

## **2010 VARIETY TRIAL RESULTS**

### **REACH - 2010 VARIETY TRIAL RESULTS**

#### TABLE of CONTENTS

Table of Contents	1
Approved Varieties	2
Summary of Data	
2 Year OVT Data - Percent of Check	4
Rhizoctonia Choices	5
Cercospora Choices	5
High Sugar Choices	6
Cyst Nematode Choices	6
2 Year OVT Data - Trial Results	7
OVT - Average of 4 Locations	8
SBA Variety Trial Avg 5 Locations	9
SBA Emergence Avg 7 Locations	10
SBA Rhizoctonia Summary	11
EAST District Trials	
MSC OVT - Sandusky	13
SBA Variety Trial - Harbor Beach	14
SBA Variety Trial - Sandusky	15
SBA Variety Trial - Lambton, Ontario	16
CENTRAL District Trials	
MSC OVT - Pigeon	18
MSC Plant to Stand - Pigeon	19
MSC OVT - Quanicassee	20
MSC OVT - Frankenmuth	21
SBA Variety Trial - Elkton	22
SBA Variety Trial - Unionville	23

#### WEST District Trials

MSC OVT - Kawkawlin	25
MSC Plant to Stand - Kawkawlin	26
SBA Variety Trial - Bay City	27
SBA Variety Trial - Breckenridge	28

#### Nursery Data

Rhizoctonia - MSC Avg of 2 Years	30
Rhizoctonia - USDA, 2 Years	31
Cercospora - Avg of 2 Years	32
Cyst Nematode	33
Aphanomyces - Avg 2 years	34
Root Aphid	35
Rhizomania - 2009 Data	36

#### SBA Rhizoctonia Variety Trials &

#### & Poncho Beta / Nematode Variety Trials

Rhiz. Resistant Trial - Breckenridge	38
Rhiz. Resistant Trial - Pigeon	39
Poncho Beta / Nematode - Sebewaing	40
Poncho Beta / Nematode - Akron	41
Poncho Beta - Munger	42
Poncho Beta - Saginaw	43
OVT Location Information	44
Variety Approval Standards	45-46
Variety Approval Points System	47-52

#### Approval of Seed Varieties for the 2011 Crop

Subject to it being lawful to purchase, receive, distribute and plant the varieties specified,

the Seed Committee and Board of Michigan Sugar Company approved quantities of seed for commercial planting of the following varieties for the 2011 crop:

#### **Fully Approved Varieties**

(Unlimited Quantities)										
			<u>Until 2011</u>							
HM 27RR	HM 110RR	HM 131RR	Beta 5833R*							
HM 50RR	Crystal RR840	SX 1281RR	Beta 5930R*							
HM 42RR	<b>BTS 18RR26</b>	HM133RR	SX 1233*							
SX 1260RR			Crystal R509*							
		*Last year to plan	t is 2011							

\*Last year to plant is 2011

#### **Limited Approved Varieties**

(Quantities limited to 5% of acres) Crystal RR191 HM 9173RR Crystal RR197

#### **Specialty Approved Varieties**

(Limited Quantities)									
	<u>Units</u>	Specialty							
HM 28RR		Rhizoctonia							
HM 29RR		Rhizoctonia							
BTS 17RR32		High Sugar							
Crystal RR827		High Sugar							
Crystal RR824		High Sugar							
Crystal RR808		High Sugar							
BTS 18RR4N		Nematode							
HM 39RR		Rhizoctonia							
BTS 18RR06		High Sugar							
BTS 19RR90	5% Sales	High Sugar							
SX 1291RR	5% Sales	Disease Pkg							
BTS 19RR1N		Nematode							



Corporate Agricultural Office 2600 S. Euclid Avenue Bay City, Michigan 48706 Telephone (989) 686-0161 - Fax (989) 671-3714

# Summary and Averages of Trials





## Varieties May Be Available <u>Summary</u>

### Average of 2 Years OVT Data (2009-2010)

				Values	are % o	f Check		
			Root**					
Variety	Approval Status	\$/A*	RWSA*	RWST*	Cerc**	Rhizoc**	Aphid	Comments
Crystal RR827	Spec (RWST)	2006	109.8	108.1	115	109	99	Poor Rhizoc / Poor Cercospora
Crystal RR808	Spec (RWST)	1998	109.4	107.8	113	109	93	Poor Rhizoc / Poor Cercospora
BTS 19RR1N	Spec (Nem)	1994	109.3	102.1	131	109	147	Poor Rhizoc / Poor Cercospora
Crystal RR824	Spec (RWST)	1977	108.2	101.4	110	113	87	Poor Rhizoc / Poor Cercospora
BTS 18RR4N	Spec (Nem)	1961	107.5	103.2	118	107	131	Poor Rhizoc / Poor Cercospora
BTS 17RR32	Spec (RWST)	1905	104.4	100.3	113	110	77	Poor Rhizoc / Poor Cercospora
BTS 18RR26	Full Approval	1868	102.0	105.2	91	105	118	Fair - Rhizoc / Good Cercospora
Crystal RR840	Full Approval	1857	101.3	104.3	84	101	118	Fair Rhizoc / Good + Cercospora
HM 28RR	Spec (Rhizoc)	1837	100.3	99.0	98	98	112	Fair + Rhizoc / Fair + Cercospora
BTS 19RR90	Spec (RWST)	1835	100.1	103.9	108	107	60	Fair - Rhizoc / Fair - Cercospora
SX 1291RR	Spec (Dis Pkg)	1821	99.4	99.4	98	100	128	Fair+ Rhizoc / Fair + Cercospora
SX 1260RR	Full Approval	1808	98.9	98.8	101	108	130	Fair - Rhizoc / Fair + Cercospora
BTS 18RR06	Spec (RWST)	1801	98.5	105.1	115	107	55	Poor Rhizoc / Poor Cercospora
HM 110RR	Full Approval	1800	98.4	101.7	96	100	136	Fair + Rhizoc / Fair + Cercospora
HM 39RR	Spec (Rhizoc)	1796	97.9	99.2	113	88	150	Good Rhizoc / Poor Cercospora
SX 1281RR	Full Approval	1795	97.9	101.2	93	103	107	Fair Rhizoc / Good Cercospora
HM 133RR	Full Approval	1794	97.8	102.1	91	106	87	Fair - Rhizoc / Good Cercospora
HM 131RR	Full Approval	1774	96.9	101.4	89	102	112	Fair Rhizoc / Good Cercospora
HM 9173RR	Limited Approval	1767	96.5	100.0	94	106	123	Fair - Rhizoc / Good Cercospora
HM 27RR	Full Approval	1750	95.4	100.5	91	101	132	Fair Rhizoc / Good Cercospora

\*\* A lower Value is Better for Cercospora, Rhizoctonia and Root Aphid

\* A higher Value is Better for \$/Acre, RWSA and RWST



## Rhizoctonia L Cercospora <u>Possible Choices for 2011</u>

2009-2010 Data

	All	Values Are	e % of Che		
Rhizoctonia					
Variety	Rhizoc**	RWSA*	RWST*	Cerc**	Comments
HM 39RR	87.9	97.9	99.2	112.7	Very Good Rhizoc Variety
					Root Aphid is a Concern
HM 27RR	100.7	95.4	100.5	91.2	Good Field Performance
					Root Aphid is a Concern
HM 28RR	97.9	100.3	99.0	97.7	Proven Field Performance
					Good Yield
HM 131RR	101.7	96.9	101.4	89.1	Good Quality
SX 1291RR	99.9	99.4	99.4	98.2	Good Yield
HM 110RR	99.7	98.4	101.7	95.8	Root Aphid is a Concern
	All	Values are	e % of Che	ck	
Cercospora					
Variety	Cerc**	RWSA*	RWST*	Rhizoc**	Comments
Crystal 840RR	83.9	101.3	104.3	101.3	Emergence is a Concern
BTS 18RR26	90.9	102.0	105.2	105.2	Very Good Aph
HM 131RR	89.1	96.9	101.4	101.7	Well Balanced Variety
SX 1281RR	92.6	97.9	101.2	103.0	Well Balanced Variety
HM 133RR	90.6	97.8	102.1	106.1	Well Balanced Variety
HM 27RR	91.2	95.4	100.5	100.7	Root Aphid is a Concern

\* A Higher Value is Better for RWSA and RWST

\*\* A Lower Value is Better for Cercospora and Rhizoctonia



## High Sugar L Nematode <u>Possible Choices for 2011</u> 2009-2010 Data

	All	Values are	e % of Che	ck						
High Sugar										
Varieties	RWST*	RWSA*	Rhizoc**	Cerc**		Comments				
Crystal RR808	107.8	109.4	109	113	V. I	High Quality and Yield, Poor Disease				
Crystal RR827	108.1	109.8	109	115	V. I	High Quality and Yield, Poor Disease				
BTS 18RR26	105.2	102.0	105	91	ŀ	High Yield and Quality, Good Cerc				
BTS 18RR06	105.1	98.5	107	115	Н	gh Quality, Fair Disease Tolerance				
Crystal RR840	104.3	101.3	101	84	ŀ	High Yield and Quality, Good Cerc				
BTS 19RR90	103.9	100.1	107	108		High Quality, Moderate Yield				
HM 133RR	102.1	97.8	106	91		High Quality, Good Cerc				
HM 110RR	101.7	98.4	100	96	Hig	h Quality, Good Disease Tolerance				
Crystal RR824	101.4	108.2	113	110	Ve	ry High Yield, Poor Rhizoc and Cerc				
HM 131RR	101.4	96.9	102	89		Fairly Good Rhizoc and Cerc				
SX 1281RR	101.2	97.9	103	93		Fair Rhizoc / Good Cerc				
BTS 17RR32	100.3	104.4	110	113		High Yield, Poor Rhizoc and Cerc				
		All Values	s are % of (	Check						
Nematode										
Varieties	Nem**	RWSA*	RWST*	Rhizoc**	Cerc**	Comments				
BTS 18RR4N	61	107.5	103.2	107	118	Performed Well in 2010				
BTS 19RR1N	71	109.3	102.1	109	131	131 No Field Experience				

All Values are % of Check

\*\* Lower is better for Nematode, Cercospora and Rhizoctonia

\* Higher is better for RWSA and RWST



## Varieties May Be Available <u>For 2011</u>

#### Average of 2 Years OVT Data (2009-2010)

			5				`		/ Dia			-
					<b>-</b> ,	<u> </u>	<u>.</u>		DIS	ease/Inse	ect inuise	nes
					Tons/	%	%			Root		
Variety	Approval Status	\$/A*	RWSA	RWST	Acre	Suc	CJP	Emerg	Cerc	Aphid	Rhizoc	Aph
Crystal RR827	Spec (RWST)	2006	10152	295.9	34.4	19.8	95.3	Fair	Poor	Fair +	Poor	Fair +
Crystal RR808	Spec (RWST)	1998	10131	295.2	34.4	19.7	95.3	Fair +	Poor	Fair +	Poor	Fair +
BTS 19RR1N	Spec (Nem)	1994	10086	279.4	36.2	18.7	95.3	Good +	Poor	Poor	Poor	Fair +
Crystal RR824	Spec (RWST)	1977	10022	277.5	36.2	18.7	95.0	Fair +	Poor	Good	Poor	Fair +
BTS 18RR4N	Spec (Nem)	1961	9916	282.2	35.3	18.9	95.4	Good	Poor	Poor	Poor	Good +
BTS 17RR32	Spec (RWST)	1905	9677	274.5	35.2	18.4	95.2	Fair	Poor	Good	Poor	Good
BTS 18RR26	Full Approval	1868	9459	288.2	32.9	19.3	95.1	Fair -	Good	Fair -	Fair -	Good
Crystal RR840	Full Approval	1857	9396	285.5	33.0	19.2	94.9	Poor	Good +	Fair -	Fair	Poor
HM 28RR	Spec (Rhizoc)	1837	9305	271.0	34.4	18.2	95.2	Fair	Fair +	Fair	Fair +	Fair -
BTS 19RR90	Spec (RWST)	1835	9297	284.5	32.7	19.1	95.1	Good +	Fair -	Good +	Fair -	Fair +
SX 1291RR	Spec (Rhizoc)	1821	9240	272.2	34.0	18.3	95.2	Good +	Fair +	Fair -	Fair +	Fair
SX 1260RR	Full Approval	1808	9172	270.7	33.9	18.3	95.0	Good	Fair +	Fair -	Fair -	Fair +
BTS 18RR06	Spec (RWST)	1801	9130	287.8	31.8	19.3	95.3	Fair -	Poor	Good +	Poor	Fair +
HM 110RR	Full Approval	1800	9145	278.5	32.8	18.7	95.3	Fair	Fair +	Poor	Fair +	Poor
HM 39RR	Spec (Rhizoc)	1796	9117	271.8	33.5	18.3	95.0	Fair	Poor	Poor	Good	Fair
SX 1281RR	Full Approval	1795	9111	277.0	32.9	18.7	95.1	Fair	Good	Fair	Fair	Fair
HM 133RR	Full Approval	1794	9103	279.4	32.6	18.9	94.8	Fair	Good	Good	Fair -	Fair
HM 131RR	Full Approval	1774	9005	277.7	32.4	18.8	94.9	Fair	Good	Fair	Fair	Fair +
HM 9173RR	Limited Approval	1767	8958	273.9	32.8	18.6	94.8	Fair +	Good	Fair -	Fair -	Fair +
HM 27RR	Full Approval	1750	8879	275.3	32.3	18.5	95.2	Fair	Good	Poor	Fair	Fair -
Average		1857	9415	279.9	33.7	18.8	95.1					
LSD (P=.05)			660.7	9.2	1.9	0.5	0.5					
CV%			3.4	1.6	2.7	1.3	0.2					
	aurod using a <sup>\$55</sup> (	0 nor +						-				

\* \$/Acre was figured using a \$55.00 per ton payment.



