 .17 .22 .26	.10 .15 .19 .24 .28	.10 .14 .19 .23 .27	.10 .14 .18 .22 .26	.09 .13 .17 .21 .25	.07 .11 .14 .17 .20	.06 .09 .11 .14 .17	.05 .07 .09 .11 .13	.04 .06 .07 .09 .11	.03 .04 .05 .06 .07	
Corn growth stages	-79 .03 .04 .05 .07 .10 .7 -89 .03 .05 .07 .09 .13 .7 -99 .04 .06 .08 .11 .15 .7 orn growth 1 ↑ ↑ stages leaf leaf				'	•	1 st tassel	∱ silk	ı	î blister kernel	•	1	↑ early dent	∱ dent	1	1	•	

Table 3. Average water use for SOYBEANS in inches/day

						We	ek aft	er eme	rgenc	е							
Temperature F	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17
50-59 60-69.02 .02.02 .03 						.07 .10 .13 .19 .20	.08 .11 .15 .20 .22	.09 .13 .17 .21 .25	.09 .13 .18 .22 .26	.09 .13 .18 .22 .26	.09 .13 .17 .21 .25	.08 .11 .15 .18 .22	.07 .10 .13 .16 .19	.05 .08 .10 .13 .16	.05 .07 .09 .11 .13	.03 .04 .05 .06 .08	.02 .02 .03 .03 .05
Soybean growth stages	00-99 .05 .07 .11 .14 .17 .20 pybean ↑ growth stages 3 rd trifoliate							↑ 1 st flower	fu flov	t III wer	ро	↑ upper od fillin	ng	ye	î 1st ellowp	od	

Irrigation Scheduling Checkbook Method

Table 6. Average water use for ALFALFA in inches/day

	Use th 4 we stai	iis chai eeks af rts in th	rt for th ter gro ne spri	ne first wth ng.	Use th first 3 \ and	is char ⊮eeks 2 nd cut	t for th after 1 tings.	le st	Use the f after	this ch irst 3 ∨ r 3rª cu	art for /eeks tting.	Use th cove th	is chart ered by e respe	t for the earlier ective r	e week charts nonths	s not for
Temperature F	emperature 1 2 3 4				1	2	3		1	2	3	May	June	July	Aug	Sept
50-59 60-69 70-79 80-89 90-99	.04 .04 .05 .06 .08	.05 .07 .09 .11 .13	.06 .09 .12 .15 .18	.07 .11 .14 .18 .21	.06 .10 .12 .14 .18	.07 .12 .15 .18 .22	.09 .14 .18 .22 .27		.05 .09 .12 .15 .17	.06 .10 .14 .18 .21	.09 .13 .17 .21 .25	.07 .11 .14 .18 .21	.09 .14 .18 .22 .27	.09 .14 .18 .22 .27	.09 .13 .17 .21 .25	.07 .10 .13 .16 .19
Alfalfa growth																

stages

Table 7. Average water use for WHEAT in inches/day

					Week	after e	emerge	ence						
Temperature F	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14
50-59 60-69 70-79 80-89 90-99	.02 .03 .04 .05 .06	.03 .05 .07 .08 .10	.05 .07 .10 .12 .15	.06 .09 .12 .16 .18	.08 .12 .17 .20 .24	.09 .13 .17 .22 .26	.10 .15 .19 .24 .29	.10 .14 .19 .24 .28	.09 .13 .18 .22 .26	.09 .13 .17 .21 .25	.07 .10 .13 .16 .19	.05 .07 .10 .12 .15	.03 .05 .07 .08 .10	.02 .03 .04 .04 .05
Wheat growth stages		1	î tillering		† jointing	9	î headin	g	î early milk		↑ early dough	↑ hard dougi	n	•

Table 4. Average water use for FIELD BEANS in inches/day

					Week	after e	merge	nce						
Temperature F	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14
50-59 60-69 70-79 80-89 90-99	.02 .04 .05 .06 .07	.03 .04 .06 .07 .09	.05 .07 .09 .11 .13	.06 .08 .11 .13 .16	.07 .11 .14 .18 .21	.08 .12 .16 .20 .24	.09 .13 .17 .22 .25	.09 .14 .19 .23 .26	.09 .14 .19 .23 .26	.09 .13 .17 .22 .25	.08 .12 .15 .19 .23	.06 .09 .11 .14 .17	.04 .06 .07 .09 .11	.02 .03 .04 .04 .05
Field bean growth stage	es		t	↑ 2 nd trifoliat	e	1 st flower			↑ seed filling		S	↑ leaves /ellowin	9	•

Table 5. Average water use for POTATOES in inches/day

						We	ek afte	er eme	rgence	e							
Temperature F	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17
50-59 60-69 70-79 80-89 90-99	.02 .03 .04 .05 .06	.03 .05 .06 .07 .09	.04 .06 .09 .11 .13	.06 .08 .11 .14 .16	.07 .10 .13 .16 .19	.08 .12 .16 .19 .23	.08 .12 .18 .21 .24	.09 .13 .18 .22 .26	.09 .13 .18 .22 .26	.09 .13 .17 .21 .25	.09 .13 .17 .21 .25	.07 .11 .14 .18 .21	.07 .10 .13 .16 .19	.06 .09 .11 .14 .17	.05 .07 .09 .11 .13	.04 .05 .07 .09 .11	.04 .05 .07 .08 .10
Potato growth stages			1 1 în.	↑ buddir	ng	↑ full cover											

Minnesota Data

Table 2. Average water use for CORN in inches/day

							Week	after e	merge	nce								
Temperature F	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18
50-59 60-69 70-79 80-89 90-99	.08 .11 .15 .18 .21	.09 .12 .16 .20 .24	.09 .13 .17 .22 .26	.10 .15 .19 .24 .28	.10 .14 .19 .23 .27	.10 .14 .18 .22 .26	.09 .13 .17 .21 .25	.07 .11 .14 .17 .20	.06 .09 .11 .14 .17	.05 .07 .09 .11 .13	.04 .06 .07 .09 .11	.03 .04 .05 .06 .07						
Corn growth stages	-	↑ 3 leaf	-	-	↑ 8 leaf	-	-	1 [≰] tassel	↑ silk	-	↑ blister kernel	- -	. ,	↑ early dent	↑ dent	-	-	-

Michigan Data

								1	Neeks f	rom Em	ergence	e						
		1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17
Max																		
Temp	Eto	0.25	0.25	0.25	0.38	0.57	0.75	0.94	1.12	1.2	1.2	1.2	1.2	1.1	0.86	0.62	0.41	0.63
50 - 59	0.07	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.03	0.04	0.05	0.07	0.08	0.08	0.08	0.08	0.08	0.08	0.06	0.04	0.03	0.04
60 - 69	0.12	0.03	0.03	0.03	0.05	0.07	0.09	0.11	0.13	0.14	0.14	0.14	0.14	0.13	0.10	0.07	0.05	0.08
70 - 79	0.15	0.04	0.04	0.04	0.06	0.09	0.11	0.14	0.17	0.18	0.18	0.18	0.18	0.17	0.13	0.09	0.06	0.09
80 - 89	0.17	0.04	0.04	0.04	0.06	0.10	0.13	0.16	0.19	0.20	0.20	0.20	0.20	0.19	0.15	0.11	0.07	0.11
90 +	0.21	0.05	0.05	0.05	0.08	0.12	0.16	0.20	0.24	0.25	0.25	0.25	0.25	0.23	0.18	0.13	0.09	0.13

Think of your soil as a bank

Water holding capacity: The soil (bank) can hold only a given volume of water before it allows it to pass lower down. Soil type : Heavier soil can hold more water / foot of depth than light soils

Intake rate: Water applied faster than the soil intake rate is lost.

Rooting depth: The plant can only get water to the depth of it's roots.

Deletion: Plants can pull out only 30 - 60% of the water

Water lost from the bottom of the profile can wash out (leach) water soluble nutrients and pesticides.

Scott Court Indiana

Calculating Water Holding Capacity

Data from "Soil survey of Fulton County Indiana"

Soil Name	Depth Inches	Available water holding capacity	Average Available water holding capacity	Ave. Available water holding capacity (24 in.)	Ave. Available water holding capacity (36 in.)
Gilford	0 – 10	0.16 – 0.18	0.17	10" x 0.17 = 1.70	10" x 0.17 = 1.70
	10 –24	0.12 – 0.14	0.13	14" x 0.13 = 1.82	14" x 0.13 = 1.82
	24 - 60	0.05 - 0.08	0.07		12" x 0.07 = 0.84
				= 3.52	
					= 4.36
Sebewa	0 – 11	0.12 – 0.20	0.16	11" x 0.16 = 1.76	11" x 0.16 = 1.76
	11 – 30	0.15 – 0.19	0.17	13" x 0.17 = 2.21	13" x 0.17 = 2.21
	30 - 60	0.02 - 0.04	0.03		12" x 0.03 = 0.36
				= 3.97	
					= 4.33