Official Variety Trial

#### Average of 4 Locations (Frankenmuth, Quanicassee, Sandusky, Pigeon) 2010

	\$/Acre <sup>1</sup>	<u>RWSA</u>	RWS		Tons		<u>% Su</u>		<u>% CJ</u>		<u>% Em</u>	
Variety			ŀ	Rank		Rank	F	Rank		Rank		Rank
BTS 19RR1N	1982*	9712*	276.9	6	35.3*	1	18.7	8	95.0*	2	79.3*	1
BTS 18RR4N	1970*	9628*	281.7*	3	34.5*	2	18.9	4	95.1*	1	67.2	6
Crystal RR827	1960*	9588*	289.7*	1	33.4	5	19.5*	1	94.8*	3	63.3	12
Crystal RR808	1913*	9385*	288.0*	2	32.8	6	19.4*	2	94.8*	4	62.3	13
Crystal RR824	1889*	9261*	271.4	10	34.3*	3	18.5	10	94.6	6	67.9	5
BTS 17RR32	1809	8879	266.2	13	33.5	4	18.1	16	94.7*	5	66.1	8
BTS 18RR26	1760	8610	276.9	7	31.4	10	18.8	6	94.6	7	56.4	20
Crystal RR840	1751	8561	277.4	5	31.1	11	18.9	5	94.4	13	58.3	19
HM 28RR	1717	8400	262.6	17	32.3	8	17.9	17	94.5	11	65.8	9
BTS 18RR06	1708	8346	280.0	4	30.1	17	19.0*	3	94.5	9	59.9	18
SX 1260RR	1698	8335	258.0	19	32.5	7	17.7	20	94.3	15	66.6	7
BTS 19RR90	1697	8308	273.3	8	30.6	14	18.6	9	94.5	10	74.9	2
SX 1291RR	1666	8152	261.7	18	31.4	9	17.9	18	94.5	12	74.0	3
HM 110RR	1651	8101	269.6	11	30.2	16	18.4	13	94.6	8	60.5	17
HM 9173RR	1651	8076	262.8	16	31.0	13	18.2	14	94.0	19	70.2	4
HM 131RR	1639	8017	266.1	14	30.4	15	18.4	12	93.9	20	61.9	14
SX 1281RR	1633	7996	268.4	12	30.0	18	18.4	11	94.3	16	60.7	16
HM 39RR	1625	7947	257.7	20	31.1	12	17.7	19	94.2	17	65.7	10
HM 133RR	1621	7931	272.0	9	29.4	20	18.7	7	94.1	18	61.1	15
HM 27RR	1591	7781	264.9	15	29.6	19	18.1	15	94.4	14	63.4	11
Average	1747	8551	271.3		31.7		18.5		94.5		65.3	
LSD (P=.05)	102.7	503.1	8.6		1.6		0.5		0.4		2.8	
CV	4.2	4.3	2.3	<b>^</b>	3.6		2.0		0.3		4.5	

1. \$/Acre: Gross dollars per acre assuming \$55 payment

#### \* Results are not statistically different from top ranking variety in each column.

Reps: 8 at each location Row Width: 22 Inches Quadris:1 Application Cercospora Sprays: 4



## 2010 VARIETY TRIAL Trial Averages - 5 of 7 Trials\*

### Cedar Pond Farms (Harbor Beach) Knoerr Farms (Bay City)

or Beach) Clay Crumbaugh (Breckenridge) City) LAKKE Ewald Farms (Unionville) Wadsworth Farms (Sandusky)

VARIETY	REV / ACRE	RWSA	RWST	TONS / ACRE	% SUGAR	% CJP
C-RR827	\$1,758	9304	307	30.3	20.5	95.2
C-RR824	\$1,740	9205	290	31.8	19.4	95.3
B-17RR32	\$1,680	8889	286	31.1	19.2	95.1
C-RR808	\$1,655	8784	303	28.9	20.2	95.4
HM-28RR	\$1,601	8488	278	30.5	18.9	94.8
B-18RR06	\$1,568	8305	299	27.8	20.0	95.1
B-18RR26	\$1,560	8295	296	27.9	20.0	94.9
C-RR840	\$1,549	8195	293	28.0	19.7	94.9
SX-1281RR	\$1,531	8110	287	28.2	19.3	94.9
HM-133RR	\$1,531	8109	288	28.1	19.5	94.8
HM-131RR	\$1,491	7916	286	27.6	19.4	94.8
HM-110RR	\$1,491	7900	281	28.1	19.1	94.6
AVERAGE	\$1,596	8458	291	29.0	19.6	94.9
LSD (5%)		533	8	1.5	0.4	0.4
C.V. (%)		5	2	4.1	1.5	0.4

#### Comments:

\* The Schuette trial was not included in the "Trial Averages" due to a very high level of Cercospora Leaf Spot infection significantly impacting the results. Please see the Schuette trial individually.

The Lumley trial was not included in the "Trial Averages" due to very high variation. Use the Lumley trial data with caution.

Revenue is based on a \$55/ton payment and an "average RWST" equal to the trial averages of 291.



2010 VARIETY TRIALS Emergence Summary

### Averages of 7 Trials

EARLY COUNT					
8 - 17 D	ays				
SX-1281RR	84				
HM-131RR	70				
HM-133RR	69				
C-RR827	57				
C-RR824	52				
HM-28RR	43				
HM-110RR	43				
B-17RR32	43				
C-RR840	42				
C-RR808	42				
B-18RR26	37				
B-18RR06	18				
AVERAGE	50				
LSD (5%)	13				
CV (%)	24				

MID CO	MID COUNT						
16 - 32 Days							
SX-1281RR	154						
HM-133RR	150						
HM-131RR	148						
C-RR824	146						
HM-28RR	143						
HM-110RR	140						
C-RR808	136						
B-17RR32	136						
C-RR827	135						
B-18RR06	123						
B-18RR26	112						
C-RR840	109						
AVERAGE	136						
LSD (5%)	14						
CV (%)	10						

FINAL COUNT						
29 - 43 E	Days					
C-RR824	181					
HM-28RR	174					
SX-1281RR	172					
HM-133RR	170					
C-RR808	168					
B-17RR32	166					
HM-131RR	165					
HM-110RR	165					
C-RR827	158					
B-18RR06	156					
B-18RR26	135					
C-RR840	128					
AVERAGE	162					
LSD (5%)	11					
CV (%)	6					

#### Comments:

Stand Counts based on three 100 ft. replications at 7 locations.



## 2010 VARIETY TRIALS Rhizoctonia Dead Beet Counts

	Cedar Pond	Crumbaugh	Ewald	Knoerr	Lumley	Schuette	Wadsworth	Average
HM-131RR	0	88	4	8	27	0	17	21
HM-133RR	0	98	14	8	19	0	25	23
HM-28RR	0	117	11	8	50	1	20	30
HM-110RR	0	93	39	9	51	0	41	33
C-RR840	0	134	46	17	17	4	50	38
B-18RR06	2	129	71	30	27	4	35	43
B-18RR26	0	158	9	49	56	12	58	49
SX-1281RR	0	119	19	5	202	0	38	55
B-17RR32	1	184	42	18	81	9	91	61
C-RR827	0	237	122	28	162	11	89	93
C-RR808	2	151	176	67	191	19	83	98
C-RR824	6	166	126	39	258	13	122	104
AVERAGE	1	140	57	24	95	6	56	54
LSD (5%)	NS	107	94	45	188	13	70	38
CV (%)	NS	45	98	112	117	129	74	66

Dead Beets per 1200 Ft. of Row

#### Averages of the 4 Most Representative Trials

	Crumbaugh	Ewald	Knoerr	Wadsworth	Average
HM-131RR	88	4	8	17	29
HM-133RR	98	14	8	25	36
HM-28RR	117	11	8	20	39
SX-1281RR	119	19	5	38	45
HM-110RR	93	39	9	41	45
C-RR840	134	46	17	50	62
B-18RR06	129	71	30	35	66
B-18RR26	158	9	49	58	69
B-17RR32	184	42	18	91	84
C-RR824	166	126	39	122	113
C-RR827	237	122	28	89	119
C-RR808	151	176	67	83	119
AVERAGE	140	57	24	56	69
LSD (5%)	107	94	45	70	38
CV (%)	45	98	112	74	39

The Cedar Pond and Schuette trials were not included due to very low counts. The Lumley trial was not included due to high variability.

# East District Trials







## Stoutenburg, Sandusky, MI 2010

Trial Quality: Very Good

	\$/Acre <sup>1</sup>	RWSA	RWS	<u>ST</u>	Tons	<u>/A</u>	<u>% S</u>	uc	<u>% C.</u>	JP	<u>% En</u>	nerg
Variety			F	Rank	F	Rank	R	lank	R	lank	F	Rank
Crystal RR808	2089*	10017*	292*	1	34.3*	4	19.6*	1	95.1*	1	58	11
Crystal RR827	2031*	9737*	286*	2	34.1*	5	19.4*	2	94.6*	9	55	14
BTS 18RR4N	2026*	9711*	276	6	35.2*	2	18.6	6	95.1*	2	58	10
BTS 19RR1N	2011*	9641*	274	7	35.2*	1	18.5	8	95.0*	3	77*	1
Crystal RR824	1955	9372	272	9	34.5*	3	18.6	7	94.4	12	59	8
BTS 18RR26	1880	9011	280	3	32.3	11	19.2*	3	94.1	17	45	20
BTS 17RR32	1862	8925	265	10	33.6*	8	18.1	12	94.6*	5	58	9
BTS 19RR90	1842	8833	278	4	31.8	15	18.9	5	94.6*	8	74*	2
Crystal RR840	1814	8699	272	8	32.0	14	18.5	9	94.7*	4	51	19
HM-28RR	1804	8650	255	17	33.9*	7	17.5	18	94.5*	10	63	7
SX 1260RR	1794	8601	253	19	34.0*	6	17.4	19	94.3	15	64	6
BTS 18RR06	1783	8549	278	5	30.8	19	19.0	4	94.3	14	51	18
HM-131RR	1774	8503	265	11	32.1	13	18.3	11	94.1	18	54	16
SX 1291RR	1758	8427	256	16	32.9	9	17.5	16	94.6*	6	69	4
HM-9173RR	1747	8377	258	14	32.6	10	18.0	14	93.6	20	72*	3
HM-133RR	1738	8331	263	13	31.7	16	18.3	10	93.7	19	55	15
SX 1281RR	1728	8283	264	12	31.4	17	18.0	13	94.5*	11	54	17
HM-110RR	1654	7929	258	15	30.8	20	17.6	15	94.6*	7	55	13
HM-27RR	1648	7899	254	18	31.1	18	17.5	17	94.2	16	57	12
HM-39RR	1641	7867	245	20	32.1	12	16.9	20	94.4	13	65	5
Average	1829	8768	267		32.8		18.3		94.5		57	
LSD (P=.05)	99.7	478.1	9.5		1.7		0.5		0.6		7.3	
CV	5.7	5.7	3.7		5.3		2.9		0.7		12.6	

1. \$/Acre: Gross dollars per arce assuming \$55 Payment

\* Results are not statistically different fron top ranking variety in each column.

Plant/Harvest Dates: April 21/Oct 12 Reps: 8 Row Width: 22 inches Quadris: 1 Application Cercospora Sprays: 4



## 2010 VARIETY TRIAL Cedar Pond Farms

Location: Planting Date: Previous Crop: Soil Type: Spacings: Fertilizer: Huron Co., Ruth 4/1/2010Soybeans Loam Rows - 30", 53,500 Seeds/Ac 2x2 - 30# N, 31# P + MicrosSidedressed 75# N Fall Applied 175# K<sub>2</sub>O

Tillage:Fall Chisel, SHarvest Date:11/2/2010Sample Date:10/18/2010Herbicides:3x GlyphosReplicated:3xFungicide:50 DSV - Prol110 DSV - He175 DSV - Ins

Fall Chisel, Stale Seed Bed 11/2/2010 10/18/2010 3x Glyphosate 3x 50 DSV - Proline 110 DSV - Headline 175 DSV - Inspire XT 215 DSV - Kocide

	REV /			TONS/%		/ % %		RHIZ.			
VARIETY	ACRE	RWSA	RWST	ACRE		∕₀ CJP	100 Ft. of Row				1200 Ft.
	AGAL			Mone	000/	001	14 DAY	21 DAY	36 DAY	HARV.	of Row
C-RR824	\$1,936	10682	303	35.3	20.1	95.6	64	171	217	170	6
C-RR827	\$1,847	10198	316	32.3	20.9	95.5	92	170	198	164	0
B-17RR32	\$1,840	10143	293	34.7	19.6	95.2	62	180	212	176	1
C-RR808	\$1,807	9994	313	31.9	20.8	95.5	35	138	183	145	2
HM-28RR	\$1,757	9716	296	32.8	19.7	95.6	45	174	221	180	0
B-18RR26	\$1,749	9666	312	31.0	20.8	95.3	47	132	160	125	0
B-18RR06	\$1,711	9425	312	30.3	20.7	95.5	14	155	197	158	2
C-RR840	\$1,678	9243	307	30.2	20.5	95.1	70	148	174	133	0
SX-1281RR	\$1,645	9072	299	30.4	19.9	95.5	92	184	207	164	0
HM-131RR	\$1,623	8980	302	29.7	20.2	95.3	108	195	211	178	0
HM-133RR	\$1,602	8842	300	29.5	20.1	95.1	88	182	211	169	0
HM-110RR	\$1,554	8573	294	29.2	19.8	95.0	49	161	196	164	0
AVERAGE	\$1,729	9545	304	31.4	20.2	95.3	64	166	199	160	1
LSD (5%)		645	10	1.9	0.6	0.7	24	23	21	19	NS
C.V. (%)		4	2	3.6	1.6	0.4	22	8	6	7	

#### TRIAL RELIABILITY: Excellent

EMERGENCE:	Excellent	CERC. LEAF SPOT:	Excellent Control
RHIZOCTONIA:	Very Low	NEMATODES:	None
QUADRIS APP:	In Furrow (7 oz.) & 8 Leaf (9 oz.)	WEATHER:	Excellent

**Comments:** Excellent trial reliability. No disease issues from leaf spot or Rhizoctonia. Cooperator had excellent Rhizoctonia control with an in furrow and a foliar Quadris application. Revenue is based on a \$55/ton payment and an "average RWST" equal to the trial average RWST.