Rain Gauges and data

- Basic unit 2 inch opening
- Cost less than \$10
- One rain gauge for each 80 acres.
- Recording rain gauge cost \$50 - \$100

http://www.cocorahs.org/state.aspx?state=in

Draft SOIL WATER BALANCE SHEET

MICHIGAN STATE UNIVERSITY

Extension

			Field:			(Crop:				Emera	ence dat	e:					
			P	umping	capacity	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	gp	m per acre	9 =		net ap	plication	inches p	er day				
Availab	le Wate	r Capaci	ity:		• •	inches		Root Zone		Inc	hes							
Growth	Stage:				Veg	etative		Critica	l Growth		Maturing							
						%			%			%						
Allowat	ole Soil	Water D	eficit:			inches			inches			inches						
	Start	ting Soil	Water De	eficit	0.1	SWD -	CWU	+ Rainfall	+ Net Irr	= New	SWD							
Date	e	Kc	Potentia (PET	al ET (Crop Water Use CWU)= PET*Kc	Rainfa	all	Net Irrigation (Net Irr)	Soil wat deficit (SWD	ter t	Notes							
6/25/2	2013			0.16	0			(1	.00								
6/26/2	2013			0.16	0				1	.16								
6/27/2	2013			0.16	0				1	.32			\sim		0-			
6/28/2	28/2013 0.16 0 '29/2013 0.19 0 20/2012 0.10 0								1	.48				26	223	0		
6/29/2	5/29/2013 0.19 5/29/2013 0.19 5/30/2013 0.19				0		0.60		1	.07				6		2		
6/30/2	6/29/2013 0.19 6/30/2013 0.19 7/1/2013 0.19			0				1	.26				0.0	5000				
7/1/2	6/30/2013 0.1 7/1/2013 0.1			0.19	0				1	.45								
7/2/2	2013			0.19	0				1	.64								
7/3/2	2013			0.2	0			1.00	0	.84								
7/4/2	2013			0.2	0				1	.04								
7/5/2	2013			0.2	0				1	.24								
7/6/2	2013			0.2	0				1	.44								
71712	2013			0.2	0				1	.64								
7/8/2	2013			0.2	0				1	.84								
7/9/2	2013			0.2	0			1.00	1	.04								
1									<u>Weeks f</u>	f <u>rom E</u>	mergenc	e						
		1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17
Max	_																	
Temp	Eto	0.25	0.25	0.25	0.38	0.57	0.7	5 0.94	1.12	1.2	1.2	1.2	1.2	1.1	0.86	0.62	0.41	0.63
50 - 59	0.07	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.03	0.04	0.0	5 0.07	0.08	0.08	0.08	0.08	0.08	0.08	0.06	0.04	0.03	0.04
60 - 69	0.12	0.03	0.03	0.03	0.05	0.07	0.0	9 0.11	0.13	0.14	0.14	0.14	0.14	0.13	0.10	0.07	0.05	0.08
70 - 79	0.15	0.04	0.04	0.04	0.06	0.09	0.1	1 0.14	0.17	0.18	0.18	0.18	0.18	0.17	0.13	0.09	0.06	0.09
80 - 89	0.17	0.04	0.04	0.04	0.06	0.10	0.13	3 0.16	0.19	0.20	0.20	0.20	0.20	0.19	0.15	0.11	0.07	0.11
90 +	0.21	0.05	0.05	0.05	0.08	0.12	0.10	6 0.20	0.24	0.25	0.25	0.25	0.25	0.23	0.18	0.13	0.09	0.13

	_				
Crop Stage	Kc	Crop Water Use by Growt	n St	age – G	age – Corn
V2	0.1	Information on this page from the Univers	ity of Nebra	aska Extensi	aska Extension
V4	0.18		D4 L		
V6	0.35	R1 Silking	Dough		
V8	0.51	V8	N/A		
V10	0.69	8-Lear	No		
V12	0.88	V6 V6			
V14	1.01	4-Leaf	No'		
16-Beginning I	Dent 1.1	2-Leaf	ch'		
Silking	1.1	T. L. K. E. C.			
Blister	1.1		Pumpir	ig caj	ig capacityAverag
Dough	1.1	Corn Growth Stages	(gpm/a	cre)	cre)
		2 leaf (V2): Two collars visible.			65%
Begin Dent.	1.1	4 leaf (V4): Four collars visible.			***** N
Full Dent.	0.96	6 leaf (V6): Growing point above ground, tassel forms.*	4		0.14
		8 leat (V8): Ear formation begins.	5		0.17
Black Layer	0.6	Sirking (R1). Sirks are visible outside nusk.	⁰		0.21
Full Maturit	y 0.1	Dough (R4). Endospenn milk turns trick and pasty.			0.24
		* Paint/Mark V6 leaf to make counting easier			0.28
					0.51

Draft SOIL WATER BALANCE SHEET

V2 V4 V6 V8 V10 V12 V14 V16-VT Silking Blister Dough Begin Dent Full Dent Black Laye Full Maturi MICHIGAN STATE UNIVERSITY

Extension

Field:				Crop:Emerg		Emerg	ence date:	
Pumping capa				apacity:gpm per acre =) =	net ap	plication inches per day
Available Water Capacity:			inches F		Root Zone I			
Growth Stag	e:		Veg	etative	Critica	Growth	Maturing	
				%		%		%
Allowable Sc	oil Water D	eficit:		inches		inches		inches
Sta	arting Soil	Water Deficit	0.1	SWD - CWL	J + Rainfall	+ Net Irr = N	ew SWD	
				Crop Water		Net	Soil water	
	Crop		Potential	Use (CWU)=		Irrigation	deficit	
Date	Stage	Kc	ET (PET)	PET*Kc	Rainfall	(Net Irr)	(SWD)	Notes
6/25/2013	V-12	1	0.2	0.2			0.30	
6/26/2013	V-12	1	0.21	0.21			0.51	
6/27/2013	V-14	1.1	0.21	0.231			0.74	
6/28/2013	V-14	1.1	0.2	0.22			0.96	
6/29/2013	V-14	1.1	0.2	0.22	0.60		0.58	
6/30/2013	V-14	1.1	0.2	0.22			0.80	
7/1/2013	V-16-VT	1.20	0.2	0.24			1.04	
7/2/2013	V-16-VT	1.20	0.2	0.24			1.28	
7/3/2013	V-16-VT	1.20	0.2	0.24		1.00	0.52	
7/4/2013	V-16-VT	1.20	0.2	0.24			0.76	
7/5/2013	V-16-VT	1.20	0.2	0.24			1.00	
7/6/2013	S	1.20	0.2	0.24			1.24	
7/7/2013	S	1.20	0.2	0.24			1.48	
7/8/2013	S	1.20	0.2	0.24			1.72	
7/9/2013	S	1.20	0.2	0.24		1.00	0.96	
	Crop StagK, Rooting D Crop Water Use by Growth Stage – Corn							

	Rooting D	Crop water Use by Growth Stage – Cor
0.11	6	
0.20	10	Informationon this page from the university of Nebraska
0.39	15	P1 R4 W
0.56	20	Silking Dough A
0.76	23	Sliking
1.0	26	V8 NA IVA
1.1	28	8-Leaf
1.2	30	
1.2	30	V6 PARTICIPACITY
1.2	30	V4 6-Leaf
1.2	30	4-Leaf
1.2	30	
1.0	30	2-Lear A A A A
0.66	30	
0.11	30,	

📑 Irrigation Schedu	ler - [New File]		
Field, Crop & Soil Da	ta Weather & Irrigation Data		
Farm Name		Soil Map Unit Symbol	I <u>m</u> port S
Field ID		Soil Component Name	

×

Farm Name		Soil Map Unit Symbol	I <u>m</u> port Soil				
Field ID		Soil Component Name					
Location	~	Water Holding Capacity	Inches				
Crop	~	Emergence Moisture	%				
Emergence Date	mm/dd/yy	Minimum Moisture	%				
Growing Season	Days						
Projected Yield	Units/Acre	Calculation Date	mm/dd				
Rooting Depth	Feet						
Notes	www.agry.purdue.ed	du/irrigation/IrrDown.h	<u>itm</u>				
<u>N</u> ew <u>O</u> pen <u>R</u> eopen <u>S</u> ave <u>C</u> alc Opt <u>i</u> ons <u>?</u> <u>H</u> elp <u>A</u> bout <u>Ex</u> it							
Enter the name of the farm to be irrigated.							

🐂 Michiana Irrigation Scheduler - J&L.IRR

Field, Crop & Soil Data

Weather & Irrigation Data

Day	Date	Normal	High	Low	Rainfall	Irrigation	_
		Temp.	Temp.	Temp.	(in.)	(in.)	
39	Jun 15	67	80	61			
40	Jun 16	67	83	67			
41	Jun 17	68	78	63			
42	Jun 18	68	69	61			<u>G</u> et Temps
43	Jun 19	68	72	51		.8	
44	Jun 20	68	73	45			
45	Jun 21	68	69	53	.1		
46	Jun 22	69	80	54			
47	Jun 23	69	70	49			
48	Jun 24	69	72	51		.8	•
<u>N</u> ew <u>O</u> pen <u>S</u> ave <u>C</u> alc Options <u>7 H</u> elp <u>A</u> bout <u>Ex</u> it							

Enter the field's daily temperature, rainfall and irrigation data.