# 2010 VARIETY TRIAL *Wadsworth Farms Inc.*

Location:Sanilac Co., SanduskyPlanting Date:4/1/2010Previous Crop:DrybeansSoil Type:Parkhill LoamSpacings:Rows - 28", 57,000 Seeds/Ac.Fertilizer:2x2 - 240# 14-19-3 + Micros 80# N Sidedress Anhydrous 310# K2O	Tillage: Harvest Date: Sample Date: Herbicides: Replicated: Fungicide:	Fall Dominator, Stale Seedbed 11/3/2010 10/18/2010 3x Glyphosate 3x 59 DSV - Proline 124 DSV - Gem 172 DSV - Inspire XT 217 DSV - Eminent
---	---	---

VARIETY	REV /	RWSA	RWST	RWST TONS /		%	POPULATIONS 100 Ft. of Row				RHIZ. 1200 Ft.
	ACRE			ACRE	SUGAR	CJP	10 DAY	20 DAY	30 DAY	HARV.	of Row
C-RR827	\$2,048	10830	310	34.9	20.6	95.4	21	172	199		89
C-RR824	\$1,994	10530	287	36.7	19.2	95.4	13	200	234		122
C-RR808	\$1,979	10448	312	33.5	20.6	95.8	4	157	201		83
HM-28RR	\$1,902	10033	273	36.8	18.5	94.9	9	186	217		20
B-18RR06	\$1,895	10008	308	32.5	20.5	95.5	0	155	199		35
B17RR32	\$1,890	9977	282	35.4	18.9	95.2	8	173	208		91
SX-1281RR	\$1,884	9934	291	34.2	19.4	95.3	72	208	225		38
B-18RR26	\$1,858	9817	301	32.6	20.0	95.6	14	146	174		58
C-RR840	\$1,853	9780	287	34.1	19.4	94.9	16	148	167	-	50
HM-131RR	\$1,819	9639	281	34.2	19.2	94.5	41	203	216		17
HM-133RR	\$1,797	9492	280	33.9	19.0	94.8	35	199	214	-	25
HM-110RR	\$1,743	9212	274	33.6	18.8	94.2	17	189	214	-	41
AVERAGE	\$1,889	9975	291	34.4	19.5	95.1	21	178	206		56
LSD (5%)		725	17	1.8	0.9	0.8	9	23	17	-	70
C.V. (%)		4	4	3.0	2.8	0.5	25	8	5		74

#### TRIAL RELIABILITY: Excellent

EMERGENCE:	Excellent	CERC. LEAF SPOT:	Excellent
RHIZOCTONIA:	Moderate	NEMATODES:	None Detected
QUADRIS APP:	4-6 Leaf	WEATHER:	

**Comments:** Moderate amount of Rhizoctonia, especially in the first replication. Revenue is based on a \$55/ton payment and an "average RWST" equal to the trial average RWST.



## 2010 VARIETY TRIAL Fair Wind Farms

Location: Planting Date: Previous Crop: Soil Type: Spacings: Fertilizer: Ontario / Mark Lumley 4/2/2010 Soybeans Loam Rows - 20", 52,000 Seeds/Ac In Furrow - 5 Gal. 6-24-6 2x2 - 9 Gal. 28% 50# N Broadcast

Tillage:	Moldboard Plow, 2x S Tine
Harvest Date:	10/22/2010
Sample Date:	10/22/2010
Herbicides:	3x Glyphosate
Replicated:	3x
Fungicide:	60 DSV - Headline
•	132 DSV - Proline
	169 DSV - Headline

VARIETY	VARIETY REV / RWSA RW		RWST	RWST TONS /		% CJP		POPUL 100 Ft.	ATIONS of Row		RHIZ. 1200 Ft.
	ACRE			ACRE	CRE SUGAR		17 DAY	27 DAY	35 DAY	HARV.	of Row
HM-133RR		6717	293	23.1	20.0	94.3	107	120	131	128	19
C-RR808		6552	306	21.4	20.6	94.9	78	103	119	107	191
HM-28RR		6268	286	21.9	19.4	94.5	90	115	123	121	50
B-18RR06		6134	307	19.9	20.6	95.1	68	99	102	98	27
C-RR827		6044	298	20.3	20.3	94.4	87	105	112	106	162
B-18RR26		5919	307	19.3	20.6	94.9	71	83	97	86	56
HM-131RR		5710	297	19.3	20.1	94.7	102	110	119	110	27
HM-110RR		5716	289	19.8	19.5	94.8	79	104	117	111	51
C-RR824		5595	291	19.2	19.7	94.6	112	118	131	124	258
C-RR840		5471	298	18.4	20.1	94.7	63	79	87	77	17
B-17RR32		5302	278	19.0	19.0	94.4	84	102	111	104	81
SX-1281RR		4453	282	15.8	19.5	93.8	106	105	109	105	202
AVERAGE		5824	294	19.8	20.0	94.6	87	103	113	106	95
LSD (5%)		NS	11	NS	0.6	0.7	25	16	17	18	188
C.V. (%)		20	2	20.0	1.7	0.4	17	9	9	10	117

#### TRIAL RELIABILITY: Very Poor

EMERGENCE:	Fair / Poor	CERC. LEAF SPOT:	High Infection Level
RHIZOCTONIA:	Moderate / High	NEMATODES:	None
QUADRIS APP:	None	WEATHER:	Damaging heavy early rains

**Comments: USE TRIAL DATA WITH CAUTION.** Data in this trial may be unreliable because of large variability. Emergence population is low. Heavy early season rainfall damaged trial. Significant leaf spot caused defoliation. Trial had high levels of Rhizoctonia in spots. Sprayer tram lines affected results.

# **Central District Trials**





Official Variety Trial

Trost, Pigeon, MI 2010

Trial Quality: Very Good

	\$/Acre <sup>1</sup>	RWSA	RWS		Tons		<u>% S</u>		<u>% C</u>		<u>% En</u>	-
Variety			R	lank	F	Rank	R	ank	R	lank	F	Rank
BTS 18RR4N	2400*	10831*	258.3*	4	41.9*	2	17.7*	4	94.5*	1	71	14
BTS 19RR1N	2342*	10570*	250.6*	11	42.1*	1	17.3	15	94.2*	3	88*	2
Crystal RR827	2221	10024	261.1*	2	38.5	7	18.1*	1	93.9*	7	69	16
Crystal RR824	2208	9962	250.5*	12	39.8	3	17.4	10	93.9*	8	74	9
HM-28RR	2192	9892	250.6*	9	39.5	4	17.3	14	94.2*	5	75	7
SX 1291RR	2186	9865	250.6*	10	39.4	5	17.4	13	94.0*	6	89*	1
HM-110RR	2156	9729	261.3*	1	37.2	15	17.9*	3	94.3*	2	72	11
Crystal RR840	2102	9486	252.6*	7	37.7	10	17.6*	6	93.6	16	62	20
BTS 17RR32	2099	9472	246.3	16	38.5	8	17.2	17	93.8*	9	77	6
SX 1281RR	2096	9456	252.9*	5	37.4	11	17.7*	5	93.6	15	71	12
HM-27RR	2088	9421	252.9*	6	37.3	13	17.6*	7	93.7*	12	74	10
Crystal RR191	2081	9389	242.4	19	38.9	6	16.7	20	94.2*	4	78	4
BTS 19RR90	2064	9315	250.4*	13	37.3	14	17.5*	9	93.6	17	83*	3
HM-133RR	2043	9219	259.1*	3	35.7	19	18.0*	2	93.8*	10	69	17
SX 1260RR	2037	9191	247.0	15	37.3	12	17.2	16	93.7*	11	78	5
BTS 18RR26	2017	9103	245.2	17	37.1	16	17.1	18	93.6	13	65	18
BTS 18RR06	2006	9050	249.2	14	36.4	18	17.4	11	93.6	14	71	13
HM-131RR	1992	8987	245.0	18	36.7	17	17.4	12	92.9	20	74	8
HM-39RR	1991	8982	236.9	20	37.9	9	16.8	19	93.1	19	70	15
Crystal RR193	1890	8529	250.9*	8	34.0	20	17.6*	8	93.5	18	65	19
Average	2111	9524	250.7		38.0		17.4		93.8		73.7	
LSD (P=.05)	139.8	630.8	12.0		2.1		0.6		0.8		6.4	
CV	6.8	6.8	4.9		5.6		3.6		0.9		8.9	

1. \$/Acre: Gross dollars per arce assuming \$55 Payment

\* Results are not statistically different fron top ranking variety in each column.

Plant/Harvest Dates: April 12/Sept 30 Reps: 8 Row Width: 22 inches Quadris: 1 Application Cercospora Sprays: 4



Plant To Stand

#### Trost, Pigeon, MI 2010

Trial Quality: Good

															Cerco	ospora	<u>Car</u>	nopy
	_		RW	<u>ST</u>	Tons//	<u>Acre</u>	<u>% S</u>	uc	<u>% Pu</u>	irity	<u>% Er</u>	nerg	Dead E	Beets**	Rati	ing**	Size	Color**
Variety	\$/Acre <sup>1</sup>	RWSA		Rank		Rank		Rank		Rank		Rank	/100 ft	Rank		Rank	Height	(1-10)
BTS 18RR4N	2175*	10440*	274*	2	38.1*	3	18.5*	4	95.1*	1	82*	10	3.2*	13	3.5	14	25.2	5.8
Crystal RR824	2090*	10029*	263*	13	38.2*	2	17.9	14	94.8*	5	87*	3	1.5*	11	3.8	16	25.5	5.0
Crystal RR827	2045*	9894*	274*	1	36.0*	7	18.6*	1	94.8*	3	86*	4	7.4	16	3.8	17	25.5	4.8
BTS 17RR32	2045*	9842*	265*	10	37.1*	5	17.9*	12	95.0*	2	86*	5	0.6*	6	3.8	15	23.9	4.9
HM 28RR	2043*	9808*	260	15	37.8*	4	17.7	15	94.6*	7	86*	6	0.3*	4	2.3	7	22.4	6.6
HM 29RR	2038*	9783*	254	16	38.6*	1	17.5	16	94.0	15	81*	13	1.2*	10	2.4	9	21.4	6.6
HM 27RR	1945	9339	269*	5	34.8	9	18.2*	8	94.8*	4	85*	7	0.0*	1	2.4	8	21.3	7.8
Crystal RR840	1940	9306	269*	4	34.7	10	18.5*	2	94.1	12	70	17	5.6*	15	1.8*	1	26.2	5.2
SX 1281RR	1933	9281	264*	11	35.1	8	18.1*	10	94.5*	9	81*	12	0.3*	5	2.2	3	23.4	6.3
BTS 18RR26	1926	9243	267*	7	34.6	11	18.4*	5	94.1	14	79*	14	1.5*	12	2.0*	2	24.7	5.5
Crystal RR808	1909	9159	269*	3	34.1	13	18.3*	6	94.7*	6	77*	16	3.6*	14	3.5	13	23.7	5.2
SX 1260RR	1886	9026	262*	14	34.5	12	17.9*	13	94.6*	8	87*	1	0.8*	7	2.6	10	22.7	5.8
HM 131RR	1847	8852	265*	9	33.5	14	18.3*	7	94.0	16	78*	15	0.2*	3	2.3	6	22.5	6.2
HM 110RR	1840	8807	267*	8	33.1	15	18.2*	9	94.5*	11	83*	9	1.1*	9	2.2	4	23.0	6.2
HM 133RR	1818	8718	268*	6	32.5	16	18.5*	3	94.1	13	84*	8	0.1*	2	2.3	5	22.8	6.1
HM 39RR	1814	8699	237	17	36.8*	6	16.7	17	93.4	17	87*	2	0.8*	8	3.3	11	19.5	7.3
BTS 18RR06	1736	8341	263*	12	31.7	17	18.0*	11	94.5*	10	82*	11	8.3	17	3.3	12	25.3	4.3
Average	1943	9327	264.1		35.4		18.1		94.4		82.4		2.1		2.8		23.5	5.9
LSD 5%		820.0	13.3		2.7		0.7		0.8		10.5		6.2		0.3		3.0	0.9
CV %		7.7	4.4		6.8		3.2		0.8		11.1		na		9.5		11.5	13.2

\*\* Dead beets caused by Rhizoc, Canopy Color: higher number is darker green

<sup>1</sup> \$/Acre: Gross dollars per acre assuming \$55 payment Planted: April 12 Plot Size: 4 Rows X 3

Harvested: Oct 12 Seed Spacing: 4.5 inches Plot Size: 4 Rows X 38 ft X 6 reps Quadris: 1 application 6 leaf Cerc Sprays: 4 \* Results are not statistically different from the top ranking variety in each column.