Schedule Calculated For	Sep 20	Amount That Can Be Safely Added	0.01 in.
Evapotranspiration Rate	0.00 in.	lf No Rain, You Can Add 1 Inch In	354 days
Soil Profile Moisture Content	100 %	Estimated Water Loss For Season	17.39 in.

Day	Date	Temp.	Dev. from	Rainfall	Irrigation	Soil Mois.	Soil Mois. 🔄 📥
		(°F)	Normal	(in.)	(in.)	(%)	(relative) —
1	May 08	66	+14			100	++++++
2	May 09	70	+17	0.70		105	++++++
3	May 10	70	+17	0.10		113	++++++++
4	May 11	73	+19	0.10		105	++++++
5	May 12	68	+13			100	++++++
6	May 13	70	+15	1.00		105	++++++
7	May 14	54	-2	0.70		103	++++++
8	May 15	57	+1			100	++++++
٠ · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·							

<u>P</u>rint

<u>C</u>lose

Browse the daily calculations.

Irrigation Scheduling Checkbook Challenges

Errors will accumulate over time -Weekly ground truthing needed

Rainfall variability is more than often considered

Only "effective" rainfall and irrigation should be considered - Only water entering root zone uniformly is "effective"

Corn crop mature in program by calendar, not heat

?? Soil Moisture ??

Methods to Estimate Soil Moisture

- Feel and Appearance
- Electrical resistance electrodes on blocks in soil
- Tensiometers measures soil moisture tension

Estimating Soil Moisture by Feel and Appearance

Fine sand and loamy fine sand soils

Available Soil Moisture	Description	Illustration		
0-25	Appears dry, will hold together if not disturbed, loose sand grains on fingers.			
25-50	Slightly moist, forms a very weak ball with well-defined finger marks, light coating of loose and aggregated sand grains remain on fingers.			
50-75	Moist, forms a weak ball with loose and aggregated sand grains on fingers, darkened color, light uneven water staining on fingers.			

Monitoring soil wetted front -12 hrs. after irrigation

1/2" into dry soil

1/2" into moist soil

1" into dry soil

1" into moist soil

If your 1" application did not go down as far as it did last week ??? - your irrigation is not keeping up.

Scheduling by comparison

Irrigated portion of field should look better than the dry corners/area

Over water observation area should not look significantly better than the adjacent irrigated portion of field.

Probe and compare:

- Dry corners
- Over irrigated
- Normal irrigated field
 - Soaker hose attached at pivot point

science for a changing world

• 100% higher output sprinkler

Assure the best plant stand possible

- Irrigate, if necessary, to make sure to get maximum germination and uniform emergence.
- ¹/₂ inch in most irrigated soil within five days of planting.
- Maintain a moist surface,0.10" to 0.20" applications, till spike.
- Are you ready to irrigate the day you plant?

Using irrigation to get the most from pesticides and nutrients

Timely application of irrigation water:

- Improves incorporation of herbicides.
- Improves activation of herbicides.
- Improves activation/reactivation of insecticides.
- Reduces nitrogen volatilization.
- Maximizes yield to utilize the resources.

Chemigation – Application of pesticide via irrigation water.

Fertigation – Application of fertilizer via irrigation water.

Time

The quantity of N taken up by the crop or subject to loss from a single N application (A) or split N applications (B) (Adapted from Doerge et al., 1991).

Time

Example N plan : 200 bu/acre irrigated commercial corn

Expected yield goal 200 bu/acre resulting in 220 lb. N recommendation

35 lbs. in starter at planting135 lbs. as sidedress50 lbs fertigation, 2 week prior to tassel

50 lbs. in starter at planting

70 lbs. as sidedress

100 lbs fertigation, 2 week prior to tassel

50 lbs. in starter at planting70 lbs. sidedress or fertigation, knee high50 lbs. fertigation, waste high50 lbs. fertigation, 2 week prior to tassel

50 lbs. in starter at planting75 lbs. sidedress or fertigation, knee high75 lbs. fertigation, 2 week prior to tassel20 lbs. fertigation, at tassel

Chemigation Valve Requirements

Indiana and Michigan have specific chemigation valve requirements for public water supply connections but not for private water supplies. (RPZ valve)

anth an

Flow direction

Both Indiana and Michigan require adequate protection of water supply in law or well code.

(Chemigation Valve)

Are appropriate backflow prevention devices in place and properly maintained if fertigation or chemigation is used?