## Sylvester, Quanicassee, MI 2010

Trial Quality: Fair +

	\$/Acre <sup>1</sup>	RWSA			Tons		<u>% S</u>		<u>% C</u>		<u>% En</u>	
Variety			F	Rank	F	Rank	R	ank	R	lank	F	Rank
BTS 19RR1N	1889*	10122*	304.7	5	33.3*	1	20.3*	5	95.4*	9	80*	4
BTS 18RR4N	1700	9110	314.9*	1	28.9	5	20.8*	1	95.7*	4	76	6
Crystal RR808	1697	9091	311.6*	3	29.2	4	20.5*	3	95.8*	2	72	12
Crystal RR827	1690	9055	314.2*	2	28.8	6	20.7*	2	95.7*	5	71	13
Crystal RR824	1665	8918	295.5	11	30.2	3	19.6	15	95.6*	7	75	9
BTS 17RR32	1663	8909	294.1	14	30.3	2	19.5	18	95.6*	8	76	8
BTS 18RR06	1591	8521	311.3*	4	27.4	9	20.5*	4	95.8*	3	68	16
BTS 18RR26	1583	8483	302.2	7	28.1	8	19.9	11	96.0*	1	65	20
Crystal RR840	1487	7968	302.7	6	26.2	13	20.2	7	95.2	10	66	18
SX 1260RR	1482	7938	277.9	20	28.6	7	18.8	20	94.9	14	67	17
HM-39RR	1473	7889	288.8	18	27.3	10	19.5	17	94.7	19	74	10
BTS 19RR90	1466	7852	296.6	10	26.5	11	19.7	13	95.6*	6	86*	1
HM-131RR	1456	7801	299.8	8	26.0	14	20.2	6	94.8	16	76	7
HM-28RR	1424	7627	293.2	16	26.0	15	19.7	14	95.1	12	79*	5
HM-9173RR	1421	7613	289.9	17	26.3	12	19.6	16	94.7	17	81*	3
HM-110RR	1402	7510	293.7	15	25.6	16	19.9	12	94.7	18	69	14
SX 1281RR	1372	7351	295.4	12	24.8	19	19.9	10	94.9	13	68	15
HM-133RR	1369	7334	294.8	13	24.9	17	20.0	8	94.6	20	73	11
SX 1291RR	1342	7190	288.6	19	24.9	18	19.5	19	94.9	15	82*	2
HM-27RR	1321	7079	298.3	9	23.7	20	20.0	9	95.1	11	66	19
Average	1525	8168	298.4		27.4		19.9		95.2		74	
LSD (P=.05)	105.3	563.8	9.0		2.1		0.5		0.6		7.0	
CV	7.1	7.1	3.1		7.6		2.5		0.7		9.6	

1. \$/Acre: Gross dollars per arce assuming \$55 Payment

\* Results are not statistically different fron top ranking variety in each column.

Plant/Harvest Dates: April 20/Oct 18 Reps: 8

Row Width: 22 inches Quadris: 1 Application Cercospora Sprays: 4



Official Variety Trial

## Saginaw Valley Research Farm, Frankenmuth, MI 2010

Trial Quality: Good

	\$/Acre	RWSA	RWS	T	Tons	<u>/A</u>	<u>%Sı</u>	<u>1C</u>	<u>%C.</u>	<u>JP</u>	<u>%Em</u>	erg
Variety			F	lank	F	Rank	R	lank	R	lank	F	Rank
Crystal RR827	1987*	9537*	297.9*	1	32.0*	2	20.0*	1	95.1*	2	61	5
Crystal RR808	1880*	9023*	290.3*	2	31.1*	5	19.6*	2	94.9*	4	57	15
BTS 18RR4N	1845	8858	277.3	7	31.9*	3	18.7	6	95.1*	3	63	3
Crystal RR824	1832	8792	267.9	10	32.9*	1	18.3	10	94.4	13	60	9
BTS 19RR1N	1774	8515	278.2	6	30.7	6	18.6	8	95.4*	1	72*	1
BTS 17RR32	1710	8208	259.0	14	31.7*	4	17.6	16	94.8*	5	60	8
Crystal RR840	1686	8092	282.5	3	28.6	9	19.3	3	94.3	14	52	17
BTS 18RR26	1634	7844	280.2	5	28.0	11	19.1	5	94.5	9	49	19
SX 1260RR	1585	7608	253.7	18	30.0	7	17.4	18	94.4	10	61	6
HM-28RR	1548	7430	251.4	19	29.6	8	17.4	19	94.1	19	57	12
BTS 18RR06	1513	7265	282.0	4	25.8	19	19.2	4	94.4	11	46	20
HM-110RR	1507	7234	265.7	11	27.2	13	18.1	11	94.6	7	50	18
BTS 19RR90	1507	7233	268.2	9	27.0	15	18.4	9	94.3	15	64	2
SX 1291RR	1484	7126	251.2	20	28.4	10	17.3	20	94.4	12	63	4
HM-9173RR	1478	7094	258.5	15	27.5	12	17.8	13	94.3	16	61	7
HM-39RR	1469	7051	259.9	13	27.1	14	17.7	15	94.7	6	59	10
SX 1281RR	1436	6893	261.5	12	26.4	18	18.0	12	94.2	17	57	14
HM-133RR	1425	6839	271.2	8	25.2	20	18.6	7	94.1	18	57	13
HM-131RR	1412	6777	254.5	16	26.7	16	17.7	14	93.7	20	53	16
HM-27RR	1401	6726	254.4	17	26.4	17	17.4	17	94.5	8	57	11
Average	1606	7707	268.3		28.7		18.3		94.5		58	
LSD (P=.05)	114.9	551.3	10.7		1.8		0.6		0.6		7.3	
CV	7.5	7.5	4.2		6.4		3.6		0.7		13.0	

1. \$/Acre: Gross dollars per arce assuming \$55 Payment

#### \* Results are not statistically different fron top ranking variety in each column.

Plant/Harvest Dates: April 1/Oct 8 Reps: 8 Row Width: 22 inches Quadris: 1 Application Cercospora Sprays: 4



## 2010 VARIETY TRIAL Schuette Farms Inc.

Location:	Ηι
Planting Date:	4/1
Previous Crop:	Sc
Soil Type:	Lo
Spacings:	Ro
Fertilizer:	2x2
	20

Huron Co., Elkton 4/15/2010 Soybeans Loam Rows - 30", 52,000 Seeds/Ac. 2x2 - 240# 9-28-7 + S, Mn, B 20 Gal. 28% Preplant, 8 Gal. 28% Sidedress, 13,000 Gal Manure-Fall

Tillage:	Fall Chisel - Spring 1x
Harvest Date:	10/26/2010
Sample Date:	10/11/2010
Herbicides:	3x Glyphosate
Replicated:	3x
Fungicide:	48 DSV - Proline
	115 DSV - Eminent
	169 DSV - Proline + Kocide
	193 DSV - Gem + Kocide

VARIETY	REV /	RWSA				%			RHIZ. 1200 Ft.		
	ACRE			ACRE	SUGAR	CJP	15 DAY	32 DAY	43 DAY	HARV.	of Row
HM-28RR	\$2,088	9627	247	39.1	17.1	94.0	60	209	208	168	1
HM-133RR	\$1,991	9207	255	36.1	17.5	94.4	76	184	183	159	0
C-RR808	\$1,975	9098	253	36.1	17.3	94.6	40	197	197	157	19
HM-110RR	\$1,938	8943	256	35.0	17.5	94.7	28	186	182	155	0
B-17RR32	\$1,907	8831	241	36.6	16.5	94.8	47	178	178	142	9
C-RR824	\$1,907	8810	252	35.0	17.1	95.1	61	155	192	142	13
SX-1281RR	\$1,898	8763	262	33.5	18.0	94.4	61	169	174	147	0
C-RR827	\$1,879	8685	249	34.9	16.9	94.9	63	158	156	134	11
B-18RR06	\$1,867	8598	257	33.6	17.6	94.6	16	179	183	152	4
B-18RR26	\$1,851	8537	261	32.8	17.8	94.6	38	144	141	124	12
H-131RR	\$1,833	8500	260	32.6	17.8	94.7	62	175	176	153	0
C-RR840	\$1,775	8226	259	31.7	17.9	94.2	39	122	120	107	4
AVERAGE	\$1,909	8819	254	34.7	17.4	94.6	49	171	174	145	6
LSD (5%)		942	16	2.7	0.8	0.9	25	31	28	27	13
C.V. (%)		6	4	4.5	2.8	0.6	30	11	9	11	129

#### TRIAL RELIABILITY: Good

EMERGENCE:	Excellent	CERC. LEAF SPOT:	High Infection Level
RHIZOCTONIA:	Low	NEMATODES:	None Detected
QUADRIS APP:	None	WEATHER:	Excellent

**Comments:** This trial had a high level of Cercospora Leaf Spot which caused nearly complete canopy burn down in some varieties. Varieties with better leaf spot resistance generally had better yield rankings than in other trials. Sugar content was below company average due to leafspot and heavy nitrogen and manure applications. Revenue is based on a \$55/ton payment and an "average RWST" equal to the trial average RWST.



## 2010 VARIETY TRIAL LAKKE Ewald Farms

Location:	Tuscola Co.
Planting Date:	4/28/2010
Previous Crop:	Wheat / Clover
Soil Type:	Loam
Spacings:	Rows - 20", 61,575 Seed/Ac.
Fertilizer:	2x2 - 15 Gal. 28%
	Preplant - 33 Gal. 28%

Tillage:	Moldboard Plow, Spring 1x
Harvest Date:	10/12/2010
Sample Date:	10/11/2010
Herbicides:	3x Glyphosate
Replicated:	3x
Fungicide:	55 DSV - Proline
J - 11	119 DSV - GEM
	169 DSV - Eminent

VARIETY	REV /	RWSA	RWST	TONS /	%	%			ATIONS of Row		RHIZ. 1200 Ft.
	ACRE			ACRE	SUGAR	CJP	8 DAY	20 DAY	40 DAY	HARV.	of Row
B-17RR32	\$1,655	8792	290	30.4	19.5	95.1	52	124	142	116	42
C-RR824	\$1,595	8468	288	29.4	19.3	95.3	57	142	150	134	126
HM-28RR	\$1,542	8205	276	29.7	18.8	94.5	63	155	171	154	11
HM-110RR	\$1,516	8051	293	27.5	19.6	95.3	63	138	149	125	39
SX-1281RR	\$1,504	7982	291	27.5	19.6	94.8	127	155	156	147	19
C-RR827	\$1,505	7961	299	26.8	20.1	94.9	75	142	155	121	122
HM-133RR	\$1,471	7829	297	26.3	20.0	95.0	84	137	140	128	14
C-RR808	\$1,438	7638	295	25.9	19.8	95.1	75	154	159	144	176
HM-131RR	\$1,419	7541	293	25.8	19.7	94.9	86	133	140	130	4
C-RR840	\$1,383	7345	299	24.6	19.9	95.5	40	95	107	96	46
B-18RR06	\$1,356	7204	297	24.3	19.9	95.3	16	122	131	109	71
B-18RR26	\$1,346	7157	291	24.6	19.7	94.8	43	113	119	109	9
AVERAGE	\$1,478	7848	292	26.9	19.7	95.0	65	134	143	126	57
LSD (5%)		1189	17 NS	4.5	0.8	0.9 NS	35	31	33	33	94
C.V. (%)		9	3	9.9	2.3	0.6	31	14	14	16	98

#### TRIAL RELIABILITY: Fair

EMERGENCE:	Good	CERC. LEAF SPOT:	Good Control
RHIZOCTONIA:	Moderate	NEMATODES:	Yes
QUADRIS APP:	4 - 6 Leaf	WEATHER:	

**Comments:** Coefficient of variation on Tons and RWSA indicate the trial reliability is only fair. Data was effected by patchy Rhizoctonia and sprayer tram lines. Revenue is based on a \$55/ton payment and an "average RWST" equal to the trial average RWST.

# West District Trials





Official Variety Trial

#### Schwab, Kawkawlin, MI Rhizoctonia Influence, 2010

\*\*\*Trial Quality: Poor

		RWSA	RWS	T	Tons	<u>s/A</u>	<u>% S</u>	uc	<u>% C.</u>	<u>JP</u>	<u>% Em</u>	erg	Dead/	Plot**
Variety	\$/Acre <sup>1</sup>		R	Rank	F	Rank	R	Rank	R	ank	R	ank		Rank
BTS 18RR4N	1623*	7444*	265.3*	1	28.1*	1	18.3*	1	93.9*	1	68.1	11	7.3	12
BTS 19RR1N	1444*	6619*	257.1*	4	25.8*	2	18.0*	8	93.3*	5	77.7*	2	10.0	16
HM-28RR	1398	6423	249.8*	15	25.7*	3	17.7*	12	93.0*	12	68.4	8	3.0*	8
SX 1281RR	1380	6359	254.6*	9	24.9*	5	18.1*	3	92.6	19	64.4	17	2.1*	7
BTS 19RR90	1373	6287	255.3*	7	24.7*	8	18.1*	4	92.9*	13	77.6*	3	7.0	11
HM-9173RR	1360	6244	251.9*	13	24.8*	7	17.9*	10	92.7*	17	74.1	4	3.4*	9
SX 1291RR	1359	6263	244.8	17	25.5*	4	17.2	19	93.3*	8	80.7*	1	1.0*	4
HM-27RR	1353	6198	252.6*	11	24.6	9	17.7*	13	93.3*	6	68.3	9	0.6*	2
HM-39RR	1325	6049	244.4	18	24.9*	6	17.6*	16	92.3	20	72.6	5	0.5*	1
Crystal RR827	1310	6115	255.0*	8	23.6	11	18.0*	9	93.0*	11	63.2	18	9.5	15
Crystal RR824	1302	6016	259.9*	3	23.0	14	18.1*	6	93.5*	2	72.3	6	10.0	17
Crystal RR808	1291	6002	252.3*	12	23.5	12	17.9*	11	92.8*	15	66.2	14	11.6	18
HM-131RR	1291	5940	256.6*	6	23.1	13	18.1*	5	93.1*	9	67.2	12	1.8*	6
SX 1260RR	1268	5822	243.6	19	23.9	10	17.4	18	92.8*	16	65.5	15	8.5	14
BTS 18RR26	1239	5703	253.0*	10	22.5	15	17.7*	14	93.4*	3	62.7	19	3.5*	10
BTS 18RR06	1219	5628	256.8*	5	21.8	16	18.1*	7	93.1*	10	67.2	13	7.6	13
HM-133RR	1212	5589	261.4*	2	21.3	19	18.3*	2	93.3*	4	65.4	16	0.9*	3
HM-110RR	1175	5376	249.9*	14	21.6	18	17.6*	17	93.3*	7	68.2	10	1.5*	5
BTS 17RR32	1120	5181	238.2	20	21.6	17	16.9	20	92.9*	14	68.6	7	15.6	20
Crystal RR840	1059	4879	249.4*	16	19.5	20	17.7*	15	92.7*	18	60.5	20	11.8	19
Average	1307.7	6007	252.6		23.7		17.8		93.1		68.9		5.9	
LSD (P=.05) CV %	199.8 15.6	914.4 15.6	15.9 6.4		3.4 14.7		0.8 4.5		1.2 1.3		6.2 9.1		5.8 108.8	
0 10	10.0	15.0	0.7		17.7		т.5		1.0		0.1		100.0	

<sup>1</sup> \$/Acre: Gross dollars per acre assuming \$55 payment

\* Results are not statistically different from top ranking variety in each column.

\*\* Dead Beets in 56 feet.

\*\*\* A lot of variability due to Rhizoctonia and some Aphanomyces and Cyst nematodes

Trial Quality: Poor Plant/Harvest Dates: April 30 / Sept 30 Reps: 8 Row width: 22 inches Quadris: 1 Application Cercospora sprays: 4



Plant To Stand

### Schwab, Auburn, MI

#### Rhizoctonia Influence, 2010

\*\*Trial Quality: Poor

												<u>% E</u> i	merg		<u>C</u>	erc	Dea	d**	<u>Car</u>	nopy
			<u>Qua</u>	lity	Tons/	Acre	% S	uc	<u>% Pu</u>	rity	<u>18 D</u>	ays	32	Days	<u>Ra</u>	ting	Bee	ets	Height	Color**
Variety	\$/Acre <sup>1</sup>	RWSA	RWST	Rank	F	Rank	F	Rank	R	lank	F	Rank		Rank	0-9	Rank	/100 ft	Rank	Inches	1-10
HM 39RR	1485*	6914*	241	17	28.7*	1	17.2	17	92.9*	6	66*	3	70*	3	2.1	10	1.1*	3	15.8	6.5
HM 27RR	1472*	6867*	267*	3	25.7	4	18.8*	4	92.9*	5	60	10	65*	8	2.0	8	1.1*	2	19.8	7.3
BTS 18RR4N	1447*	6751*	268*	2	25.2	5	18.7*	5	93.5*	1	61	8	65*	7	2.4	16	19.6	13	21.8	6.0
HM 29RR	1421*	6604*	245	15	27.1*	2	17.6	14	92.2*	12	65*	4	69*	4	2.1	11	4.6*	5	17.5	6.0
HM 28RR	1408*	6554*	244	16	26.9*	3	17.6	16	92.2*	13	61	7	69*	5	1.9	5	5.5*	6	18.5	6.0
Crystal RR827	1385*	6482*	264*	4	24.5	7	19.0*	2	92.1*	14	59	11	65*	9	2.4	15	24.9	15	23.0	5.0
BTS 17RR32	1346*	6331*	254*	12	24.7	6	17.9	13	93.1*	2	70*	2	71*	2	2.2	12	21.0	14	20.7	4.8
HM 110RR	1343*	6253*	257*	9	24.4	8	18.5*	8	92.2*	11	56	13	62	12	2.0	7	1.1*	1	21.2	6.0
SX 1281RR	1342*	6261*	273*	1	22.9	10	19.1*	1	93.1*	3	62*	6	64	10	1.9	3	11.0*	8	21.0	6.5
HM 133RR	1340*	6229*	259*	6	24.1	9	18.9*	3	91.5	17	58	12	63	11	2.0	6	4.6*	4	19.8	5.8
BTS 18RR06	1269	5935	263*	5	22.5	13	18.6*	6	92.8*	8	54	16	60	15	2.2	13	16.2	11	23.7	4.5
Crystal RR824	1242	5854	256*	11	22.6	12	18.1*	12	92.9*	4	70*	1	72*	1	2.4	17	37.0	16	22.3	5.3
HM 131RR	1230	5781	252*	13	22.7	11	18.2*	11	92.0*	15	63*	5	66*	6	1.9	4	7.1*	7	20.7	5.8
Crystal RR840	1220	5692	258*	7	22.1	14	18.2*	10	92.9*	7	54	17	58	17	1.5*	1	15.3	10	24.3	5.3
BTS 18RR26	1193	5543	257*	8	21.6	16	18.4*	9	92.4*	9	54	15	58	16	1.5*	2	12.9*	9	21.3	5.5
SX 1260RR	1144	5377	245	14	21.8	15	17.6	15	92.2*	10	61	9	61	14	2.0	9	17.0	12	19.3	5.8
Crystal RR808	1142	5289	256*	10	20.8	17	18.5*	7	92.0*	16	55	14	62	13	2.3	14	37.8	17	20.2	5.0
Average	1319	6160	256.4		24.0		18.3		92.5		60.5		64.7	7	2.0		14.0		20.6	5.7
LSD 5%		961.0	23.3		2.9		1.1		1.6		8.9		7.0		0.2		13.5		3.1	0.8
CV%		13.5	7.9		10.7		5.2		1.6		12.9		9.5		9.1		95.6		13.3	12.4

\*\* Dead beets caused by Rhizoc, Canopy Color: Higher number is darker green

<sup>1</sup> \$/Acre: Gross dollars per acre assuming \$55 payment

Planted: April 21 Harvested: Sept 27 Plot Size: 4 Rows X 38 ft X 6 reps Quadris: 1 application 6 leaf Seed Spacing: 4.5 inches Cercospora Sprays: 4 \* Results are not statistically different from the top ranking variety in each column.



## 2010 VARIETY TRIAL Knoerr Farms

Location: Planting Date: Previous Crop: Soil Type: Spacings: Fertilizer:	Bay County 3/31/2010 Wheat, Oilseed Radish Loam 20" Rows, 60,000 Seeds/Ac 2x2 - 15 Gal. 19-17-0 + Mn & B
Fertilizer:	2x2 - 15 Gal. 19-17-0 + Mn & B 25 Gal. 28% PPI

Tillage:	Fall - Dominator, 1x Spring
Harvest Date:	10/23/2010
Sample Date:	10/15/2010
Herbicides:	3x Glyphosate
Replicated:	3x
Fungicide:	55 DSV - Proline
-	100 DSV - Headline
	154 DSV Inspire XT

VARIETY	REV / ACRE	RWSA	RWST	TONS / ACRE	% SUGAR	% CJP		POPUL 100 Ft.			RHIZ. 1200 Ft.
	AONE			AGRE	SUGAR	001	15 DAY	21 DAY	37 DAY	HARV.	of Row
C-RR827	\$1,594	8337	307	27.2	20.5	95.1	40	103	129		28
C-RR808	\$1,584	8286	313	26.5	20.5	96.0	55	124	147		67
C-RR824	\$1,446	7556	289	26.2	19.3	95.4	39	121	145		39
B-17RR32	\$1,368	7153	290	24.7	19.4	95.3	40	110	136		18
B-18RR06	\$1,322	6954	296	23.4	19.8	95.3	14	93	123		30
HM-28RR	\$1,316	6873	279	24.7	19.1	94.3	27	100	127		8
B-18RR26	\$1,294	6797	291	23.3	19.8	94.4	37	92	107		49
C-RR840	\$1,244	6522	287	22.7	19.6	94.3	53	100	111		17
HM-131RR	\$1,179	6186	273	22.6	18.6	94.7	60	112	123		8
HM-133RR	\$1,170	6120	281	21.8	19.1	94.6	66	137	154		8
SX-1281RR	\$1,148	6008	277	21.7	18.9	94.5	88	138	150		5
HM-110RR	\$1,140	5961	274	21.8	18.8	94.2	49	109	126		9
AVERAGE	\$1,317	6896	288	23.9	19.4	94.8	47	112	132		24
LSD (5%)		1044	12	3.4	0.6	0.9	19	28	27	-	45
C.V. (%)		9	2	8.5	1.8	0.5	24	15	12	-	112

#### TRIAL RELIABILITY: Fair / Good

EMERGENCE:	Good / Fair	CERC. LEAF SPOT:	Good Control
RHIZOCTONIA:	Low	NEMATODES:	Yes
QUADRIS APP:	4 - 6 Leaf	WEATHER:	Dry End of Summer

**Comments:** Revenue is based on a \$55/ton payment and an "average RWST" equal to the trial average RWST.



## 2010 VARIETY TRIAL Clay Crumbaugh

Location: Planting Date: Previous Crop: Soil Type: Spacings: Fertilizer:	Gratiot County 3/29/2010 Soybeans Parkhill Loam Rows - 30", 55,000 Seeds/Ac 2x2 - 50#, 30#, 30#, + Bn & Mn 45# N Pre-emerge in 20" Band	Tillage: Harvest Date: Sample Date: Herbicides: Replicated: Fungicide:	Fall Zone Till, No Spring Tillage 11/1/2010 10/13/2010 3x Glyphosate 3x 55 DSV - Eminent 110 DSV - Headline
	45# N Pre-emerge in 20" Band	i ungicide.	110 DSV - Headline 165 DSV - Agritin

VARIETY	REV / ACRE	RWSA	RWST	TONS / ACRE	% SUGAR	% CJP	100 Ft of Row				
	AONE			AONE	SUGAR	001	11 DAY	16 DAY	29 DAY	HARV.	of Row
C-RR827	\$1,797	9194	302	30.4	20.2	95.2	23	97	156	114	237
C-RR824	\$1,722	8790	282	31.2	19.1	94.6	16	113	200	154	166
B-17RR32	\$1,643	8381	277	30.3	18.8	94.8	5	82	175	148	184
HM-133RR	\$1,617	8263	283	29.2	19.2	94.7	28	93	159	137	98
C-RR840	\$1,579	8087	284	28.4	19.3	94.5	16	70	131	112	134
B-18RR26	\$1,576	8037	287	28.1	19.5	94.5	11	77	148	118	158
B-18RR06	\$1,554	7936	280	28.4	19.2	94.1	0	60	158	135	129
HM-110RR	\$1,509	7705	272	28.3	18.6	94.3	16	91	169	132	93
HM-28RR	\$1,488	7615	268	28.4	18.3	94.5	9	65	154	124	117
C-RR808	\$1,474	7553	281	26.8	19.2	94.4	7	79	167	133	151
SX-1281RR	\$1,475	7552	275	27.4	18.8	94.3	43	121	186	151	119
HM-131RR	\$1,414	7232	281	25.7	19.1	94.5	33	105	169	142	88
AVERAGE	\$1,571	8029	281	28.6	19.1	94.5	17	88	164	133	140
LSD (5%)		1005	19	2.7	0.9	0.9	13	31	29	36	107
C.V. (%)		7	4	5.7	2.9	0.6	44	21	10	16	45

#### TRIAL RELIABILITY: Good

EMERGENCE:	Good	CERC. LEAF SPOT:	Good Control
RHIZOCTONIA:	Moderate / Heavy in spots	NEMATODES:	None Detected
QUADRIS APP:	4 - 6 Leaf	WEATHER:	Heavy Early Rain, Dry Late Summer

**Comments:** Trial was stale seed bed planted into fall zone till. Rhizoctonia levels significantly impacted yield and harvest stand. Revenue is based on a \$55/ton payment and an "average RWST" equal to the trial average RWST.

# Nursery Data





Rhizoctonia Nursery

#### Average of 2 Yrs. Michigan Sugar and Ft. Collins

	20	009	2010	Average*
Vorioty	Mich *	Ft. Collins*	Ft Collins*	
Variety	Mich.*			
HM 39RR	3.8	4.3	5.5	4.5
HM 28RR	4.3	5.1	5.7	5.0
HM 110RR	4.6	5.0	5.8	5.1
SX 1291RR	4.3	5.1	6.0	5.1
HM 27RR	4.6	5.4	5.5	5.2
Crystal RR840	4.3	5.3	6.0	5.2
HM 131RR	3.9	5.4	6.4	5.2
SX 1281RR	4.4	5.6	5.9	5.3
BTS 18RR26	4.5	5.4	6.3	5.4
HM 9173RR	4.2	5.6	6.5	5.4
HM 133RR	4.5	5.8	6.1	5.5
BTS 19RR90	4.9	5.9	5.7	5.5
BTS 18RR06	4.9	5.6	6.0	5.5
BTS 18RR4N	4.8	5.5	6.2	5.5
SX 1260RR	4.7	5.4	6.5	5.5
BTS 19RR1N	4.7	5.7	6.3	5.6
Crystal RR808	4.7	5.6	6.4	5.6
Crystal RR827	4.9	5.6	6.4	5.6
BTS 17RR32	4.5	5.8	6.6	5.6
Crystal RR824	5.1	5.6	6.6	5.8
Average	4.5	5.4	6.1	5.4

\* 0-7 Rating: Based upon 0 = no disease and 7 = beets completely rotted.



Rhizoctonia Nursery

\_ .

#### USDA - FT. Collins, CO Avg, of 2 Years (2009-2010)

Variety	Disease Index 0-7 Rating*
HM 39RR	4.9
HM 28RR	5.4
HM 110RR	5.4
HM 27RR	5.5
SX 1291RR	5.6
Crystal RR840	5.7
SX 1281RR	5.8
BTS 19RR90	5.8
BTS 18RR06	5.8
BTS 18RR4N	5.9
BTS 18RR26	5.9
HM 131RR	5.9
HM 133RR	6.0
SX 1260RR	6.0
BTS 19RR1N	6.0
Crystal RR808	6.0
Crystal RR827	6.0
HM 9173RR	6.1
Crystal RR824	6.1
BTS 17RR32	6.2
Average LSD (P=.05)	5.8 0.5
$\frac{CV}{* 0.7 \text{ Pating: Based upon } 0 - no disease an$	4.3

\* 0-7 Rating: Based upon 0 = no disease and

7 = beets completely rotted.



Cercospora Nursery

#### Michigan Sugar Trials Avg. of 2 Years (2009-2010)

	Cercospora		
Variety	0-9 Rating*		
Crystal RR840	3.57		
HM 131RR	3.72		
HM 133RR	3.79		
BTS 18RR26	3.83		
HM 27RR	3.84		
SX 1281RR	3.89		
HM 9173RR	3.96		
HM 110RR	4.02		
HM 28RR	4.11		
SX 1291RR	4.11		
SX 1260RR	4.22		
BTS 19RR90	4.51		
Crystal RR824	4.64		
Crystal RR808	4.75		
HM 39RR	4.76		
BTS 17RR32	4.78		
Crystal RR827	4.87		
BTS 18RR06	4.88		
BTS 18RR4N	4.95		
BTS 19RR1N	5.45		
Average	4.33		

\* Cercospora Ratings: 0 to 9 scale with 0 = no infestion and 9 = complete desiccation of leaves



## Cyst Nematode Nursery

## Vader's Farm, Unionville, MI 2010

Variety	Nematode Rating 0-5*	RWSA	RWST	Tons / Acre	% Suc	% CJP
BTS 18RR4N	1.04	4727	182.1	25.3	13.9	90.7
BTS 19RR1N	1.14	4972	180.9	27.4	13.6	91.3
HM 28RR	1.20	3758	196.2	19.0	14.8	91.1
SX 1260RR	1.47	3861	182.3	21.1	13.8	90.9
Crystal RR827	1.57	4563	185.1	24.8	14.1	90.7
BTS 17RR32	2.01	3032	158.0	19.5	12.4	89.9
Average LSD (P=.05) CV	1.35 0.59 37.0	4188.5 1251.2 25.3	181.4 15.1 7.0	22.9 6.3 23.2	13.8 0.9 5.4	90.9 1.2 1.1

\* Nematode Rating Scale: 10 beets per plot rated

- 0 no cysts found
- 1 1 cyst per root
- 2 2-4 cysts per root
- 3 5-10 cysts per root
- 4 more than 10 cysts per root
- 5 too many to count

Plot Size: 2 rows X 25 ft Reps: 6

Planted: June 26th

Harvested: September 2nd



Aphanomyces Nursery

#### Shakopee, MN Average of 2 Years

			Stand
	Root	Foliar	Loss <sup>2</sup>
Variety	(1-9) <sup>1</sup>	(1-9)	%
Resistant Check	2.3	1.3	13.1
BTS 18RR4N	2.8	1.8	36.7
BTS 18RR26	3.1	2.3	33.0
BTS 17RR32	3.2	2.2	38.5
BTS 19RR1N	3.4	2.7	38.4
HM 9173RR	3.4	2.5	41.1
Crystal RR827	3.5	2.8	31.3
BTS 18RR06	3.5	2.1	37.1
Crystal RR808	3.6	2.8	36.8
Crystal RR824	3.7	3.0	38.8
BTS 19RR90	3.7	2.9	46.5
SX 1260RR	3.7	3.2	34.8
HM 131RR	3.7	3.1	41.2
SX 1281RR	3.9	3.0	40.5
HM 39RR	3.9	3.5	44.4
SX 1291RR	3.9	3.3	43.7
HM 133 RR	4.1	3.5	47.4
HM 28RR	4.2	3.4	44.7
HM 27RR	4.2	3.8	46.6
Crystal RR840	4.5	3.6	43.1
HM 110RR	4.5	3.7	49.2
Susc. Check	5.5	4.2	52.6
Grand Mean	3.7	2.9	40.0
LSD (P=.05)	1.2	1.3	13.3
CV	14.9	20.6	16.0

<sup>1</sup> 1. = no damage, 9 = dead

<sup>2</sup> percent of plants that died from highest population to Aug 18th



Root Aphid Nursery

Shakopee, MN 2009

Variety	1-4 Rating*
BTS 19RR90	1.37
BTS 18RR06	1.43
BTS 17RR32	1.87
Crystal RR824	2.00
HM 9133RR	2.07
HM 28RR	2.24
Crystal RR808	2.33
Crystal RR827	2.37
HM 9131RR	2.53
SX 1281RR	2.57
Crystal RR840	2.60
HM 29RR	2.60
HM9173RR	2.80
SX 1260RR	2.83
SX 1291RR	2.93
BTS 18RR26	3.07
HM 9110RR	3.10
HM 27RR	3.17
BTS 18RR4N	3.27
BTS 19RR1N	3.37
Average	2.53

<u>\* Rating Scale</u>
1=No aphids
2=A few young aphids
3=A few adult and young aphids
4=Many adult and young aphids


Rhizomania Nursery

USDA Trial Salinas, CA - 2009

Variety	DI <sup>1</sup>	$(0-4)^2$	Tons/A
BTS 18RR06	3.5	78.8	32.8
Tolerant Check	3.7	71.4	39.6
HM 28RR	3.8	68.7	34.6
HM 27RR	3.9	69.2	39.2
BTS 17RR32	3.9	71.9	38.8
HM 39RR	3.9	66.6	37.0
BTS 19RR90	4.1	66.5	33.4
HM 9173RR	4.1	64.6	37.8
SX 1260RR	4.2	62.1	34.6
SX 1291RR	4.3	63.9	37.2
Crystal RR824	4.3	60.9	38.2
SX 1281RR	4.5	56.2	34.9
HM 131RR	4.6	56.3	35.1
HM 133RR	4.8	51.2	36.4
Crystal RR827	4.8	53.6	35.4
BTS 18RR4N	4.9	49.3	37.7
Crystal RR808	4.9	54.9	36.9
BTS 19RR1N	5.1	45.0	35.7
HM 110RR	5.2	48.0	34.6
Crystal RR840	5.4	37.6	31.5
Susceptible Check	5.5	38.1	29.7
BTS 18RR26	5.6	37.2	31.7
Average	4.5	57.8	35.6
LSD (0.05)	0.4	9.3	2.8
C.V.	12.8	21.1	10.4

$^{1}$ DI = 0 to 9 Scale
0 = No Disease
9 = Dead Beets
Rhizomania Disease Index (DI)
was considered to be the most
reliable indicator of Rhizomania
resistance in this trial.

<sup>2</sup> Percent of beets in categories 0 through 4 - considered

Rhizomania resistant.

# Sugarbeet Advancement Rhizoctonia Variety Trials & Poncho Beta / Nematode Variety Trials





## 2010 RHIZOCTONIA RESISTANT VARIETY TRIAL

### **Steve Hoard**

Location:Gratiot Co., BreckenridgePlanting Date:4/14/2010Previous Crop:SoybeansSoil Type:LoamSpacings:Rows - 30", 52,000 Seeds/Ac.Fertilizer:2x2 - 20 Gal.<br/>Sidedress - 28 Gal. 28%

Tillage:	Fall - V Rip, Spring 1x
Harvest Date:	10/30/2010
Sample Date:	10/15/2010
Herbicides:	3x Glyphosate
Replicated:	3x
Fungicide:	Eminent
-	Headline
	Eminent

	REV /	DIAGO	DWOT	TONS /	%	%			ATIONS		RHIZ.
VARIETY	ACRE	RWSA	RWST	ACRE	SUGAR	CJP	100 Ft. of Row				1200 Ft.
							13 DAY	DAY	26 DAY	HARV.	of Row
HM-29RR	\$1,509	7570	280	27.1	19.1	94.4	120		253		83
HM-27RR	\$1,503	7553	283	26.7	19.5	93.9	99		247		47
HM-28RR	\$1,471	7373	271	27.3	18.8	93.7	70		243		34
HM-131RR	\$1,400	7022	288	24.4	19.9	93.7	137		230		175
HM-39RR	\$1,276	6416	265	24.2	18.4	93.6	109		254		125
SX-1281RR	\$1,271	6388	272	23.5	19.0	93.2	146		241		440
AVERAGE	\$1,405	7054	276	25.5	19.1	93.7	114		245		151
LSD (5%)		531		2.0			45		15		177
C.V. (%)	-	4		4.2			22		3		65

#### TRIAL RELIABILITY: Good

EMERGENCE:	Excellent	CERC. LEAF SPOT:	Good Control
RHIZOCTONIA:	Moderate	NEMATODES:	None Detected
QUADRIS APP:	4-6 Leaf	WEATHER:	Heavy Early Rains

**Comments:** Trial had a moderate amount of Rhizoctonia. Statistics were not performed on the sugar results due to a limited number of samples being available. Use the sugar results with caution. Trial had great emergence but received heavy early rains that caused some damage.



## 2010 RHIZOCTONIA RESISTANT VARIETY TRIAL

## **Randy Sturm**

Location:Huron Co., PigeonPlanting Date:4/1/2010Previous Crop:SoybeansSoil Type:Clay LoamSpacings:Rows - 28"Fertilizer:Broadcast 561# of 3-16-38 + Micros,<br/>Broadcast 15 Gal 28% + 3 Gal Thiosul<br/>Sidedress 20 Gal. 28%

Tillage:	Fall-Chisel, 1x Spring
Harvest Date:	10/22/2010
Sample Date:	10/11/2010
Herbicides:	2x Glyphosate
Replicated:	3x
Fungicide:	57 DSV - Proline
	134 DSV - GEM

VARIETY	REV /	RWSA	RWST	TONS /	%		POPULATIONS 100 Ft. of Row				RHIZ. 1200 Ft.
	ACRE			ACRE	SUGAR	CJP	14 DAY	21 DAY	46 DAY	HARV.	of Row
HM-27RR	\$1,583	7399	257	28.9	17.5	94.6	24	155	195		17
HM-28RR	\$1,565	7315	254	28.9	17.4	94.5	16	155	211		31
SX-1281RR	\$1,542	7231	271	26.7	18.3	95.1	66	197	211		55
HM-39RR	\$1,542	7227	246	29.4	16.8	95.0	43	199	236		36
HM-29RR	\$1,523	7150	248	28.8	17.2	94.1	32	177	210		59
HM-131RR	\$1,514	7090	268	26.5	18.2	94.7	29	165	185		25
AVERAGE	\$1,545	7235	258	28.2	17.6	94.7	35	174	208		37
LSD (5%)		483 NS	23	1.7	1.2	1.2	31	62	35		40
C.V. (%)		4	5	3.4	3.8	0.7	49	19	9		59

#### TRIAL RELIABILITY: Excellent

EMERGENCE:	Good	CERC. LEAF SPOT:	Moderate / High Infection Level
RHIZOCTONIA:	Low	NEMATODES:	None
QUADRIS APP:	None	WEATHER:	

**Comments:** Trial had a moderately low amount of Rhizoctonia. No Quadris was applied. Trial had a moderate high level of Cercospora leaf spot infection. Revenue is based on a \$55/ton payment and an "average RWST" equal to the trial average RWST.



## PONCHO BETA SEED TREATMENT / NEMATODE VARIETY COMPARISON Haaq Farms

- Location: Huron County Planting Date: 3/30/2010 Previous Crop: Dry Beans Soil Type: Loam Spacings: Rows - 28", 53,000 Seeds/Ac. Fertilizer: 2x2 - 250# 14-9-7 Sidedress - 32 Gal. 28% Fall - 225# K<sub>2</sub>O
- Tillage: Harvest Date: Sample Date: Herbicides: Replicated: Fungicide:

Fall Chisel - 1x Spring 10/22/2010 10/19/2010 2x Glyphosate 3x 56 DSV - Proline 162 DSV - Gem 183 DSV - Eminent

VARIETY	REV / ACRE	RWSA	RWST	TONS / ACRE	% SUGAR	% CJP	100 Ft.	ATIONS of Row
						30 DAY	HARV.	
B-17RR32 + Poncho Beta	\$1,840	8519	257	33.2	17.6	94.4	86	108
B-17RR32	\$1,637	7572	253	30.0	17.4	94.2	63	73
LSD (5%)		473	21 NS	2.0	0.7 NS	1.8 NS		

B-18RR4N	\$1,717	8305	274	30.3	18.6	94.7	73	91
B-17RR32	\$1,643	7968	258	30.8	17.7	94.4	63	73
LSD (5%)		336	16	2.2 NS	1 NS	.7 NS	14	38 NS
CV (%)		2	3	3.0	3.0	3.0	15	25

#### TRIAL RELIABILITY: Good CV's

EMERGENCE:	Poor	CERC. LEAF SPOT:	Good
RHIZOCTONIA:	Low / Moderate	NEMATODES:	None Detected
QUADRIS APP:	None	WEATHER:	

**Comments:** Both trials conducted in same field. Trial was conducted to compare Poncho Beta seed treatment and a nematode resistant variety against B-17RR32 as a check. No insect feeding was observed. Poor emergence occurred and the yield differences that were measured are possibly due to population differences. It is unknown if there was any insect pressure that caused stand differences. It is unknown if the check and Poncho Beta treated seed were from the same seed lot. Nematodes were not visually confirmed in the field. Revenue is based on a \$55/ton payment and an "average RWST" equal to the trial average RWST.



## PONCHO BETA SEED TREATMENT / NEMATODE VARIETY COMPARISON Rayl Farms

Location:TuscolPlanting Date:3/29/2Previous Crop:WheatSoil Type:LoamSpacings:RowsFertilizer:2x2 - 8

Tuscola Co. 3/29/2010 Wheat Loam Rows - 22", 63,000 seeds/acre 2x2 - 8 Gallon, 10-34-0 + Thiosol 150# N / Acre

Tillage:	Fall chisel / stale seed bed
Harvest Date:	9/30/2010
Sample Date:	9/27/2010
Herbicides:	3x
Replicated:	3x
Fungicide:	Proline
•	GEM
	Eminent
	Headline

VARIETY		TONS /			POPULATIONS 100 Ft. of Row			RHIZ. 1200 Ft.			
	AONE			ACIL		CJP	24 DAY	31 DAY	58 DAY	HARV.	of Row
B-17RR32 + Poncho Beta		8285	285	29.1	18.9	95.8	80	109	131		
B-17RR32		7971	284	28.1	19.1	95.1	68	100	136		
LSD (5%)		1382 NS	19 NS	3.5 NS	0.9 NS	1.5 NS	27NS	24 NS	9 NS		

				-	-					
B-18RR4N	\$1,726	9119	297	30.7	19.7	95.6	31	109	145	 94
B-17RR32	\$1,511	7971	284	28.1	19.1	95.1	68	100	136	 152
LSD (10%)		907	6	3.7NS	0.1	1.6 NS	31	17 NS	20 NS	 NS
CV (%)		5	2	5.0	1.0	0.5	24	16	8	 

#### TRIAL RELIABILITY: Good

EMERGENCE:	Fair	CERC. LEAF SPOT:	Good
RHIZOCTONIA:	Low	NEMATODES:	None Detected
QUADRIS APP:	6-8 Leaf	WEATHER:	

**Comments:** Both trials conducted in same field. Trial was conducted to compare Poncho Beta seed treatment and a nematode resistant variety against B-17RR32 as a check. No insect feeding was observed. It is unknown if the check and Poncho Beta treated seed were from the same seed lot. The nematode variety showed a yield increase over B-17RR32, but nematodes were not visually confirmed in the field. Revenue is based on a \$55/ton payment and an "average RWST" equal to the trial average RWST.



## PONCHO BETA SEED TREATMENT

#### **Bublitz Farms**

Location:	Bay County
Planting Date:	3/30/2010
Previous Crop:	Corn
Soil Type:	Loam
Spacings:	Rows 30", 50,000 Seeds/Ac.
Fertilizer:	Blend 10-34-0 + Thiosol;
	Sidedress 26 gallon 28%

Tillage: Harvest Date: Sample Date: Herbicides: Replicated: Fungicide: Fall Moldboard, 2x Spring 9/21/2010 9/21/2010 3x Glyphosate 5x Proline Headline Kocide

VARIETY	REV / RWSA	RWST	TONS /	%	%	POPULATIONS 100 Ft. of Row			
	ACRE			ACRE	SUGAR	CJP	Early	Final	HARV.
B-18RR4N		6930	288	24.1	19.4	94.8	81	133	
B-18RR4N + Poncho Beta		6567	284	23.1	19.2	94.9	84	132	
AVERAGE		6749	286	23.6	19.3	94.8	82	132	
LSD (5%)		364 NS	6 NS	1.0 NS	0.2 NS	0.7 NS	18 NS	26 NS	
C.V. (%)		3	1	2.5	0.6	0.4	19	17	

#### TRIAL RELIABILITY: Excellent

EMERGENCE:	Good	CERC. LEAF SPOT:	Good
RHIZOCTONIA:	Low	NEMATODES:	Yes
QUADRIS APP:	8 leaf	WEATHER:	

**Comments:** Trial found no significant difference between treatments. No insect feeding was observed.



## PONCHO BETA SEED TREATMENT

### Houghtaling Farms

Location: Planting Date:	Saginaw County	Tillage: Harvest Date:	Fall Chisel Plow 11/3/2010
Previous Crop:	Corn	Sample Date:	10/19/2010
Soil Type:	Loam	Herbicides:	4x Glyphosate
Spacings:	Rows - 30 inch	Replicated:	5x
Fertilizer:	2x2 - 35-17-0	Fungicide:	Proline
	PPI - 30 gallon 28%		Gem
	Fall - 400 lbs. Potash		Eminent

VARIETY	REV / ACRE	RWSA	RWST	TONS / ACRE	% SUGAR	% CJP		OPULATIO 00 Ft. of Ro	
	ACKL			ACINE	JUGAN	001	Early	Final	HARV.
B-17RR32 + Poncho Beta		6957	267	26.1	18.0	95.1		189	
B-17RR32		7235	273	26.6	18.2	95.6		195	
AVERAGE		7096	270	26.3	18.1	95.4		192	
LSD (5%)		460 NS	12 NS	1.4 NS	0.7 NS	0.3		47 NS	
C.V. (%)		4	3	3.0	2.0	0.2		7	

#### TRIAL RELIABILITY: Very Good

EMERGENCE:	Good	CERC. LEAF SPOT:	Good
RHIZOCTONIA:	Low	NEMATODES:	None Detected
QUADRIS APP:	In Furrow (2" Band, 3 oz/ac)	WEATHER:	

**Comments:** Trial found no significant difference between treatments. No insect feeding was observed.



## OV∕T Information

		20	10		
	<u>Quanicassee</u>	<u>Frankenmuth</u>	<u>Sandusky</u>	<u>Pigeon</u>	<u>Auburn</u>
Grower	Sylvester	Saginaw Valley Research Farm	Stoutenburg	Trost	Schwab
Trial Quality	Fair-Good	Good	Very Good	Very Good	Poor*
Planted	20-April	1-April	21-April	12-April	30-April
Harvested Soil Type	18-Oct Sandy Clay Loam	8-Oct Clay Loam	6-Oct Sandy Clay Loam	12-Oct Sandy Clay Loam	30-Sept Sandy Clay Loam
Soil pH	7.8	7.7	7.6	6.9	7.0
Soil %OM	3.8	2.6	2.9	2.5	2.3
CEC meq/100g	15.2	15.1	11.1	10.0	9.9
Phosphorus	Abv. Optimum	Abv. Optimum	Abv. Optimum	Abv. Optimum	Abv. Optimum
Potassium	Below Optimum	Optimum	Abv. Optimum	Abv. Optimum	Optimum
Magnesium	Abv. Optimum	Abv. Optimum	Abv. Optimum	Abv. Optimum	Abv. Optimum
Manganese	High	High	Medium	High	Medium
Boron	Medium	Medium	Low	Low	Low
Zinc	Medium	Medium	Medium	High	High
Nitrogen Added	100	100	85	100	99

\* Due to Signifigate Rhizoctonia

### \* Seasonal Rainfall

	<u>Quanicassee</u>	<u>Frankenmuth</u>	<u>Sandusky</u>	<u>Pigeon</u>	<u>Auburn</u>
April	0.93	1.88	1.15	0.02	
May	3.17	2.44	2.65	2.25	3.91
June	4.78	2.36	3.28	5.20	7.28
July	1.33	1.00	3.18	5.77	1.87
August	1.8	2.01	0.73	2.33	1.58
September	3.68	3.44	5.06	3.79	1.74
October	1.68	0.88	0.13		
Total	17.37	14.01	16.18	19.36	16.38

\*Rainfall amounts included from month the first location planting to the date of harvest at each location.

## Michigan Sugar Company

#### **Sugarbeet Variety Approval System for 2010**

Revised 2009

#### **Objective:**

The purpose of the Official Variety Trials (OVT) testing program is to identify and evaluate improved sugarbeet varieties for Michigan Sugar Company growers. The variety approval process is based primarily on a mathematical system which measures the yield, quality, storability and Cercospora leafspot levels of new varieties. Minimum levels for RWSA, RWST, RWST-Storage and Cercospora leafspot tolerance must be met before a variety will be fully approved. Additional testing is conducted to measure other varietal traits such as emergence and tolerance to pests and diseases. No variety may be sold or given away unless approved by Michigan Sugar Company.

The yield, quality, storability and Cercospora tolerance of new varieties are measured against approved "check varieties". The current check varieties for yield, quality, storability and Cercospora are:

#### HM 50RR, BTS 17RR32, HM 28RR, and Seedex 1260RR.

The Performance Standards used to approve a variety will include:

Varieties first tested in:	<b>Before 2008</b>	<u>2008-2009</u>	2010: Minimum in Point System
<b>RWSA:</b>	86.5%	89.2%	94.25% or more of the check varieties.
<b>RWST:</b>	98.9%	99.9%	101.2% or more of the check varieties.
<b>RWST-Storage:</b>	7%	under RWST	requirement (2012?)
Cercospora Leafs	<b>pot:</b> 101.3%	101.3%	124.7% or less of the check varieties.

These performance standards are based on an average of the most recent 3 years of data.

#### Limited Approval (5%):

After 2 consecutive years of successful testing (performance standards passed) a variety will be advanced to Limited Approval (5%). This is intended to be a test marketing period and the variety can be planted on up to 5% of the Co-op's acreage. Commercially processed seed must be used. If the OVT performance standards are maintained during this test marketing period the variety will become fully approved.

#### Limited Approval (10%):

If the performance standards are maintained after 3 consecutive years of successful testing, but the variety was not test marketed or if commercial seed is not available after 2 years of successful testing, the variety will be advanced to Limited Approval (10%). Varieties in this category can be planted on up to 10% of the Co-op's acreage. If the performance standards are maintained during this test marketing period and commercial plantings were successful the variety will become fully approved.

#### **Full Approval:**

After 3 consecutive years of testing a variety will be advanced from Limited Approval to Full Approval assuming the 3 year OVT data averages pass all requirements and the variety has been test marketed and commercial seed is available. Fully approved varieties do not have limits on the amount of seed that can be sold or planted. A fully approved variety must maintain a leafspot level of **"not more than 5% above the required level"** or it will be **disapproved**. If a variety's 3 year leafspot level **"is more than 5% above the required level"** it will be disapproved and put on a 2 year plant out. A disapproved variety can be reinstated if the latest 3 year average meets the leafspot level.

If a fully approved variety is not submitted for testing, it will be disapproved and put on a 2 year plant out.

#### **Specialty Approval:**

Varieties may be approved as "Specialty Varieties" if they do not meet the performance standards but have other desirable characteristics such as insect or disease tolerance. To be considered for a specialty approval the variety must be designated by the seed company as having the specialty trait and must be successfully tested by the Company in an approved nursery. Commercial seed must also be available. If approved by the Seed Committee, the variety can be sold for 2 years after approval and the seed committee will determine the quantity of seed that can be sold each year.

#### Seed Committee:

The Michigan Sugar Company Seed Committee is comprised of the following members: 4 employees from the Agricultural Department, 3 members from the Board of Directors and 6 Grower members representing different growing districts. The chairman will be elected from the 6 Grower members. Each member on the Seed Committee has 1 vote.

#### Variety Approval and Policy Changes are Subject to Board of Director Approval

## Michigan Sugar Company Variety Approval "Points" System

(Valid 2010 through 2014)

The Variety Approval Points System will be used to approve varieties that enter the Official Variety Trials in 2010, 2011, 2012, 2013 and 2014. The system will be evaluated in 2014 to determine if it will be used going forward.

The purpose of the points system is to provide an avenue to approve higher quality, higher yielding varieties. In addition, the system allows resistance traits such as Rhizoctonia to contribute toward a variety's chance of being approved. The system also provides incentives to seed companies to breed for the traits that are important to our growers and the Coop.

Varieties accumulate approval points based upon RWSA, RWST, Cercospora, Rhizoctonia, Root Aphid, Rhizomania and Emergence levels. Minimum levels for RWSA, RWST and Cercospora must be met, in addition to a total points score.

#### Approval Levels Needed (% of check varieties)

<u>RWSA</u>	<u>RWST</u>	<u>Cercospora</u>	Points
94.25	101.2	124.7	99.2
minimum	minimum	maximum	minimum

The current check varieties are: HM 28RR, HM 50RR, SX 1260RR and B 17RR32

Approval points are accumulated as follows:

**RWSA** levels are determined from OVT trials and expressed as a % of check.

**RWST** levels are determined from OVT trials and expressed as a % of check, then the variance from 100 (above or below) is multiplied by 3.

Example: Variety A has an RWST of 103% of check: 103 - 100 = 3 X 3 = 9 points Variety B has an RWST of 98% of check: 100 - 98 = 2 X 3 = -6 points

**Cercospora**: Percent of check is determined for each variety from Michigan Sugar trials. Points are distributed according to a conversion table (see below). There is a wide range of points (from 10 to -18) which rewards tolerant varieties but becomes increasingly punitive as the leafspot susceptibility increases.

**Rhizoctonia**: Percent of check is determined for each variety from Michigan Sugar and USDA (Ft Collins, CO) trials. HM 39RR, HM 50RR and C RR808 are the check varieties. Varieties can gain from 0 to 10 points. (See conversion table below)

**Root Aphid**: Actual values (not % of check) are used to assign points. The trial is conducted by BetaSeed in Shakopee, MN. Varieties receive from 0 to 5 points. (See conversion table below)

**Rhizomania**: Actual values (not % of check) are used to assign points. The trial is conducted by the USDA in Salinas, CA. The trial values are divided into 5 equal categories and points are assigned in a conversion table. Varieties gain 1 to 3 points.

**Emergence**: Percent emergence is calculated from the OVT trials based upon the number of emerged plants in comparison to the number of seeds planted. The conversion table is designed to reward or subtract points as a variety's emergence moves upward or downward in the trial. The scale becomes more generous or more punative at the top and bottom ends of the scale. Varieties are not considered if commercially prepared seed was not used.

Cercosp	ora					
% of Check*	Points					
71.3 or less	10					
>71.3-80.2	8					
>80.2-89.1	6					
>89.1-93.5	5					
>93.5-98.0	4					
>98.0-102.4	3					
>102.4-106.9	3 2 1 0					
>106.9-111.4	1					
>111.4-113.6						
>113.6-115.8	-2					
>115.8-116.7	-3					
>116.7-117.6	-4					
>117.6-118.5	-5					
>118.5-119.4	-6					
>119.4-120.3	-8					
>120.3-121.2	-10					
>121.2-122.0	-12					
>122.0-122.9	-14					
>122.9-123.8	-16					
>123.8-124.7	-18					
Compare to Check Varieties: HM 28RR, HM 50RR, B 17RR32, SX 1260RR. Scale						
will be the same						

each year

	Conversion	Tables	(from	data	to	points)
--	------------	--------	-------	------	----	---------

Rhizoctor	nia					
% of Check*	Points					
81.5 - 84.5	10					
84.6 - 87.6	9					
87.7 - 90.7	8					
90.8 - 93.8	7					
93.9 - 96.9	6					
97.0 - 100.0	5					
100.1 - 103.1	4					
103.2 - 106.2	3					
106.3 - 109.3	2					
109.4 - 112.4	1					
112.5 +	0					
Compare to Check						
Varieties: HM 39RR						

Varieties: HM 39RR
C RR808, HM 50RR
Range of trial
divided into equal
segments

Rhizomania						
Rating	Points					
4.10-4.51	3					
4.52-4.93	2.5					
4.94-5.35	2					
5.36-5.77	1.5					
5.78-6.20	1					
The range of the						
scale will be adjusted						
for each years	results					

Root Aphid							
Rating	Points						
1.0-1.49	5						
1.5-1.99	4						
2.0-2.49	3						
2.5-2.99	2						
3.0-3.49	1						
3.5 +	0						
This scale will stay the							

same every year.

Emerger	% of						
% Emerg	Points	Range					
77.8 - 79.3	5	5					
75.7 - 77.79	4	7					
73.1 - 75.69	3	9					
70.1 - 73.09	2	10					
66.6 - 70.09	1	12					
62.4 - 66.59	0	14					
58.9 - 62.39	-1	12					
56.9 - 58.89	-2	10					
53.3 - 56.89	-3	9					
51.2 - 53.29	-4	7					
49.7 - 51.19	-5	5					
Commercial Seed Only							

The range of the scale will be adjusted for each years results.

#### Michigan Sugar Company Variety Approval "Points" System

#### Adding the Points Up - Examples

**Example**: Crystal RR827 has an RWSA % check value of 113.9 which equals its points. This is the starting point and other factors will be added or subtracted from the RWSA level. The RWST level for C RR827 is 109.7 (% check). So,  $109.7 - 100 = 9.7 \times 3 = 29.1$  points. C RR827 has a Cercospora % check of 125.4. From the table this equals a -18 points. The Rhizoc level is 109.2 which converts to 2 points. The Root Aphid level is 2.3 which equals 3 points. Rhizomania results are not yet available. Crystal RR827 has an emergence level of 63.3% which converts to 0 points. Total points are 130 which is 120.8 % of the check varieties.

	<u>Crystal</u>	RR827	<u>HM 2</u>	28RR	<u>SX 1260RR</u>		
Parameter	Actual		Actual		Actual		
Measured	Value	Points	Value	Points	Value	Points	
RWSA	113.9	113.9	99.8	99.8	99	99	
RWST	109.7	29.1	99.4	-1.8	97.6	-7.4	
Cercospora	125.4	-18	98.2	3	98.1	3	
Rhizoctonia	109.2	2	97.5	5	107.2	2	
Root Aphid	2.4	3	2.2	3	2.8	2	
Rhizomania*	na	0	na	0	na	0	
Emergence	63.3	0	65.8	0	66.6	1	
Total Points		130.0		109.0		99.6	
Points % check		120.8		101.3		92.6	

\* We do not have results from the 2010 Rhizomania nursery.

#### Michigan Sugar Company Variety Approval Points System First Year Varieties 2010 Data

	RWSA % ck		RWST	<u>RWST % ck</u>		Cerc % ck		<u>% ck</u>
Variety	Need 94.25	Yes/ No	Need 101.2	Yes/ No	124.7 or Lower	Yes/ No	Need 99.19	Yes/ No
Crystal RR059	106.3	Yes	106.4	Yes	112.7	Yes	125.7	Yes
Crystal RR074NT	113.2	Yes	105.8	Yes	116.9	Yes	123.0	Yes
Crystal RR046	110.1	Yes	102.4	Yes	101.3	Yes	115.4	Yes
BTS 10RR34	104.3	Yes	103.2	Yes	97.6	Yes	115.1	Yes
BTS 10RR73	99.3	Yes	102.8	Yes	87.4	Yes	111.1	Yes
BTS 10RR17	97.5	Yes	101.8	Yes	97.2	Yes	107.7	Yes
Cyrstal RR086	94.5	Yes	100.8	No	85.9	Yes	105.0	Yes
BTS 10RR10	103.6	Yes	99.7	No	98.0	Yes	102.0	Yes
SX 1204RR	94.7	Yes	100.1	No	93.8	Yes	101.4	Yes
HM-9261RR	96.0	Yes	97.7	No	96.0	Yes	94.1	No
SX 1205RR	92.0	No	100.9	No	106.8	Yes	92.8	No
HM-9258RR	95.5	Yes	100.6	No	119.3	Yes	90.7	No
HM-9259RR	100.7	Yes	97.0	No	118.0	Yes	87.4	No
HM-9260RR	93.3	No	95.2	No	114.7	Yes	80.3	No
HM-9264RR	93.1	No	98.2	No	117.5	Yes	78.9	No
HM-9265RR	87.3	No	99.0	No	123.1	Yes	66.6	No
HM-9266RR	94.3	Yes	95.2	No	126.4	No	60.1	No
SX 1203RR	95.6	Yes	93.3	No	126.8	No	57.8	No

#### **Comments**

Varieties first tested in 2010 will be approved according to the Points System. Six 1st year varieties are on approval track.

Each category must be passed to be approved.

The following page shows how the points were arrived at for each variety.

#### Michigan Sugar Company Variety Approval Points System First Year Varieties 2010 Data

		RWST	3X rwst			Root			Poir	nts**
Variety	RWSA	Actual	Variance	Cerc	Rhizoc	Aph*	Rzm*	Emerg*	Actual	% ck
Crystal RR059	106.3	106.4	19.2	0.0	9.0	2.5		0.0	137.0	125.7
Crystal RR074NT	113.2	105.8	17.3	-4.0	5.0	2.5		0.0	134.0	123.0
Crystal RR046	110.1	102.4	7.2	3.0	3.0	2.5		0.0	125.8	115.4
BTS 10RR34	104.3	103.2	9.6	4.0	5.0	2.5		0.0	125.4	115.1
BTS 10RR73	99.3	102.8	8.3	6.0	5.0	2.5		0.0	121.1	111.1
BTS 10RR17	97.5	101.8	5.4	4.0	8.0	2.5		0.0	117.3	107.7
Cyrstal RR086	94.5	100.8	2.4	6.0	9.0	2.5		0.0	114.4	105.0
BTS 10RR10	103.6	99.7	-0.9	3.0	3.0	2.5		0.0	111.1	102.0
SX 1204RR	94.7	100.1	0.3	4.0	9.0	2.5		0.0	110.5	101.4
HM-9261RR	96.0	97.7	-7.0	4.0	7.0	2.5		0.0	102.5	94.1
SX 1205RR	92.0	100.9	2.7	2.0	2.0	2.5		0.0	101.1	92.8
HM-9258RR	95.5	100.6	1.8	-6.0	5.0	2.5		0.0	98.8	90.7
HM-9259RR	100.7	97.0	-8.9	-5.0	6.0	2.5		0.0	95.3	87.4
HM-9260RR	93.3	95.2	-14.3	-2.0	8.0	2.5		0.0	87.5	80.3
HM-9264RR	93.1	98.2	-5.5	-4.0	0.0	2.5		0.0	86.0	78.9
HM-9265RR	87.3	99.0	-3.1	-16.0	2.0	2.5		0.0	72.6	66.6
HM-9266RR	94.3	95.2	-14.3	-18.0	1.0	2.5		0.0	65.5	60.1
SX 1203RR	95.6	93.3	-20.1	-18.0	3.0	2.5		0.0	63.0	57.8

\* We do not have nursery results for 2010 for root aphid and Rhizomania.

Emergence will not be used until a variety is commercially produced.

<sup>\*\* %</sup> check (B 32, HM 28, SX 1260, HM 50) = 100 X .9919 = 99.19 % check (approval level)

<sup>\*\*</sup> Varieties must also pass minimum levels for RWSA and RWST and not be over on leafspot.

#### Michigan Sugar Company How Approved Varieties Compare in the Points System (These varieties will not be in the Points System) 2010 Data

		RWST	3X RWST						Total	% of**
Variety	RWSA	Actual	Variance	Cerc	Rhizoc	R Aph	Rzm*	Emerg	Points	Check
Crystal RR808	111.5	109.0	27.0	-2.0	3.0	3.0		-1.0	141.5	130.8
BTS 18RR4N	114.4	106.6	19.9	-2.0	4.0	1.0		1.0	138.3	127.8
BTS 19RR1N	115.4	104.8	14.4	-2.0	4.0	1.0		0.0	132.8	122.7
Crystal RR827	113.9	109.7	29.1	-18.0	2.0	3.0		0.0	130.0	120.2
Cyrstal RR840	101.7	105.0	14.9	5.0	5.0	2.0		-2.0	126.7	117.1
BTS 18RR26	102.3	104.8	14.3	5.0	4.0	1.0		-2.0	124.7	115.2
Crystal RR824	110.1	102.7	8.1	0.0	2.0	3.0		1.0	124.1	114.7
BTS 19RR90	98.7	103.4	10.3	3.0	7.0	5.0		0.0	124.0	114.6
HM-133RR	94.2	102.9	8.8	6.0	5.0	3.0		-1.0	116.1	107.3
HM-50RR	95.6	102.3	6.8	6.0	3.0	3.0		0.0	114.39	105.7
HM-110RR	96.3	102.0	6.1	5.0	6.0	1.0		-1.0	113.3	104.7
SX 1281RR	95.0	101.6	4.7	5.0	6.0	2.0		-1.0	111.7	103.2
HM-28RR	99.8	99.4	-1.8	3.0	5.0	3.0		0.0	109.0	100.8
BTS 17RR32	105.5	100.7	2.2	-4.0	2.0	4.0		0.0	109.8	101.4
HM-131RR	95.3	100.7	2.1	6.0	3.0	2.0		-1.0	107.4	99.2
HM-27RR	92.5	100.3	0.8	5.0	8.0	1.0		0.0	107.2	99.1
BTS 18RR06	99.2	106.0	17.9	-18.0	5.0	5.0		-3.0	106.1	98.1
SX 1291RR	96.9	99.0	-2.9	4.0	5.0	2.0		0.0	105.0	97.0
HM-9173RR	96.0	99.5	-1.6	5.0	3.0	2.0		0.0	104.4	96.5
SX 1260RR	99.0	97.6	-7.4	3.0	2.0	2.0		1.0	99.6	92.1
HM-39RR	94.4	97.5	-7.4	-5.0	8.0	0.0		0.0	90.0	83.2

\* Rhizomania data not available

\*\* % check (B 32, HM 28, SX 1260, HM 50) = 100 X .9919 = 99.19 % check (approval level)